



**R&D-Project:** 

Identification of Organic Compounds in the North and Baltic Seas

# Umwelt Bundes Amt 😥

### ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH OF THE FEDERAL MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT, NATURE CONSERVATION AND NUCLEAR SAFETY

Research Report 200 25 224 UBA-FB 001053



R&D-Project: Identification of Organic Compounds in the North and Baltic Seas

by

Prof. Dr. Michael Oehme <sup>1</sup> Dr. Norbert Theobald <sup>2</sup> Dr. Anne-Christina Baaβ <sup>2</sup> Dr. Jana Hüttig <sup>1</sup> Dr. Margot Reth <sup>1</sup> Dr. Sieglinde Weigelt-Krenz <sup>2</sup> Dr. Zdenek Zencak <sup>1</sup> Dr. Michael Haarich <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Organic Analytical Chemistry, University of Basel

- <sup>2</sup> Federal Maritime and Hydrographic Agency, Hamburg
- <sup>3</sup> Federal Research Centre for Fisheries, Hamburg

On behalf of the Federal Environment Agency

This publication is only available as download from <a href="http://www.umweltbundesamt.de">http://www.umweltbundesamt.de</a>

The contents of this publication do not necessarily reflect the official opinions.

Publisher:Federal Environment Agency (Umweltbundesamt)<br/>P.0.Box 1406<br/>D-06844 Dessau-Roβlau<br/>phone: +49-340-2103-0<br/>fax: +49-340-2103 2285<br/>Internet: http://www.umweltbundesamt.de

### Edited by: Section II 2.3 Dagmar Larws

Dessau-Roßlau, July 2008

### **Berichts-Kennblatt**

1.	Berichtsnummer UBA-FB	2.		3.
4.	Titel des Berichts			
	FuE-Vorhaben "Identifizierung v	on organischen Schadstoffen in	Nord-	und Ostsee
5	Autor(an) Name(n) Vorname(n)		8	Abschlußdatum
5.	Oehme Michael (1): Theobald N	Jorbert (2): Baaß Anne-	0.	31 12 2005
	Christina; Hüttig, Jana; Reth, Mar	rgot; Weigelt-Krenz, Siglinde;		51. 12. 2005
	Zencak, Zdenek, Haarich, Michae	el (3)	9.	Veröffentlichungsdatum
6.	Durchführende Institution (Name	, Anschrift)		-
	1) Organische analytische Chemi	e, Universität Basel		
	Neuhausstr. 31, CH-4057 Basel		10.	UFOPLAN-Nr.
	2) Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt u Bornhord Nocht Str. 78, D 20250	Ind Hydrographie		200 25 224
	3) Bundesforschungsanstalt für F	ischerei Inst für	11	Seitenzahl
	Fischereiökologie	ischerer, mst. rur	11.	Seitenzam
	Marckmannstr. 129b, 20539 Ham	lburg		244
7.	Fördernde Institution (Name, Ans	schrift)		
			12.	Literaturangaben
	Umweltbundesamt, Postfach 14 0	6, 06813 Dessau		139
			12	T 1 11
			13.	abelien und Diagramme
				28
			14.	Abbildungen
				52
15.	Zusätzliche Angaben			
16.	Kurzfassung			
	Kurz- (C <sub>10-13,</sub> sPCAs) und mittell	kettige (C14-17, mPCAs) polychl	orierte	Paraffine sowie Chlordane wurden in
	Fischleber (Dorsch, Kliesche, Flunder) und Sedimenten aus de			and Ostsee bestimmt (Probenahme 2002-
	2004). Fisch aus dem Nordatlantik (Island, Lofoten, Bäreninsel) wurde zum Vergleich			e zum Vergleich untersucht. Bisher gab es
	keine Information über die PCA- Belastung dieser Regionen. Verschiedene massenspektrometrische Method			dene massenspektrometrische Methoden
	fur Screening und Kongenerenanalyse wurden entwickelt. s+mPCA-Gehalte in Fisch waren f (54-3880 ng/g Fett (f) Mittel 985 ng/g f) und Ostsee (90-3170 ng/g f Mittel 615 ng/g f) verg			Mittel 615 $n\sigma/\sigma$ f) vergleichbar s+mPCA-
	Gehalte in Dorschlebern aus Hintergrundsgebieten waren bedeutend niedriger (46-265 ng/g fg, Mittel 149 ng/g			
	lw). PCA-Konzentrationen in Sedimenten aus der Ostsee (45-377 ng/g Trockengewicht (tw)) waren höher als			
	für die Nordsee (5-355 ng/g tw), aber vergleichbar auf der Basis von totalem organischem Kohlenstoff.			otalem organischem Kohlenstoff.
	Chlordan-Gehalte waren im nied	rigen ng/g Bereich für Fisch un	nd Sedi	mente.
	Ferner wurde das Vorkommen v	on Chlorpyriphos (-ethyl und –	methyl	), Endosulfan (I und II), I rifluralin,
	lagen bisher kaum Informationer	aus der Nord- und Ostsee vor	Dicof	ol wurde in keiner Probe gefunden
	(< 1 ng/L), PCP wurde in der El	be und in wenigen küstennaher	n Statio	nen beobachtet ( $< 0,2$ bis 1 ng/L).
	Chlorpyrirphos-ethyl, Endosulfa	n I und Trifluralin wurden in de	en meis	ten Proben in sehr geringen, aber
	ähnlichen Konzentrationen gefu	nden. Die Medianwerte lagen ir	n Berei	ich von 15 bis 26 pg/L für Meerwasser
	und zwischen 9 und 20,5 ng/kg t	w für Sedimente. In Fischleber	wurde	n Mediane von 1,6 bis 1,9µg/kg Fett
	gerunden. Friffuralin Zeigte als e	illungen sind am besten mit sind	ue Abh	sen Hintergrundbelastung auf sahr
	niedrigem Niveau zu interpretier	ren.		sen minergrundbelastung auf sem
17.	Schlagwörter	· ·		
	Polychlorierte Paraffine, Chlo	rdane, Nordsee, Ostsee, Nordat	lantik,	Fisch, Sedimente
	Chlorpyriphos-ethyl, Chlorpyrip	hos-methyl), Endosulfan, Trifl	uralin,	Dicofol, Pentachlorphenol (PCP)
	Meerwasser, GC-NCI-MS			
10	Proje	10		20
10.	1 1015	17.		20.

### **Report Cover Sheet**

1.	Report No.	2.	3.	
1	DDA-FD Report Title			
4.	Report Title Identification of Organic Compounds in the North and Baltic Seas			
	ruentencerton of organic comp			
5.	Autor(s), Family Name(s), First N	Name(s)	8.	Report Date
	Oehme, Michae (1); Theobald, N	orbert (2); Baaß, Anne-Christina		31 December 2005
	Hüttig, Jana; Reth, Margot; Weig	elt-Krenz, Siglinde;		
	Zencak, Zdenek, Haarich, Michae	el (3)	9.	Publication Date
6.	Performing Organisation (Name,	Address)		
	1) Organische analytische Chemi	e, Universität Basel	1.0	
	Neuhausstr. 31, CH-4057 Basel	177 1 1	10.	UFOPLAN-Ref. No.
	2) Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt ( Bambard Nacht Str. 78, D.2025)	und Hydrographie		200 25 224
	3) Rundesforschungsenstelt für E	ischaraj Inst für Eischarajökologia	11	No. of Pages
	Marckmannstr 129b 20539 Har	aburg	11.	744
7	Sponsoring Agency (Name Addi	ress)		277
7.	Sponsoring Agency (Name, Add	(255)	12	No. of Reference
	Umweltbundesamt, Postfach 14 (	)6. D-06813 Dessau	12.	139
		, D 00015 D 05544		107
			13.	No. of Tables, Diagrams
				98
			14.	No. of Figures
				52
15.	Supplementary Notes			
16	Abstract			
10.	Short-chained ( $C_{10,13}$ sPCAs) and medium-chained ( $C_{14,17}$ , mPCAs) polychlorinated paraffins as well as			
	chlordanes were determined in fish liver (cod, dab, flounder) and sediments from the North and Baltic Seas			
	collected during 2002-2004. Fish from the North Atlantic (Iceland, Lofot Islands, Bear Island) was analysed for			
	comparison. So far, information about PCA levels did not exist for these areas. Different mass spectrometric			
	methods for screening and detailed congener analysis were developed as a first step. s+mPCA concentrations			
	were comparable for the North Sea (54-3880 ng/g lipid weight (lw), mean 985 ng/g lw) and the Baltic Sea (90-			
	3170 ng/g lw, mean 615 ng/g lw). The highest s+mPCA levels were far above 1 ppm, which is remarkably high.			
	s+mPCA levels in cod liver from	n the remote areas were considerably low	er (46	-265 ng/g lw, mean 149 ng/g lw).
	PCA levels in sediments from th	e Baltic Sea (45-377 ng/g dry weight(dw	y)) wer	re generally higher than in those
	from the North Sea (5-355 ng/g of Chloridana appartmetions were i	aw), but were quite equal when expresse	a on to	otal organic carbon (TOC) basis.
	In addition, the occurrence of ch	lornyrifos (-ethyl and -methyl) endosulf	nts. an (La	and II) triflurlin dicofol and
	pentachlorophenol (PCP) in wat	er sediment and biota samples was invest	an (1 a tioatea	d. So far nearly no information
	was available on the levels of the	ese pesticides in the North Sea and Baltic	: Sea.	Dicofol was not detected in any
	sample ( $< 1ng/L$ ); PCP was four	in the river Elbe and in few coastal sta	tions (	< 0.2 to 1 ng/L). Chlorpyrifos-
	ethyl, endosulfane I, and triflurlin were detected in most samples at very low but similar concentrations.			ut similar concentrations.
	Medians were in the range of 15 to 26 pg/L for sea water and of 9 to 29.5 ng/kg dw for sediments. In fish liver			g dw for sediments. In fish liver
	medians ranging from 1.6 to 1.9 µg/kg lipid were observed. Trifluralin only exhibited a seasonal variation -			hibited a seasonal variation - with
	elevated concentrations in winter. The distributions of the concentrations are best explained by a general diffu			est explained by a general diffuse
	background burden at at a very h	ow level. No major input sources were o	bserve	ed.
17	Variation			
1/.	Reywords Polychloringtod peroffing chlore	Janas North San Baltia San North Atlan	tio f	ah sadimanta mass spactromatro
	chlorpyrifos_ethyl_chlorpyrifos	methyl) endosulfan trifluralin dicofol	nentac	sh, seuments, mass spectrometry shlorphenol (PCP)
	sea water	menyi), encosunan, unituranni, utcololi,	penta	
18	Price	19.	20	
10.			-0.	

### **Table of Content**

1	Abbreviations and explanations	9
2	Executive summary	15
	2.1 Part Polychlorinated paraffins and chlordanes	15
	2.1.1 Characterisation of polychlorinated paraffins (PCAs)	15
	2.1.2 Characterisation of chlordanes	16
	2.1.3 Analytical problems of PCAs	17
	2.1.4 Method development for PCA analysis	18
	2.1.5 Quantification of chlordanes	19
	2.1.6 PCAs in biota from the Baltic/North Sea and the northern North Atlantic	20
	2.1.7 PCAs in sediments from the Baltic and North Sea and additional sites in Europe	21
	2.1.8 PCA in sea water	23
	2.1.9 Chlordanes in biota from the Baltic and North Seas and the northern North Atlantic	23
	2.1.10 Clordanes in sediments from the Baltic and North Seas and additional sites in Europe	24
	2.2 Part: Sampling and analysis - selected pesticides	25
	2.2.1 Characterisation of the target compounds	25
	2.2.2 Chlorpyrifos	25
	2.2.3 Dicofol	25
	2.2.4 Endosulfan	26
	2.2.5 Pentachlorophenol (PCP)	26
	2.2.6 Trifluralin	26
	2.2.7 Analytical problems and method development	27
	2.2.8 Chlorpyrifos in the marine environment of the North and Baltic Seas	27
	2.2.9 Endosulfan in the marine environment of the North and Baltic Seas	28
	2.2.10 Dicofol in the marine environment of the North and Baltic Seas	29
	2.2.11 Pentachlorophenol in the marine environment of the North and Baltic Seas	30
	2.2.12 Trifluralin in the marine environment of the North and Baltic Seas	31
	2.2.13 Recommendations for future monitoring activities	32
3	Introduction	35
4	Sampling procedure and sampling sites	39
	4.1 Water and sediment sampling	39
	4.2 Sampling of biota	44
5	Project 1: Identification of Chlorinated Paraffins and Chlordanes in the North a	nd
	Baltic Seas	51
	5.1 Aim of the project	51
	5.2 Survey about properties of PCAs and chlordane	53
	5.2.1 Polychlorinated <i>n</i> -alkanes (PCAs)	53
	5.2.2 Chlordane	56

	<ul> <li>5.2.2.1 Structures</li> <li>5.2.2.2 Physical properties</li></ul>	56 57 57 59	
5.3	Applied methodology	59	
5.3.1	State of the art of PCA analysis		
5.3.2	Challenges of PCA method development	61	
5.3.3	PCA method development	61	
	5.3.3.1 Clean-up and gas chromatography	61	
	5.3.3.2 Mass spectrometry		
	5.3.3.3 PCA result comparability, own techniques	64 65	
5.3.4	Ouantification of PCAs		
	5.3.4.1 Applied methods		
	5.3.4.2 Consequences for results, this study	70	
5.3.5	Selection criteria for PCA quantification techniques	70	
5.3.6	Analysis of chlordane compounds	71	
5.3.7	Method validation and quality control	72	
	5.3.7.1 Limits of detection and quantification	72	
	5.3.7.2 Linearity	74	
	5.3.7.3 Selectivity of clean-up		
	5.3.7.4 Blank controls		
54	Results and discussion		
5.1	results and asseassion		
541	Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs	80	
5.4.1	Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs		
5.4.1	Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs 5.4.1.1 sPCA mixtures 5.4.1.2 mPCA mixtures		
5.4.1 5.4.2	Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs 5.4.1.1 sPCA mixtures 5.4.1.2 mPCA mixtures PCA and chlordanes in biota		
5.4.1 5.4.2	Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs 5.4.1.1 sPCA mixtures 5.4.1.2 mPCA mixtures PCA and chlordanes in biota 5.4.2.1 Origin and characterisation of samples		
5.4.1 5.4.2	Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs 5.4.1.1 sPCA mixtures 5.4.1.2 mPCA mixtures PCA and chlordanes in biota 5.4.2.1 Origin and characterisation of samples 5.4.2.2 PCA concentrations	80 80 85 85 85 85 85 88	
5.4.1 5.4.2	Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs 5.4.1.1 sPCA mixtures 5.4.1.2 mPCA mixtures PCA and chlordanes in biota 5.4.2.1 Origin and characterisation of samples 5.4.2.2 PCA concentrations 5.4.2.3 Interpretation of PCA concentrations		
5.4.1	<ul> <li>Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs.</li> <li>5.4.1.1 sPCA mixtures.</li> <li>5.4.1.2 mPCA mixtures.</li> <li>PCA and chlordanes in biota</li> <li>5.4.2.1 Origin and characterisation of samples.</li> <li>5.4.2.2 PCA concentrations</li> <li>5.4.2.3 Interpretation of PCA concentrations</li> <li>5.4.2.4 PCA formula and congener group profiles</li> <li>5.4.2.5 Chlordane concentrations</li> </ul>		
5.4.1	<ul> <li>Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs</li> <li>5.4.1.1 sPCA mixtures</li> <li>5.4.1.2 mPCA mixtures</li> <li>PCA and chlordanes in biota</li> <li>5.4.2.1 Origin and characterisation of samples</li> <li>5.4.2.2 PCA concentrations</li> <li>5.4.2.3 Interpretation of PCA concentrations</li> <li>5.4.2.4 PCA formula and congener group profiles</li> <li>5.4.2.5 Chlordane concentrations</li> <li>5.4.2.6 Interpretation of chlordane concentrations</li> </ul>	80 80 85 85 85 85 88 94 94 96 101 101	
5.4.1 5.4.2 5.4.3	<ul> <li>Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs.</li> <li>5.4.1.1 sPCA mixtures.</li> <li>5.4.1.2 mPCA mixtures.</li> <li>PCA and chlordanes in biota</li> <li>5.4.2.1 Origin and characterisation of samples.</li> <li>5.4.2.2 PCA concentrations</li> <li>5.4.2.3 Interpretation of PCA concentrations</li> <li>5.4.2.4 PCA formula and congener group profiles</li> <li>5.4.2.5 Chlordane concentrations.</li> <li>5.4.2.6 Interpretation of chlordane concentrations.</li> <li>PCAs and chlordanes in sediments</li> </ul>	80 80 85 85 85 88 94 94 96 101 101 101	
<ul><li>5.4.1</li><li>5.4.2</li><li>5.4.3</li></ul>	Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs 5.4.1.1 sPCA mixtures 5.4.1.2 mPCA mixtures PCA and chlordanes in biota 5.4.2.1 Origin and characterisation of samples 5.4.2.2 PCA concentrations 5.4.2.3 Interpretation of PCA concentrations 5.4.2.4 PCA formula and congener group profiles 5.4.2.5 Chlordane concentrations 5.4.2.6 Interpretation of chlordane concentrations PCAs and chlordanes in sediments 5.4.3.1 Origin and characterisation of samples		
<ul><li>5.4.1</li><li>5.4.2</li><li>5.4.3</li></ul>	Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs5.4.1.1 sPCA mixtures5.4.1.2 mPCA mixturesPCA and chlordanes in biota5.4.2.1 Origin and characterisation of samples5.4.2.2 PCA concentrations5.4.2.3 Interpretation of PCA concentrations5.4.2.4 PCA formula and congener group profiles5.4.2.5 Chlordane concentrations5.4.2.6 Interpretation of chlordane concentrations5.4.3.1 Origin and characterisation of samples5.4.3.2 PCA concentrations		
<ul><li>5.4.1</li><li>5.4.2</li><li>5.4.3</li></ul>	Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs 5.4.1.1 sPCA mixtures 5.4.1.2 mPCA mixtures PCA and chlordanes in biota 5.4.2.1 Origin and characterisation of samples 5.4.2.2 PCA concentrations 5.4.2.3 Interpretation of PCA concentrations 5.4.2.4 PCA formula and congener group profiles 5.4.2.5 Chlordane concentrations 5.4.2.6 Interpretation of chlordane concentrations. PCAs and chlordanes in sediments 5.4.3.1 Origin and characterisation of samples 5.4.3.2 PCA concentrations 5.4.3.3 Interpretation of PCA concentrations 5.4.3.4 DCA concentrations	80 80 85 85 85 88 94 94 96 101 101 101 107 107 107 107 108	
<ul><li>5.4.1</li><li>5.4.2</li><li>5.4.3</li></ul>	Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs5.4.1.1sPCA mixtures5.4.1.2mPCA mixturesPCA and chlordanes in biota5.4.2.1Origin and characterisation of samples5.4.2.2PCA concentrations5.4.2.3Interpretation of PCA concentrations5.4.2.4PCA formula and congener group profiles5.4.2.5Chlordane concentrations5.4.2.6Interpretation of chlordane concentrations5.4.3.1Origin and characterisation of samples5.4.3.2PCA concentrations5.4.3.3Interpretation of PCA concentrations5.4.3.4PCA concentrations5.4.3.4PCA formula group and congener profiles		
<ul><li>5.4.1</li><li>5.4.2</li><li>5.4.3</li><li>5.4.4</li></ul>	Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs5.4.1.1sPCA mixtures5.4.1.2mPCA mixturesPCA and chlordanes in biota5.4.2.1Origin and characterisation of samples5.4.2.2PCA concentrations5.4.2.3Interpretation of PCA concentrations5.4.2.4PCA formula and congener group profiles5.4.2.5Chlordane concentrations5.4.2.6Interpretation of chlordane concentrations5.4.3.1Origin and characterisation of samples5.4.3.2PCA concentrations5.4.3.3Interpretation of PCA concentrations5.4.3.4PCA formula group and congener profiles5.4.3.5Chlordane concentrations		
5.4.1 5.4.2 5.4.3 5.4.4 5.5	Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs5.4.1.1sPCA mixtures5.4.1.2mPCA mixturesPCA and chlordanes in biota5.4.2.1Origin and characterisation of samples5.4.2.2PCA concentrations5.4.2.3Interpretation of PCA concentrations5.4.2.4PCA formula and congener group profiles5.4.2.5Chlordane concentrations5.4.2.6Interpretation of chlordane concentrations5.4.3.1Origin and characterisation of samples5.4.3.2PCA concentrations5.4.3.3Interpretation of PCA concentrations5.4.3.4PCA concentrations5.4.3.5Chlordane concentrations5.4.3.4PCA formula group and congener profiles5.4.3.5Chlordane concentrations5.4.3.6Interpretation of PCA concentrations5.4.3.7PCA formula group and congener profiles5.4.3.8Chlordane concentrations in sediments5.4.3.9PCA formula group and congener profiles5.4.3.1Origin and characterisation in sediments5.4.3.5Chlordane concentrations5.4.3.4PCA formula group and congener profiles5.4.3.5Chlordane concentrations in sedimentsPCAs in waterReferences		
5.4.1 5.4.2 5.4.3 5.4.4 5.5 5.6	Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs 5.4.1.1 sPCA mixtures		
5.4.1 5.4.2 5.4.3 5.4.4 5.5 5.6 5.6.1	Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs		
5.4.1 5.4.2 5.4.3 5.4.4 5.5 5.6 5.6.1 5.6.2	Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs         5.4.1.1       sPCA mixtures         5.4.1.2       mPCA mixtures         PCA and chlordanes in biota		
5.4.1 5.4.2 5.4.3 5.4.4 5.5 5.6 5.6.1 5.6.2 5.6.3	Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs         5.4.1.1       sPCA mixtures         5.4.1.2       mPCA mixtures         PCA and chlordanes in biota	$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $	

	5.6.5	Quantification of PCAs by ECNI-LRMS	.134
	5.6.6	Quantification of PCAs by ECNI-HRMS	.137
	5.6.7	Group pattern determination of PCAs by CH <sub>4</sub> /CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -NICI	.138
	5.6.8	Quantification of PCAs by EI-MS/MS	.139
	5.6.9	Quantification of chlordanes by ECNI-LRMS	.140
	5.6.10	Quantification of chlordanes by EI-MS/MS	.142
	5.7	Appendix 2: Average molar mass and Cl content of sample PCAs	144
	5.8	Appendix 3: Overview analysed samples and applied techniques	145
	5.9	Appendix 4: Publications and conference contributions	148
6	Proje	ect 2: Identification of Organic Compounds in the North and Baltic Seas	151
	6.1	Aim of the Project	151
	6.2	Survey of Properties of the Target Compounds	152
	6.2.1	Chlorpyrifos-ethyl and –methyl	.152
	6.2.2	Dicofol	.155
	6.2.3	Endosulfan I and II	.158
	6.2.4	Pentachlorophenol	.160
	6.2.5	Trifluralin	.162
	6.3	Applied Methodology	164
	6.3.1	State of the art of analysis	.164
	6.3.2	Method development	.165
		6.3.2.1 Methods for Chlorpyrifos, Endosulfan and Trifluralin	.166
		6.3.2.2 Methods for Dicotol	.170 171
	6.3.3	Method validation and quality control	.172
		6.3.3.1 Linearity	.172
		6.3.3.2 Blank values	.175
		6.3.3.3 Recoveries	.176 179
		6.3.3.5 Laboratory Performance Study	.180
	6.4	Results and Discussion	180
	6.4.1	Chlorpyrifos	.180
		6.4.1.1 Water	.181
		6.4.1.2 Sediment	.183
		6.4.1.3 Biota	185
	6.4.2	Trichloropyridinol (TCPy)	.190
		6.4.2.1 Water	.190
	613	6.4.2.2 Discussion	.190
	0.4.3	6.4.3.1 Water	191
		6.4.3.2 Sediment	.193
		6.4.3.3 Biota	.195
	C 4 4	6.4.3.4 Discussion	.196
	6.4.4	I rifluralin	.199

6.4.4.1	Water	
6.4.4.2	Sediment	
6.4.4.3	Biota	
6.4.4.4	Discussion	
6.4.5 Pentach	llorophenol (PCP)	207
6.4.5.1	Water	
6.4.5.2	Sediment and Biota	
6.4.5.3	Discussion	
6.4.6 Dicofol		210
6.4.6.1	Water	
6.4.6.2	Toxicity screening of dicofol and dichlorobenzophenone	211
6.4.6.3	Discussion	
6.5 Reference	ces	213
6.6 Appendi	x	217
6.6.1 Reagen	ts and solvents	217
6.6.2 GC-MS	S method for the determination of Chlorpyrifos, Endosulfan and Trifluralin	217
6.6.3 Procedu	are for the analysis of Chlorpyrifos, Endosulfan and Trifluralin in water	218
6.6.4 Procedu	are for the analysis of Chlorpyrifos, Endosulfan and Trifluralin in sediment	219
6.6.5 Procedu	are for the analysis of Chlorpyrifos, Endosulfan and Trifluralin in fish liver.	219
6.6.6 Procedu	are for the analysis of Pentachlorophenol and Trichloropyridinol in water	220
6.6.6.1	HPLC-MS analysis	
6.6.7 Procedu	are for the analysis of Dicofol in water	222
6.6.7.1	GC-EI method for Dicofol	
6.6.8 Tables.		
6.7 Publicati	ions and conference contributions of project results	238
List of Tables	and Figures	220
LIST OF LADIES	) and 1 igui (5	······ 437

### **1** Abbreviations and explanations

BaP	Benzo[a]pyrene
BfA-Fi	Bundesforschungsanstalt für Fischerei, Federal Research Centre for Fisheries
BSH	Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie, Federal Maritime and Hydrographic Agency
BLMP	Bund/Länder-Messprogramm, Federal and State Monitoring Programme
CH <sub>4</sub>	Methane
CID	Collision Induced Dissociation: A mass spectrometric techniques, where a molecular ion or a fragment ion is further fragmented by collision with e.g. Ar atoms to increase the selectivity of detection.
conc.	concentrated
congener	This expression means any single PCA compound with the same chain length but a variable number of chlorine atoms.
D	Replicate determination
DBP	<b>D</b> ichloro <b>b</b> enzo <b>p</b> henone
4,5-DCCD	4,5-Dichlorchlordene
DCM or CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	<b>D</b> ichloro <b>m</b> ethane
dw	dry weight
ECD	Electron capture detector
ECNI-MS	Electron Capture Negative Ion Mass Spectrometry: A mass spectrometric technique, where electrons of thermal energy are generated in the ion source. These can only be captured by com- pounds of high electron affinity such as polychlorinated pesticides. Since most matrix residues do not capture such thermal electron, background is suppressed and selectivity increased.
EI	Electron Ionisation: The standard ionsation technique in mass spectrometry, where compounds are ionised with electrons of high energy (normally 70 eV)
EPA	U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESI	Electro Spray Ionisation
EU	European Union
f	Female

formula and congener groups	This expression describes the total of all compounds in a technical PCA mixture. Both the length of the carbon chain as well as the number of chlorine atoms can vary (see also congener).	
FS	Research vessel (Forschungsschiff)	
GA	Research vessel GAUSS	
Gadu mor	Gadua morhua, cod	
GC	Gas Chromatography	
GPC	Gel Permeation Chromatography	
HCB	Hexachlorobenzene	
НСН	Hexachlorocyclohexane	
HELCOM	Helsinki Commission	
HFBAA	Heptafluorobutyric acid anhydrate	
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography	
HRGC	High Resolution Gas Chromatography: Separation with capllary columns.	
HRMS	High Resolution Mass Spectrometry: Mass separation is carried out in a magnet field at mass resolutions of 5'000-10'000. This increased selectivity and suppresses disturbances by sample matrix and other organic pollutants.	
ID	Internal Diameter	
ISTD	Internal Standard	
K <sub>OW</sub>	Octanol/water distribution coefficient	
LC	Liquid Chromatography	
LC <sub>50</sub>	Lethal concentration by which 50% of the test organisms die	
LD <sub>50</sub>	Lethal dose, by which 50% of the test organisms die	
Lima lim	<i>Limanda limanda</i> , dab	
LOD	Limit of Detection (normally at a signal-to-noise ratio of 3:1): The height of a chromatographic signal must be three times higher than the chemical and electronic noise of the baseline.	
LOQ	Limit of Quantification (normally at a signal-to-noise ratio of 10:1): The height of a chromatographic signal must be ten times higher than the chemical and electronic noise of the baseline.	
IPCA	long-chain <b>p</b> olychlorinated <i>n</i> -alkanes	
LRMS	Low Resolution Mass Spectrometry: All mass spectrometric techniques with so-called unit mass resolution. This means that ions with a mass difference of one mass unit can be separated.	

lw	lipid weight
m	Male
MAE	Microwave Assisted Extraction
MATC	Maximum acceptable toxicant concentration
MC5, MC7, MC8	Trivial names of chlordane compounds
ME	Methyl-
mPCA	medium-chain polychlorinated <i>n</i> -alkanes
MRM	Multiple Reaction Monitoring
MS	Mass Spectrometry
MS/MS	Tandem mass spectrometry: A mass spectrometric technique which increases selectivity and lowers detection limits. Ions specific for a compound are selected with the first mass filter and then fragmented into smaller structure characteristic ion in a collison cell. One of these ions is the selected with the second mass filter.
MUDAB	Meeresumweltdatenbank, Marine Environmental Data Base, BSH
MW	Molecular weight
<i>m/z</i> ,	Mass-to-charge ratio: The mass of an ion detected by mass spectrometry compared to its charge z (normally 1).
na	not analysed
nd	not detectable
ni	not identified
NICI	Negative Ion Chemical Ionisation: A mass spectrometric ionisation technique, which forms anion adducts or fragments ion from molecular ions by gas phase reactions between an reagent gas and a molecule. This increases selectivity and sensitivity for selected compounds.
np	not possible
NPD	Nitrogen-Phosphorus Detector
NOEC	Non Observable Effect Concentration
ns	not specified
OCN	Octachloronaphthalene
OSPAR	Oslo-Paris Convention
РАН	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
PCB	Polychlorinated Biphenyls

PCP	Pentachlorophenol
Plat fle	Platichtys flesus, flounder
p,p'-DDT	p,p'-Dichloro-1,1-diphenyl-2,2,2-trichlorethane
PCA	Polychlorinated <i>n</i> -Alkanes
PCB	Polychlorinated Biphenyls
POP	Persistent Organic Pollutant
PTV	Programmable Temperature Vaporising Injector
Q1, Q3	Quadrupole 1 or 3
$r^2$ , $R^2$	Coefficient of regression
RP	Reversed phase
RT	Retention time
Sec	<b>sec</b> ondary
SIM	Selected Ion Monitoring: Selection of two or more fragments or isotope ions from a mass spectrum, which are specific for an analyte. This increase selectivity and allows to identify a compound further by the given intensity ratio between the selected ions.
S/N	Signal-to-Noise Ratio: Determination of the peak-to-peak noise of the baseline of a chromatogram and comparison with the signal height of an analyte.
sPCA	short-chain polychlorinated <i>n</i> -alkanes
SPE	Solid Phase Extraction
SPM	Suspended Particulate Matter
STD	Standard Deviation
ТСРу	<b>T</b> richloro <b>py</b> ridinol
THF	<b>T</b> etra <b>h</b> ydro <b>f</b> urane
TIC	Total ion chromatogram
TOC	Total Organic Carbon
Toxaphene	Trade name of a technical mixture of polychlorinated bornanes used as pesticide
UK	United Kingdom
US	United States of America
v/v	Volume/Volume
WFD	Water Framework Directive

ww wet weight

### Measuring units

cm	Centimetre
eV	Electron Volt
g	Gram
hPa	Hekto Pascal
L or l	Litre
m	Metre
Min	Minute
ml	Millilitre
mm	Millimetre
mM	Millimolar
ms	Millisecond
ng	Nanogram
pg	Pikogram
ppb	Parts per billion
ppm	Parts per million
μg	Microgram
μm	Micrometre
μl	Microlitre

### 2 Executive summary

The aim of the project "Identification of Organic Pollutants in the North and Baltic Seas" was to identify and quantify toxic organic substances in the marine environment of the North Sea and Baltic Sea for which environmental data are either insufficient or not available. The selection of compounds is based on the lists of substances identified for priority action by the OSPAR and HELCOM Conventions and the European Commissions Water Framework Directive.

### 2.1 Part Polychlorinated paraffins and chlordanes

### 2.1.1 Characterisation of polychlorinated paraffins (PCAs)

Polychlorinated *n*-alkanes (PCAs) are complex technical mixtures containing thousands of different isomers, congeners, diastereomers and enantiomers. The chlorine content of the products varies between 30 and 70 %. PCAs are divided into short chain PCAs ( $C_{10-13}$ , sPCAs), medium chain PCAs ( $C_{14-17}$ , mPCAs) and long chain PCAs ( $C_{>17}$ , IPCAs) depending on the length of the carbon chain. PCAs are persistent, bioaccumulate and have physical properties, which allow dispersion in the environment by long-range transport. Therefore, they fulfil the properties of a persistent organic pollutant. PCAs are applied as additives in metal working fluids, as plasticizers and/or flame retardants in polymers and as surface coating agents.

Since their first large scale usage in 1932 as extreme pressure additives, the world wide production of PCAs has increased to estimated 300 kt/year in 1993 and is probably at the same level today (estimate of production capacity up to 160'000 t annually in the EU in 2002). However, the application has changed from sPCAs to mPCAs due to the unfavourable toxic properties of sPCAs. sPCAs have low acute toxicity, but are carcinogenic and show high chronic toxicity to aquatic biota, whereas mPCAs and IPCAs do not. Due to their widespread and mainly unrestricted use and due to the properties mentioned above, PCAs are present in aquatic and terrestrial food webs of rural and remote areas at levels comparable to polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). For example, levels in fish and marine mammals were reported to be between 100 –

1700 ng/g lipid. However, nothing is known about the input of PCAs into the sea via rivers.

sPCAs and mPCAs are part of the OSPAR List of Substances of Possible Concern and of the HELCOM list of Selected Substances for Immediate Priority Action. However, the OSPAR List of Chemicals for Priority Action and the WFD list of priority substances in the field of water policy contain only sPCAs. In Germany, the production of all PCA was stopped in 1998. Moreover, PCA application is prohibited for metal working and leather treatment since summer 2003 by the Directive 2002/45/EC. Finally, a risk assessment was carried out in the EU for sPCAs and mPCAs.

### 2.1.2 Characterisation of chlordanes

The pesticide technical chlordane was first synthesised in 1945. 147 compounds could be identified in technical chlordane. Main constituents are about 24 % trans-chlordane, 19 % cis-chlordane, 9 %  $\gamma$ -chlordene, 7 % heptachlor, 7 % trans-nonachlor, 3 %  $\alpha$ -chlordene, 3 %  $\beta$ -chlordene, 1 % chlordane and 19.5 % related compounds including cis-nonachlor. About 70'000 tons were manufactured between 1960 and 1988. Technical chlordane is a contact and stomach pesticide with a broad spectrum. It was mainly used for building protection, ornamental lawns and trees, drainage ditches, but also to protect crops like corn and potatoes. Technical chlordane was mainly applied for termite control.

Technical chlordane was neither manufactured in Europe nor in Japan, but was commercially available in Germany around 1950. It was hardly used in Europe and no consumption data are available for this region. First restrictions of use were decided in 1978 by the US Environmental Protection Agency. It was then mainly applied for termite control and finally banned in the USA in 1988 and in Central America in 1997.

Chlordane is registered in the OSPAR List of Substances of Possible Concern and of the HELCOM list of Selected Substances for Immediate Priority Action. However, it is not listed in the OSPAR List of Chemicals for Priority Action and the WFD list of priority

substances in the field of water policy. Moreover, no risk assessment has been carried out in the EU.

Chlordane is lipophilic and has a high octanol-water partitioning coefficient  $K_{OW}$ . Chlordane can be dispersed by atmospheric long-range transport. This has led to a significant chlordane input into the European Arctic. Since hardly any data are available about chlordane levels in Central Europe, this pesticide was included into this investigation.

Oxychlordane and cis-heptachlorepoxide are chlordane metabolites and were never produced. Unlike other chlordane degradation products, they are very persistent, lipophilic and accumulate even more effectively than their precursors in biota. Therefore, they were included into the investigation.

### 2.1.3 Analytical problems of PCAs

Information about environmental levels is still very scarce. The main reason is the complex composition of PCAs, which caused tremendous analytical problems. High resolution gas chromatography cannot resolve them into single compounds. Moreover, mass overlap occurs between PCA compounds of different chain length and degree of chlorination as well as other polychlorinated pollutants requiring normally high resolution mass spectrometry. Electron capture negative ion (ECNI) chemical ionisation mass spectrometry is needed to obtain reasonable low detection limits. However, response factors are highly dependent on the degree of chlorination of PCAs present in samples and require a careful selection of the quantification standards. Otherwise, systematic errors of several hundreds of percents are possible. Moreover, up to thirty (!) analyses by mass spectrometry were needed for a complete pattern of one sample including all PCA compounds of different chain length and degree of chlorination. Finally, so far clean-up techniques were not able to remove other disturbing polychlorinated pollutants as PCBs. Therefore, PCA concentrations published so far are in many cases indicative and hardly comparable between studies.

### 2.1.4 Method development for PCA analysis

The aim of the project was to develop new methods for the determination of PCAs in fish and sediments. They should allow a low cost screening and a less complex determination of formula and congener group patterns. Therefore, the mass spectrometric techniques described below were developed or improved during this project and a full method validation and intercomparison was carried out. The were used to determine PCA concentrations in fish from the North and Baltic Sea as well as from selected sites from the northern North Atlantic to obtain such information for the first time.

*Negative ion chemical ionisation (NICI) with*  $CH_2Cl_2/CH_4$ : This technique suppressed strongly interferences from other polychlorinated pollutants, allows even the detection of low chlorinated PCAs and makes the use of low resolution MS possible. Moreover, response factors are quite uniform and independent from the degree of chlorination. The only disadvantage is the limited number of analyses possible, before the mass spectrometer has to be cleaned.

*Electron ionisation combined with MS/MS:* Further fragmentation of fragment ions in common for all PCAs allow the determination of total PCAs with low cost instrumentation such as an ion trap MS ( $\in$  60'000) with very high selectivity and detection limits comparable to high resolution mass spectrometry. Response factor differences are eliminated. The only disadvantage is the missing differentiation between sPCA and mPCA and that formula/ congener group specific results are not obtained. The technique has even lower detection limits using more expensive triple quadrupole MS.

*Optimisation of quantification by ECNI:* Response factors depend strongly on the degree of chlorination of PCAs and mass overlap between formula/congener groups occur with low resolution MS. However, methods could be developed which compensated the influence of the degree of chlorination and which allowed eliminating mass overlap interferences by a careful retention time range selection and isotope ratio control of registered masses. This enabled the use of low resolution MS.

Finally, a newly developed clean-up procedure removed other interfering pollutants such as PCB completely. A full validation of the methods and intercomparison including high resolution MS showed, that all methods were comparable within 10–20 %, which is excellent for trace analysis of a extremely complex compound class. Limits of detection were around 1 ng PCA absolute corresponding to ca. 1 ng/g fish liver and 2–8 ng/g sediment. Linear ranges of two orders of magnitude were achieved. Recoveries were typically between 80–100 %.

Commercial technical PCA mixtures were characterised as a first step.  $C_{11}$  and  $C_{12}$ -compounds contribute ca. 67–82 % to the total sPCA amount. Precision of the formula/congener group determination was about 1 %. The average chlorine content and molecular weight were the main factors of differentiation. ECNI overestimated the chlorine content compared to available supplier information. However, NICI with CH4/CH2Cl2 confirmed it within 1–2.5 %.

### 2.1.5 Quantification of chlordanes

The analysis of chlordane compounds is well established and mainly carried out by ECNI mass spectrometry with low resolution instruments. Usually, the four representatives cis/trans-chlordane and cis/trans-nonachlor as well as the main metabolites of cis/trans-chlordane are quantified. Some unusual chlordane representatives such as MC5 and MC7 were also determined in this project. Chlordane analysis contained the following elements: The extraction and clean-up method was identical to those for PCAs. All main chlordane compounds were present in the PCA fraction. Gas chromatographic separation was carried out on the same capillary as PCAs. Quantification was carried out by conventional ECNI according to a validated method.

A method based on EI-MS/MS was also developed to check for possible systematic errors concerning quantification of sediments. It had comparable detection limits for most compounds. The same ECNI quantification technique for sediment analysis was used on two mass spectrometers from different supplier. No significant difference within the measuring uncertainty (10-15%) was observed. The same was valid for ECNI and EI-MS/MS.

Detection limits were less than 0.1ng/g for biota and sediments. The linear range was at least three orders of magnitude and typical recoveries between 75–90 %. Method blanks were equal to the detection limit.

# 2.1.6 PCAs in biota from the Baltic/North Sea and the northern North Atlantic

Fish livers (cod, dab, flounder) were collected during two monitoring expeditions at five to six sites in the North and Baltic Sea in August/September 2002/2004. Livers were pooled to obtain minimum 5 g of sample. Moreover, six cod liver samples were obtained from the north and south coast of Iceland and the Lofot Islands via the Norwegian Institute for Air Research. Liver and muscle tissue of fish (Arctic char) and sea birds (little auk, kittiwake, glacous gull) were obtained from Lake Ellasjøen at Bear Island, which is known as a site of elevated concentrations of polychlorinated compounds (PCBs, DDT, toxaphenes) due to long range transport and on-site bioaccumulation. Sampling was carried out in 2001 by Aquaplan-NIVA (Norway).

PCA levels were well detectable in all samples by screening using EI-MS/MS. Quantification and formula/congener group analysis were carried out by ECNI to enable a comparison with former studies using mainly the response factor correction mode.

s+mPCA levels in fish liver from the North and Baltic Sea showed no species-specific concentration dependence. Concentration ranges were comparable for the North Sea (54–3880 ng/g lw, mean 985 ng/g lw) and the Baltic Sea (90–3170 ng/g lw, mean 615 ng/g lw). The highest s+mPCA levels were far above 1 ppm, which is remarkably high. s+mPCA levels in cod liver from remote areas (Lofot Islands/Iceland) were considerably lower (46–265 ng/g lw, mean 149 ng/g lw) than in cod liver from the North and Baltic Sea.

Arctic char (200–2500 ng/g lw, mean 1005 ng/g lw) from the remote region Bear Island had comparable levels as cod from the North and Baltic Sea. Similar concentrations were also reported for PCB, DDT-compounds and toxaphenes. Main reasons for such an exposure are long range transport, condensation effects and a high precipitation rate around the sampling site Lake Ellasjøen and the breeding sites of thousands of sea birds close by. Birds from Bear Island had also a considerable s+mPCA burden, which was in the same range as for DDT-compounds, PCB and toxaphenes.

The mPCA concentrations were higher than those for sPCA in many samples from the Baltic Sea and in birds. No clear relation between s- and mPCAs was observed for the North Sea and northern North Atlantic.  $C_{14}$  chains were dominant (mean 69 %, range 59–100 %) in the mPCA-pattern of all samples. This is also typical for technical mixtures.

The situation was more complex for sPCA-patterns. C11 and C12 congeners were most abundant in the biota from the Baltic and North Sea. The distribution resembled those in technical sPCA, but showed a larger variability. However, cod livers from the northern North Atlantic showed a difference. Here, C10 and C12 congeners were most common. The C10 fraction and C10/C12 ratio increased to 28.4% and 0.76, respectively, in cod liver from the northern North Atlantic compared to 13.6% and 0.43 in technical mixtures or 16.8% and 0.53 in cod liver from the Baltic Sea. The change of C10 congeners from a minor to a major fraction of sPCA was also observed in marine mammals from the Northern North Atlantic such as beluga, walrus and seals. It indicates a fractionation and enrichment of the more volatile C10 congeners during long range transport to this remote region.

# 2.1.7 PCAs in sediments from the Baltic and North Sea and additional sites in Europe

Sediments were collected during monitoring expeditions in August/September 2001, August/September 2002, May/June 2003 and spring 2004 (13 sediments from 7 sites in the Baltic sea and 20 sediments from 16 sites in the North Sea). Moreover, 8 river and sea sediments and suspended particulate matter (11 samples) were obtained from

different institutions for comparison (Laboratoire d'Etudes ed d'Analyses, Le Havre, France; Behörde für Wirtschaft und Arbeit Hamburg, Germany; Landesanstalt für Umweltschutz, Karlsruhe, Germany; Akva-plan niva, Tromsø, Norway; BSH, Hamburg, Germany).

All samples were first quantified by EI-MS/MS to obtain the total PCA content. In addition, the sPCA and mPCA content was determined in those samples exceeding ca. 50 ng/g dw total PCA. Below this level, quantities were too low for this analytical procedure based on single formula and congener groups.  $CH_4/CH_2Cl_2$ -NICI was mainly used. ECNI became sensitive enough, when new equipment was bought in 2003.

EI-MS/MS allowed detecting PCAs in all sediments (5–377 ng/g dry weight (dw)). PCA levels in sediments from the Baltic Sea (45–377 ng/g dw) were generally higher than in those from the North Sea (5–355 ng/g dw, ten of sixteen samples below 50 ng/g dw). However, they were quite equal when expressed on TOC basis (North Sea 2.3–33.1 ng/g TOC, Baltic Sea 2.1–9.4 ng/g TOC). The TOC content was a good marker for PCA concentrations. Concentrations of mPCA (C14–16, 42–303 ng/g dw) were always higher than for sPCA (C10–13, 18–128 ng/g dw). The ratio mPCA/sPCA varied between 1.7 and 3.2. Higher TOC levels indicated usually also a higher PCA burden. The highest PCA concentrations in the North Sea were found at sites in the Elbe estuary, where chemical waste and sewage sludge had been dumped several years ago.

PCA concentrations in sediments have only been reported from very few sites worldwide. They were in the same range as in sediments from the North and Baltic Sea at non-contaminated sites. A limited number of additional sediments were analysed from different regions of Europe to increase the data set for comparison. The PCA levels in these river and sea sediments were comparable with those from the North and Baltic Sea.

PCAs with  $C_{13}$  and  $C_{14}$  chain and 4 to 6 Cl atoms were usually the main components in the marine sediments.  $C_{17}$  chains were not detected in any sediment. sPCAs in marine sediments consisted to 50–87% of  $C_{12}$ - and  $C_{13}$ -compounds and mPCAs between 56–

81 % of  $C_{14}$ -constituents. The content of  $C_{16}$  chains length was maximum 12% in mPCAs.

Differences were found between marine and river sediments as well as suspended particulate matter (SPM). The chlorine content of sPCAs was lower in marine sediments (51-59 %) than in river sediments/SPM (58–63 %). Main compounds in all sediment types were C<sub>11</sub> and C<sub>12</sub> chains with 7–8 Cl. However, the fraction of C<sub>11</sub> compounds was somewhat lower in marine sediments (19–34%) than in river sediments/SPM (24–43 %). Moreover, the chlorine content of mPCAs and their composition was comparable for both sea and river sediments as well as SPM.

#### 2.1.8 PCA in sea water

Only two sea water samples were analysed due to the expected very low concentrations. Samples of 100 l were taken and extracted. No PCAs could be detected by EI-MS/MS at a detection limit of 0.4 ng/l water, which corresponds to an absolute detection limit of 0.2 ng for the most abundant fragmentation.

# 2.1.9 Chlordanes in biota from the Baltic and North Seas and the northern North Atlantic

Sum concentrations ( $\Sigma$ chlordane) on lipid weight basis (11–47 ng/g lw) were comparable to other studies of fish from the Baltic and North Sea. No significant concentration difference (t-test) was observed between the Baltic ( $\Sigma$ chlordane 11.3 ± 5.1 ng/g ww) and the North Sea (10.4 ± 6.0 ng/g ww) or between the two measuring campaigns. However, compared to cod liver from the Lofot Islands, the chlordane compound level in the North and Baltic Sea was about one order of magnitude lower.

*trans*-Nonachlor contributed most to the sum concentrations  $(37 \pm 6 \%)$  as it is typical for most studies. cis-Chlordane dominates in fish recently exposed to technical chlordane. Therefore, any trans-nonachlor/cis-chlordane ratio >1 indicate no new and recent chlordane input. The cod livers of this study had a mean ratio of  $1.8 \pm 0.6$ , which point to old sources and no recent chlordane exposure. The two chlordane compounds MC5 and MC7 were hardly or not detectable (limit of detection ca. 0.1 pg/g).

# 2.1.10 Clordanes in sediments from the Baltic and North Seas and additional sites in Europe

*trans*-Nonachlor was most dominant in the majority of sediments. *cis/trans*-Nonachlor concentrations were about ten times higher in the Baltic Sea (0.12–0.46 ng/g dw) than in the North Sea (0.001–0.34 ng/g dw). *cis/trans*-Chlordane levels were partly higher in the North Sea than in the Baltic Sea, when compared on a wet weight basis. It was vice versa expressed on TOC content. Concentrations of cis-and of trans-chlordane were comparable.

Very little information about chlordane concentrations in sediments is available in the literature. Overall, chlordane concentrations in the sediments analysed in this project were within the same order of magnitude as those published. *trans*-Nonachlor was most dominant in the majority of sediments. *cis/trans*-Nonachlor concentrations were about ten times higher in the Baltic Sea (0.12–0.46 ng/g dw) than in the North Sea (0.001– 0.34 ng/g dw). *cis/trans*-Chlordane levels were partly higher in the North Sea than in the Baltic Sea, when compared on a wet weight basis. It was vice versa expressed on TOC content. Concentrations of cis-and of trans-chlordane were comparable.

### 2.2 Part: Sampling and analysis - selected pesticides

### 2.2.1 Characterisation of the target compounds

The target compounds of this project part - chlorpyrifos (-methyl and -ethyl), dicofol, endosulfan (I and II), pentachlorophenol (PCP) and trifluralin - are members of entirely different chemical compound classes and have different applications. Chlorpyrifos, dicofol, and endosulfan are used as insecticides, trifluralin is a herbicide, and PCP has been used for various pesticidal applications. All of them are listed as hazardous substances for priority action by either the OSPAR Commisson or under the WFD due to their suspected persistence and toxic properties as well as their bioaccumulation potential. They were included in this investigation, since the information about their occurrence in the marine environment was considered insufficient.

The structures of these target compounds are very different, but their feature in common is an intermediate polarity (log  $K_{OW}$  3.5 to 5) and stability against biological degradation. However, they are considered less persistent and bioaccumulative than classical pollutants such as DDT, PCB, PAH etc. Moreover, the more polar properties make a prediction of their environmental behaviour more difficult.

### 2.2.2 Chlorpyrifos

Chorpyrifos is a phosphorus ester insecticide. Both the methyl- and ethyl esters are in use (chlopyrifos-methyl, chlorpyrifos-ethyl). They are applied as a broad-spectrum insecticide for the treatment of grain, cotton and vegetable crops. The compound was introduced in 1965 and is primarily produced by Dow AgraSciences (Indianapolis, USA). European manufacturers are Frunol (Unna, Germany) and Point Enterprises (Switzerland). Annual consumption in Europe is about 1000 t.

### 2.2.3 Dicofol

Dicofol is a dichlorodiphenylmethane insecticide with a strong structural similarity to DDT. However, its hydroxyl group makes it more polar and less stable. Dicofol is banned in Germany, and has not been sold since 1995. It is not registered in most

neighbouring countries of the North Sea and Baltic Sea – Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Norway, and Finland – or in Switzerland. The main application countries of dicofol in Europe (about 290 t) are Spain (100–150 t), France (14 t), Portugal (4.8 t), and UK (1 t).

### 2.2.4 Endosulfan

Endosulfan is a sulphite ester of a chlorinated cyclodienediol. The technical mixture contains two parts of endosulfan I – and 1 part of endosulfan II – isomer. Its main application areas are temperate, sub-tropic and tropic climatic zones. In Europe, it has been registered since 1956. World-wide production was about 5,000 to 10,000 t in 1992. One of the main producers is Bayer CropScience. In 1999, the total consumption of the compound in Europe was 469 t mainly in southern Europe (431 t). In most north European countries, endosulfan has not been used since the mid-1990s. This led to a decline of consumption from about 400 t in 1995 to only 38 t in 1999. Only Belgium, France, UK, and Switzerland confirmed applications for 1999.

#### 2.2.5 Pentachlorophenol (PCP)

Pentachlorophenol is a chlorinated phenol. PCP production in Europe has been banned since the early 1980s. Nevertheless, 100 t of imported PCP was used as an algaecide and bactericide in the timber and textile industry of the European Union in 1997. Use of PCP in Germany was banned in 1989.

### 2.2.6 Trifluralin

Trifluralin is a dinitroaniline derivative which is used as a selective herbicide. It is marketed under different trade names (e.g. TREFLAN) for use as a pre-sowing or preemergence herbicide to control grasses and dicotyledonous weeds. It was first registered in the US in 1963. In the European Union, there is only one manufacturer of trifluralin (Manerbio, Northern Italy). The annual production volume is about 6,000 t; thereof about 3,200 t are used in the European Union.

### 2.2.7 Analytical problems and method development

The aim of the project was to develop methods for the determination of these target compounds at ultra-trace levels in marine water, sediments, and biota. Very sensitive methods were developed for chlorpyrifos, endosulfan and trifluralin with detection limits of <10 pg/L for water, <10 ng/kg for sediment, and <0.5  $\mu$ g/kg for biota. Sea water extraction was performed by solid phase extraction. Sediments and biota were extracted by microwave assisted hot solvent extraction with a mixture of hexane/acetone. GC-MS in the negative ion chemical ionisation mode was used for the determination.

The limits of detection (LODs) for PCP and dicofol were not quite as low but appropriate for this study and comparable with LODs reported for terrestrial surveys. A fast HPLC-MS method with detection limits of 0.2 and 0.4 ng/L was developed for PCP and the chlorpyrifos degradation product trichloropyridinol (TCPy) in sea water. This method was sufficient for screening purposes in river estuaries and at coastal stations, but not selective enough for sediment and biota samples. Therefore, additionally a GC-NCI-MS method was developed for these matrices, which, however, required a derivation of the phenol group.

Dicofol degraded thermally very quickly during GC-MS analysis. Therefore, a limit of quantification (LOQ) of only 1 ng/L could be achieved, which is only sufficient for a screening of local hot spots. Since dicofol was found to be unstable in sea water and due to time constraints, no method was developed for sediment or biota.

## 2.2.8 Chlorpyrifos in the marine environment of the North and Baltic Seas

This is the first time that chlorpyrifos has been detected in quantifiable amounts in the marine environment. Chlorpyrifos-methyl was not detectable in the North Sea and Baltic Sea. However, the homologue ester chlorpyrifos-ethyl was found in most water and biota samples. It was less frequently detected in sediment samples. Observed concentrations were very low with a median of 26 pg/L in water samples and 9 ng/kg

dry weight (dw) in sediments. Considerable bioaccumulation was observed in fish liver with median concentrations of  $0.36 \ \mu g/kg$  wet weight (ww) corresponding to  $1.6 \ \mu g/kg$  lipid weight (lw). The enrichment from water to sediment was calculated to a factor of about 350, from water to biota (fish liver) to about 14'000 (ww) and 62'000 (lw). This relatively low enrichment is in accordance with the moderate log K<sub>OW</sub> value of 3.6-4.5. Compared to the EQS value of 30 ng/L, which is proposed for the evaluation of inland and transitional waters by the WFD, the observed sea water concentrations for chlorpyrifos are quite low. It is remarkable that chlorpyrifos has been detected at all in the marine environment of the North and Baltic Seas considering the short half-live, the low volatility and low emissions from local sources.

The low concentrations and the distribution patterns observed for North Sea and Baltic Sea water are best explained by a generally low background level with some minor local sources. Concentrations in the river Elbe - generally the most important input source of pollutants to the German Bight - are relatively low and consequently its impact on chlorpyrifos input. It is not surprising that TCPy was not found in sea water taken the low chlorpyrifos concentrations and the moderate environmental persistence of TCPy into account.

Water concentrations of chlorpyrifos-ethyl were above those of the classical lipophilic pollutants such as HCB, DDT, PCBs or PAHs, but below those of HCHs. Sediment levels were below that of classical pollutants. In biota concentrations are in a range of HCHs and HCB, but lower than the more lipophilic DDT-group and PCBs.

#### 2.2.9 Endosulfan in the marine environment of the North and Baltic Seas

This is the first time that quantifiable conencentration of endosulfan have been detected in the North Sea and Baltic Sea. Endosulfan II levels were generally lower than for endosulfan I and often not detectable in the marine samples of the North Sea and Baltic Sea. The isomer endosulfan I was found in many water samples and in some sediments and biota. However, concentrations were very low with a median of 25 pg/L for water and 20.5 ng/kg dw for sediments. In fish liver, median concentrations of 0.44  $\mu$ g/kg ww and 1.9  $\mu$ g/kg lw were observed. The measured sea water concentrations of endosulfan were about ten times lower than the proposed WFD EQS of 0.5 ng/L.

The observed concentrations and distribution patterns are best explained by a low general background load by e.g. atmospheric deposition or only minor local sources. Concentrations in the river Elbe - the most important source of pollutant input to the German Bight - are often around or below the LOQ. Therefore, no distinct concentration gradients have been observed in the German Bight. Considering the low concentrations of local sources, it is astonishing that endosulfan has been detected in the North and Baltic Seas at all.

Concentrations of endosulfan in water were higher than for classical lipophilic pollutants such as HCB, DDT, PCBs or PAHs but below those for HCH. Compared to classical chlorinated pollutants, the endosulfan concentration in sediment is about 10 to 100 times lower. The bioaccumulation potential of endosulfan becomes apparent when comparing concentrations in the three compartments investigated. The estimated "enrichment" of endosulfan in biota compared to the water phase is about 17,600 based on wet weight, and 76000 based on lipid weight.

The observed endosulfan levels in biota are comparable to classical pollutants like HCH and HCB but below the more lipophilic DDT and PCB group. In 2000, typical HCH concentrations in the German Bight ranged from 0.2 to 0.6  $\mu$ g/kg ww; HCB had a median of 0.84  $\mu$ g/kg ww, and the sum of DDTs one of 3.7  $\mu$ g/kg ww. The low concentrations of endosulfan in the North Sea and Baltic Sea is well explainable by its pattern of use in Europe. According to the OSPAR commission's background paper on endosulfan, endosulfan is used mainly in the south of Europe, while only 38.1 t/a were used in the countries bordering the North Sea and Baltic Sea in 1999. In most north European countries, endosulfan has not been used any more since the mid-1990s.

### 2.2.10 Dicofol in the marine environment of the North and Baltic Seas

Dicofol was not detectable in North Sea and Baltic Sea water (LOD: 1 ng/L). Degradation experiments in sea water showed a half-life of less than one day.

Therefore, no further investigations were carried out in sediments or biota. It was also not considered to be of priority interest to decrease the LOD to the lower pg/L range. In the river Elbe - generally the most important source of pollutant input to the German Bight - no dicofol was found, but the degradation product dichlorobenzophenone (DCB) was detected at concentrations up to 3.8 ng/L. However, DCB is not a specific indicator of dicofol, since other compounds like DDT degrade to DCB as well. Dicofol is mainly used in southern regions such as Portugal, Spain, France, Italy and Greece. Therefore, it may be considered to be of less priority concern for the North Sea and Baltic Sea (unless inputs of Russia, Poland or the Baltic states are of importance). The detection limits of the analysis method applied in this study were not low enough to detect diffuse inputs by atmospheric deposition via long range transport.

## 2.2.11 Pentachlorophenol in the marine environment of the North and Baltic Seas

PCP was found in sea water of the North Sea at concentrations between <0.2 and 1 ng/L. Most values were below the LOQ. Concentrations currently observed in the German Bight are much lower than those reported for 1988, which varied between 0.1 to 6.4 ng/L. Low values (0.1 ng/L) comparable to the results presented here had been observed in the outer German Bight. However, 15 years ago, PCP concentrations in coastal areas were much higher than today, which shows that the pollutant loads of rivers (especially the Elbe) have decreased considerably during the past years. Nevertheless, the river Elbe still is an input source of PCP to the North Sea.

Whether other input sources to the North Sea are relevant at present cannot be derived from the data, since PCP was non-detectable in most samples. Therefore, no spatial distribution pattern could be obtained for the North Sea. Moreover, no high mean concentrations of PCP of 6 to 100 ng/L were found for estuarine regions as in 1983–1997. The observed concentrations of PCP are well below the proposed WFD EQS of 200 ng/L.

### 2.2.12 Trifluralin in the marine environment of the North and Baltic Seas

Since trifluralin had a very low LOQ, it was measurable in most water, sediment and fish liver samples from the North Sea and Baltic Sea. Concentrations are very low in summer, with a median of 15 pg/L for water samples, 10 ng/kg dw for sediments and 0.42  $\mu$ g/kg ww for fish liver. In winter, concentrations in water are about 10 times higher. This can be explained by the preferred use of this herbicide as a pre-seed agent in winter. The detected trifluralin concentrations in sea water are considerably lower than the proposed WFD EQS value of 30 ng/L.

The concentrations found demonstrate that trifluralin is stable enough in the marine environment of the North Sea and Baltic Sea. Concentrations are highest during and after the main application season in winter. The tenfold lower concentrations in summer demonstrate that trifluralin degrades moderately fast in the (marine) environment. The distribution patterns are best explained by a general diffuse burden (e.g. by atmospheric deposition) with only minor local sources.

Trifluralin concentrations in the river Elbe are relatively low and, consequently its influence on the German Bight. Summer concentrations of trifluralin in sea water are above those of the classical lipophilic pollutants such as HCB, DDT, PCBs or PAHs but below those for HCHs. In winter, levels exceed HCH concentrations. Therefore, it will be worthwhile continuing to study the behaviour of trifluralin in marine waters.

Compared to classical pollutants, trifluralin concentrations in sediment are 10 to 100 times lower. For example, HCH isomer concentrations ranged from 10 to 200 ng/kg at the most polluted station in the German Bight (KS 11). The more lipophilic DDD and CB153 were in the range of 1000 to 5000 ng/kg. PAHs such as benzo(a)pyrene had sediment levels between 40–240  $\mu$ g/kg.

The enrichment factor of trifluralin based on the median concentrations in water and fish liver is 28'000 (ww) and 113'000 (lw), respectively. The trifluralin levels in biota are comparable to those of HCH and HCB, but lower than the concentrations of DDT-metabolites and PCB. Typical HCH concentrations in the German Bight varied between

0.2 to 0.6  $\mu$ g/kg ww in 2000. HCB had a median of 0.84  $\mu$ g/kg ww and the sum of DDTs of 3.7  $\mu$ g/kg ww.

In summary, chlorpyrifos, endosulfan and trifluralin have very similar concentrations in the different marine matrices. The slightly higher median levels of endosulfan compared to chlorpyrifos and trifluralin may have statistical and analytical causes, since the limit of detection and the limit of quantification (LOD/LOQ) of endosulfan were higher in this study than those of the other compounds and values < LOD/LOQ were not taken into account. The observed low concentrations of the compounds studied are in accordance with their limited persistence in the environment compared to classical POPs and their relatively low consumption volume in northern Europe (chlorpyrifos, endosulfan, dicofol, PCP). With the exception of PCP and possibly trifluralin, major local input sources have not been detected. The enrichment factors for sediments and biota samples are similar for all compounds and reflect a comparable intermediate polarity of these pesticides. They are lower than for most classical POPs, since the investigated compounds are less lipophilic and stable.

### 2.2.13 Recommendations for future monitoring activities

Based on the observed environmental concentrations in the North Sea and Baltic Sea, available data on the use of these substances, and their physical, chemical and biological behaviour in the marine environment, the following recommendations are made:

**Polychlorinated paraffins** should be monitored at least with a screening method, which quantifies the total amount of short and medium-chained compounds in fish and sediment. Such techniques require relatively inexpensive equipment and only one separation by gas chromatography. However, the determination of complete formula and congener group pattern for at least selected samples should be part of any specifically designed monitoring project.

**Chlordanes** occur in concentrations in the very low ng/g range in fish and sediments from the North and Baltic Sea and are therefore not recommended for routine monitoring. Concentrations are about one order of magnitude lower than in the

European Arctic, which is significantly exposed to long range atmospheric transport from North America. However, *trans-* and *cis-*nonachlor could be implemented into routine analysis by mass spectrometry as indicator compounds for chlordanes. This is easy, since only two additional masses have to be included into the standard measuring procedure.

**Dicofol** should not be included in marine routine monitoring programmes because of its restricted use and low stability in sea water.

**Chlorpyrifos** and **Endosulfan**, too, should not be monitored in the North and Baltic Seas on a routine basis. However, additional data on chlorpyrifos-ethyl and endosulfan I should be collected in the next two years in order to increase the statistical significance of the results obtained so far. The surveys should include the matrices water, sediment, and biota.

**Pentachlorophenol** should be monitored at least in the coastal waters of the North Sea. The screening survey in the Baltic Sea covering the matrices sediment and biota should be finalised; it should be followed by a final statement on monitoring requirements.

**Trifluralin** is recommended to be routinely monitored in water and biota, with a focus on seasonal variations.

### **3** Introduction

Due to the huge amount of anthropogenic organic contaminants, it is difficult to obtain a comprehensive overview of pollution by hazardous substances in German rivers and especially in the marine environment. The routine monitoring programme mainly covers well known lipophilic substances as PAH, PCBs and some other chlorinated hydrocarbons. In recent year, studies on several other organic contaminants have been performed, but most investigation had been limited in space and time and did not provide a broad picture of contamination.

The OSPAR-Commission, who is implementing the Convention on the protection of the marine environment of the North-Atlantic Ocean, has developed a Hazardous Substance Strategy with the objective of preventing pollution of the maritime area by continuously reducing discharges, emissions and losses of hazardous substances, with the ultimate aim of achieving concentrations in the marine environment near background values for naturally occurring substances and close to zero for man-made synthetic substances by the year 2020. OSPAR has identified 48 chemicals for priority action and a list of about 325 further substances of possible concern. For most of these substances it is not known, if they reach the marine environment and in which concentrations they might occur in water, sediment and organisms.

Therefore, it is the aim of this project, to identify at least for some of the chemicals for priority action and the substances of possible concern their environmental concentrations in marine waters of Germany and to compare these concentrations with selected samples from other areas of the Northeast Atlantic Ocean. By this, the relevance of these substances for the marine environment should be checked.

Not only OSPAR has identified lists of hazardous substances to the marine environment, but also the Helsinki Commission, who is implementing the Convention on the protection of the marine environment of the Baltic Sea. The Water Framework Directive (WFD) has also identified 33 priority substances for coastal waters. Out of these lists, the following substances have been investigated in this project:
Substance	OSPAR	HELCOM	WFD	РОР
	Lists	List		Convention
Short chained chlorinated paraffins	Х	Х	х	
Medium chained chlorinated paraffins	Х			
Long chained chlorinated paraffins				
Chlordane	Х	Х		Х
Chlorpyrifos			Х	
Dicofol	Х			
Endosulfan	Х	Х	х	
Pentachlorophenol (PCP)	Х	Х	х	
Trifluralin	Х	Х	Х	

Since the analytical approach is quite different for these substances, different laboratories have been involved according to their analytical specialities. Chlorinated paraffins and chlordane have been investigated by the University of Basel, while the selected pesticides have been studied by the Federal Maritime and Hydrographic Agency (BSH). In connection with this project, polybrominated diphenylethers (PBDE) have been analysed by the Federal Environmental Agency, but the results are not available yet and will be published separately.

The analytical methods for the determination and quantification of these substances had to be developed or improved during the project. For polychlorinated paraffins and chlordane, this included low resolution mass spectrometry combined with negative ion chemical ionisation (NICI-LRMS), electron ionisation tandem mass spectrometry (EI-MS/MS) and electron capture negative ion detection (ECNI-LRMS). It was the aim of the project to lower the limit of detection and to develop a methodology for use in routine monitoring for the identification of polychlorinated paraffins in sediment and biota. Methodologies for the quantification of the selected pesticides had to be developed for identification in water, sediment and biota. These methods had to have much lower limits of detection than the methods published so far. A further goal of the project is the development of recommendations for the OSPAR monitoring programme.

Sampling was carried out jointly for all substances during routine monitoring cruises in the North Sea and Baltic Sea by the BSH for water and sediment samples and by the Federal Research Centre for Fisheries (BFA-Fi) for biota/fish. Additionally, a few fish and seabird samples from the European Arctic, kindly provided by colleagues from Norway, were included to study the occurrence of polychlorinated paraffins and chlordanes in biota.samples from remote areas with little anthropogenic impact.

# 4 Sampling procedure and sampling sites

## 4.1 Water and sediment sampling

Water and sediment samples from the North Sea and the Baltic Sea were taken by the Federal Maritime and Hydrographic Agency (Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie, BSH). Sampling was performed in the course of routine monitoring cruises in 2003, 2004 and 2005. Five of the 7 cruises took place in summer (May to September), and two in winter (February). The main survey area for water and sediment sampling covers the German Bight and the western Baltic Sea (up to the Baltic Proper). In addition, water samples were taken during a 2003 survey covering the entire North Sea and during a 2004 research cruise to the Arctic Atlantic. The sampling stations are shown in Figures 2 to 4.

The cruises are designed mainly for the German national monitoring program BLMP (Bund-Länder-Messprogramm) and for international programmes of OSPAR (Coordinated Environmental Monitoring Programme [CEMP]) and HELCOM (Cooperative Monitoring in the Baltic Marine Environment (COMBINE)).

As sampling is performed according to the relevant guidelines, the results of this project can be fully integrated into existing monitoring programmes and used in the assessments. This is particularly important with respect to the occurrence and spatial distribution of hazardous substances included in the list of hazardous substances for priority action under the OSPAR hazardous substances strategy. The sampling design chosen also allows such new substances to be assessed in relation to pollutants that have already been monitored for several years.



#### Figure 1 : Research vessel "Gauss"

Most of the cruises were carried out by the research vessel FS Gauss (Figure 1). The cruise in February 2004 was carried out by FFS Walther Herwig, that to the Arctic by FS Polarstern. The geographical positions of the samples collected for this project are shown in Figure 2 to 4. Relevant parameters for sample characterisation (salinity for water samples and TOC values for sediments) have been summarised in Table 1 and 2.

The stations were selected to obtain the most comprehensive and representative coverage of the sea areas under investigation. Water sampling stations were selected taking into account oceanographic parameters such as currents and river inputs. In sediment sampling, typical sediment parameters (e.g. TOC content) were taken into account as well. However, due to time restraints and limited resources, optimum sampling conditions have not always been achieved.

Water samples were taken using a 10 l glass bowl. In-line filtration followed by solid phase extraction (SPE) was carried out on board. The SPE cartridges were wrapped in aluminium foil and kept in the refrigerator until elution at the land-based laboratory.Sediment samples were taken using a box corer; the upper 2 cm was transferred to an aluminium box by means of a metal spatula and frozen at -20 °C. It was kept at that temperature in a freezer until analysis. Insulated containers equipped with frigistors were used to transport the samples from the ship to the institute



laboratory, and later to distribute them to the analysing laboratories (UBA, University of Basel).

Figure 2: Water sampling stations in July/Aug. 2003 (Ga 405).



Figure 3: Water sampling stations in the German Bight in 2004 and 2005.



**Figure 4:** Sediment sampling stations in the German Bight and western Baltic Sea as well as water sampling stations in the Baltic Sea. Figures in bold assign the TOC content [mg/g], those in italic station names.

Cruise Station	GA402 May 03	GA405 Jul 03	WH261 Feb 04	GA419 May 04	GA425 Jul 04	GA432 Jan 05
T30/BL2/EIDER	28.07	29.08		29.80	29.48	
T9/LTIEF	29.67	30.09		30.74	31.03	
T4/NSB2	34.39			34.53	34.46	
STADE	< 2	< 2		< 2		
T27	33.42					
T36	31.73					
T34	32.55					
MEDEM				ca. 15		
SYLT1				31.36		32.21
SYLT2				32.71	31.92	
ENTE3				35.04		
NEFB				34.43	34.43	34.76
UFSDB				32.58	33.11	
AMRU2				31.77		
BRIFF				32.03	32.89	
ELBE1					31.53	
NSB3					33.44	
ENTE1					34.82	
URST1						32.17
URST5						34.77
HELG						29.64
11		33.65				
12		34.39				
14		34.57				
29		35.02				
33		34.68				
40		28.22				
46		35.07				
51		29.76				
WH/37			34.5			
WH/39			34.5			
WH/42			32.5			
WH/43			30.0			
WH/49			31.0			
WH/47			33.5			
WH/44			34.5			
WH/50			34.5			
WH/52			33.5			
WH/54			32.0			
WH/56			34.0			
WH/58			32.0			

 Table 1 : Salinity of sea water samples [practical salinity unit] in the North Sea.

Cruise Station	GA349 May 00	GA402 May 03	GA405 Jul 03	GA419 May 04	Median
North Sea					
KS11	14.9	11.0		25	14.9
KS8		8.2		12.1	10.2
WB1	1.93				1.93
WB5		4.632		5.5	
SSL		27.7			27.7
UE20		3.71		4.8	4.26
ES1		0.6			0.6
UE67		1.22			1.22
<b>UE70</b>				0.5	0.5
BL2				8.5	8.5
BL4				1.3	1.3

**Table 2:** TOC in sediments [mg/g]

Cruise Station	GA37 Aug 01	GA387 Aug 02	GA421 Jun 04	Median
Baltic Sea				
Eckfbucht			40	40
710	54	52.8	4.8	52.8
25A			48.6	48.6
715	29.4		30.8	30.1
718	37.8		36.4	37.1
Oder			0.9	0.9
Ruden			28.1	28.1
721/K4		57.8		57.8

# 4.2 Sampling of biota

Fish from the North Sea and the Baltic Sea was sampled by the Institute of Fishery Ecology of the Federal Research Centre for Fisheries (BFA-Fi, Hamburg). Sampling was performed within the framework of the regular annual research cruises for monitoring hazardous substances and bio-effects during late August/September in 2002, 2003, and 2004. The grid of stations covered North Sea areas from the German Bight to the northern Scottish waters as well as the Baltic Sea from the Kiel Bight to the Baltic Proper.

Sampling was performed on a co-operative basis by the Institute of Fishery Ecology. The Institute's available equipment, which included fishery research vessels, and its highly skilled staff with ample experience in fishing and sample preparation ensured:

- the correct catch, identification, and choice of suitable indicator species,
- a correct protocol of catch log data (location, date, time etc.) and biometric data (sex, length, weight, age),
- immediate dissection of liver and fillet sub-samples under controlled conditions avoiding contamination (separated labs on board, clean bench), quick deep-freezing in special frost rooms, and maintaining frozen state during storage and transport.

The cruises were designed for monitoring programmes of the international conventions for the protection of the marine environment such as:

- Coordinated Environmental Monitoring Programme [CEMP] of OSPAR for the North-East Atlantic Ocean
- Cooperative Monitoring in the Baltic Marine Environment [COMBINE] of HELCOM.

Sampling was performed according to the relevant guidelines. Therefore, the results obtained in this project can be fully integrated into existing monitoring programmes and used in assessments. This is particularly important with respect to the occurrence and spatial distribution of substances included in the list of hazardous substances for priority action under the OSPAR and HELCOM hazardous substances strategies. Moreover, concentrations of new substances can be compared to those of substances that have been monitored for many years, such as organochlorine compounds, trace metals, as well as to bio-effect data like the prevalence of fish diseases.

The cruises were carried out by the fishery research vessel FFS Walther Herwig III. The investigation areas for this project were selected from the core areas (N01, N04, N06, N11, P01, P02, BMP, B01, B11) of the Institute's monitoring grid, which has been developed since the early eighties of the last century in order to investigate possible influences of hazardous substances on fish diseases in the areas of past or present pollutant sources and in adjacent areas such as:

- N01 (German Bight): Elbe river, former titanium dioxide dumping site, high shipping traffic
- N04 northern Dogger Bank, high prevalence of fish diseases possibly due to sedimentation of substances originating from industrial areas, rivers, and dumping sites at the English east coast
- N06 industrial region Edinburgh (Firth of Forth)
- Offshore oil and gas production at P01 (Danfield) and P02 (Ekofisk).

Due to variable composition of the catch, it was not always possible to complete the planned sampling programme. Therefore, the spatial coverage of individual years is not comparable. However, dab was caught in the areas N01, N04, N06 and P01 in all three years and was subsequently analysed for brominated flame retardants (PBDEs) at the laboratory of the Federal Environmental Agency (UBA).

An overview of the samples collected for this project is given in Tables 3–5, and the species abbreviations (Rubin-Code) are explained in Table 6. The sampling sites are shown in Figure 5, and detailed logging data of the catches are provided in Table 7. Samples were prepared on board the ship. The laboratories were specially designed to avoid contamination by organic substances ("ceramic" floor coating, walls, benches and cupboards made of sea-water resistant stainless steel, clean bench). Blank controls of analytes at the land-based laboratories had not indicated any contamination of target substances due to sampling and preparation.



**Figure 5:** Top: Locations of North Sea biota sampling areas of the Institute of Fishery Ecology. Bottom: Sampling area in the Baltic Sea.

After dissection, the organ sub-samples (mostly fish livers) were wrapped in precleaned aluminium foil and deep-frozen at -35 °C in the ship's freezing rooms. Insulated containers equipped with cooling elements were used to transport the samples from the ship to the Institute's laboratory, and later to distribute them to the the analysing laboratories.

Year	Area	Species*	Individuals (I) or pooled (P)	No. of samples	Tissue	Analysed by**
2002	N01	LIMA LIM	Ι	5	LI	BSH
2002	N01	LIMA LIM	Ι	5	LI	UBA
2002	N01	LIMA LIM	Ι	5	LI	UNI BASEL
2002	N01	LIMA LIM	Р	9	LI	UNI BASEL
2002	N04	LIMA LIM	Ι	5	LI	BSH
2002	N04	LIMA LIM	Ι	5	LI	UBA
2002	N04	LIMA LIM	Ι	5	LI	UNI BASEL
2002	N04	GADU MOR	Ι	5	LI	BSH
2002	N04	GADU MOR	Ι	5	LI	UBA
2002	N04	GADU MOR	Ι	5	LI	UNI BASEL
2002	N06	LIMA LIM	Ι	5	LI	BSH
2002	N06	LIMA LIM	Ι	5	LI	UBA
2002	N06	LIMA LIM	Ι	5	LI	UNI BASEL
2002	P01	LIMA LIM	Ι	5	LI	BSH
2002	P01	LIMA LIM	Ι	5	LI	UBA
2002	P01	LIMA LIM	Ι	5	LI	UNI BASEL
2002	B11	GADU MOR	Ι	5	LI	BSH
2002	B11	GADU MOR	Ι	5	LI	UBA
2002	B11	GADU MOR	Ι	5	LI	UNI BASEL
2002	B11	PLAT FLE	Ι	5	LI	BSH
2002	B11	PLAT FLE	Ι	5	LI	UBA
2002	B11	PLAT FLE	Ι	5	LI	UNI BASEL
2002	B01	LIMA LIM	Ι	5	LI	BSH
2002	B01	LIMA LIM	Ι	5	LI	UBA
2002	B01	LIMA LIM	Ι	5	LI	UNI BASEL
2002	B01	GADU MOR	Ι	5	LI	BSH
2002	B01	GADU MOR	Ι	5	LI	UBA
2002	B01	GADU MOR	Ι	5	LI	UNI BASEL

**Table 3:** Sampling sites of the monitoring campaign WH242 in August/September 2002.

\*Rubin code, see Table 22. \*\*BSH: Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie, UBA: Umweltbundesamt Berlin, Uni Basel: Universität Basel

Year	Area	Species*	Individuals (I) or	No. of	Tissue	Analysed by**
			pooled (F)	samples		
2003	B01	GADU MOR	Р	10	LI	Uni Basel
2003	B01	LIMA LIM	Р	18	LI	Uni Basel
2003	B01	GADU MOR	Р	5	LI	Uni Basel
2003	B01	GADU MOR	Ι	10	LI	UBA
2003	B11	GADU MOR	Ι	11	LI	UBA
2003	GB1	PLAT FLE	Р	10	LI	Uni Basel
2003	N04	LIMA LIM	Р	14	LI	Uni Basel
2003	N01	LIMA LIM	Ι	15	LI	UBA
2003	N04	LIMA LIM	Ι	10	LI	UBA
2003	N06	LIMA LIM	Ι	10	LI	UBA
2003	P01	LIMA LIM	Ι	10	LI	UBA

Table 4: Sampling sites of the monitoring campaign WH255 in August/September 2003.

\*Rubin code, see Table 22. \*\* UBA: Umweltbundesamt Berlin, Uni Basel: Universität Basel

Year	Area	Species*	Individuals (I) or	No. of	Tissue	Analysed by**
			pooled (P)	samples		
2004	N01	LIMA LIM	I	15	LI	UBA
2004	N03	LIMA LIM	Ι	15	LI	UBA
2004	N04	LIMA LIM	Ι	15	LI	UBA
2004	N06	LIMA LIM	Ι	15	LI	UBA
2004	N22	LIMA LIM	Ι	15	LI	UBA
2004	P01	LIMA LIM	Ι	15	LI	UBA
2004	P02	LIMA LIM	Ι	15	LI	UBA
2004	BMP	GADU MOR	Р	10	LI	Uni Basel
2004	N02	CLUP HAR	Ι	10	LI	Uni Basel
2004	N02	GADU MOR	Ι	10	LI	Uni Basel
2004	N05	MELA AEG	Ι	10	LI	Uni Basel
2004	N05	MELA AEG	Ι	10	LI	Uni Basel
2004	GB1	PLAT FLE	Р	3	LI	Uni Basel
2004	GB1	PLAT FLE	Р	3	LI	Uni Basel
2004	GB1	PLAT FLE	Р	3	LI	Uni Basel
2004	N06	SCOM SCO	Р	2	LI	Uni Basel
2004	N06	SCOM SCO	Р	2	LI	Uni Basel
2004	N06	SCOM SCO	Р	2	LI	Uni Basel
2004	N06	SCOM SCO	Р	2	LI	Uni Basel
2004	N06	SCOM SCO	Р	2	LI	Uni Basel
2004	N06	SCOM SCO	Ι	10	LI	Uni Basel
2004	N05	POLL VIR	Ι	1	LI	Uni Basel

Table 5: Sampling sites of the monitoring campaign WH267 in August/September 2004.

\*Rubin code, see Table 22. \*\* UBA: Umweltbundesamt Berlin, Uni Basel: Universität Basel

Abbreviation (Rubin-Code)	Latin	English
LIMA LIM	Limanda limanda	Dab
PLAT FLE	Platichthys flesus	Flounder
GADU MOR	Gadus morhua	Cod
CLUP HAR	Clupea hargenus	Herring
SCOM SCO	Scomber Scomus	Mackerel
MELA AEG	Melanogrammus aeglefinus	Haddock
POLL VIR	Pollachius virens	Saithe

 Table 7: Detailed logging data of the catches.

					Date			Start posi	tion trawl	
Cruise	Area	Haul	D	М	Y	h	min	Latitude [° min N]	Longitude [° min]	Water depth [m]
WH242	JMP/N01	11	24	8	2002	12	16	54° 17.14	7° 30.06 E	41
WH242	N04	17	26	8	2002	4	38	54° 30.01	2° 16.37 E	20
WH242	N04	20	26	8	2002	11	41	54° 43.26	2° 7.92 E	29
WH242	N06	23	27	8	2002	7	9	56° 18.57	2° 4.58 W	50
WH242	P01	34	29	8	2002	9	4	55° 30.62	4° 40.82 E	36
WH242	P01	35	29	8	2002	10	51	55° 37.25	4° 50.14 E	37
WH242	B11	38	31	8	2002	4	38	54° 47.38	13° 6.82 E	34
WH242	B11	41	31	8	2002	12	4	54° 46.26	13° 18.2 E	41
WH242	B11	42	31	8	2002	14	6	54° 44.30	13° 10.63 E	30
WH242	B01	56	3	9	2002	8	30	54° 31.70	10° 39.06 E	18
WH255	P01	1	26	8	2003	5	12	55° 22.76	4° 57.25 E	46
WH255	N01	16	30	8	2003	4	37	54° 23.77	7° 37.82 E	26
WH255	N01	17	30	8	2003	6	37	54° 20.89	7° 29.05 E	25
WH255	BSH	19	30	8	2003	10	57	54° 6.78	7° 46.05 E	43
WH255	B01	21	31	8	2003	5	22	54° 35.46	10° 24.35 E	17
WH255	B11	34	3	9	2003	5	5	54° 44.59	13° 9.78 E	29
WH255	B11	35	3	9	2003	6	36	54° 45.61	13° 18.77 E	41
WH255	B11	36	3	9	2003	8	30	54° 49.67	13° 8.81 E	43
WH255	B11	37	3	9	2003	10	35	54° 49.81	13° 17.32 E	44
WH255	N06	40	6	9	2003	5	9	56° 16.17	$2^{\circ}$ 7.08 W	52
WH255	N04	49	8	9	2003	5	5	54° 27.23	2° 9.35 E	18
WH255	N04	51	8	9	2003	8	40	54° 38.32	2° 16.15 E	24
WH267	N03	5	5	9	2004	7	27	53° 3.22	3° 51.32 E	23
WH267	N22	9	6	9	2004	5	8	53° 37.03	1° 38.76 E	19
WH267	N04	19	8	9	2004	5	7	54° 43.95	2° 24.97 E	21
WH267	N06	30	10	9	2004	7	32	56° 18.67	2° 4.35 W	50
WH267	N06	32	10	9	2004	11	34	56° 19.15	$2^{\circ}$ 8.46 W	56
WH267	P02	44	13	9	2004	12	0	56° 40.28	3° 11.96 E	66
WH267	P01	46	14	9	2004	5	6	55° 47.15	4° 47.63 E	39
WH267	P01	47	14	9	2004	7	33	55° 43.24	4° 51.58 E	39
WH267	P01	48	14	9	2004	10	39	55° 40.08	4° 55.05 E	40
WH267	N01	53	16	9	2004	5	9	54° 16.06	7° 30.20 E	40
WH267	B01	78	22	9	2004	5	9	54° 29.69	10° 41.18 E	20

# 5 Project 1: Identification of Chlorinated Paraffins and Chlordanes in the North and Baltic Seas

Project number: FKZ 200 25 224/01; Period: 1.05.2002 – 31.10.2005 Prof. Dr. Michael Oehme, Dr. Jana Hüttig, Dr. Margot Reth and Dr. Zdenek Zencak Organic Analytical Chemistry, University of Basel; Neuhausstr. 31, CH-4056 Basel

# 5.1 Aim of the project

Quantification of PCA in environmental samples is far away from routine analysis. Main reasons are:

- The very complex composition present in both technical mixtures and in the environment consisting of ten thousands of compounds, which cannot be resolved by any (chromatographic) method (see also chapter 5.3.1).
- The **presence of many formula and congener groups** requires many analyses to include the majority of them (up to ten per sample).
- **Problems of quantification** due to variable response factors influenced by the degree of chlorination of the PCA present.
- The recommendation to use high resolution mass spectrometry as detection method, which is normally not available and very expensive.

Therefore, information about the environmental PCA burden is very limited despite a high annual consumption of up to 300'000 t. Due to the restricted or banned use of sPCA, the application of mPCA has continuously increased and will continue. Already 1994, more mPCA than sPCA were employed (WHO, 1996).

Table 10 in chapter 5.2.1 and table Table 26 in chapter 5.4.2.2 summarise most of the data available at the beginning of this project. In summary, sPCA concentrations in fish and marine mammals were in the order of 100–1700 ng/g lipid. mPCA levels were hardly determined and the few results available are within the same range. However, a direct comparison of data is hampered by the strong influence of the applied reference

standard. Deviations of a factor of 2 and more are the result (see chapter 5.3.4 for details).

PCA concentrations in sediments are even scarcer. The few studies in Canada and Europe (river sediments) showed highly variable sPCA concentrations with a range between a few and several thousands of ng/g dry weight. mPCA levels are hardly available. Table 35 in chapter 5.4.3.3 gives a summary.

The main aim of this part of the project was first to improve and simplify quantification methodology for PCAs and to add at least some information about s-, mPCA and chlordane concentrations in fish from the North and Baltic Sea as well as from the northern North Atlantic. Moreover, levels in sea sediment should be determined. These limited data would then allow to estimate roughly the burden of PCA and to compare it with more "classical" pollutants such as PCB and DDT.

Chlordane was nearly exclusively applied as pesticide in North and Central America. Consequently, many studies exist about concentrations in the environment, in biota and in humans from these regions. Chlordane can be dispersed by atmospheric long-range transport. After volatilisation from soil and water at low latitudes, the pesticide is transported to higher latitudes by air currents. The colder temperatures in the polar regions lead to dry and wet deposition due to re-condensation. Substantial amounts of chlordane have been detected in remote areas such as sub-polar regions (Andersson *et al.*, 1988) and the Arctic (Oehme and Manø, 1984; Bidleman *et al.*, 1989). Several reports are also available about chlordane accumulation in the Arctic marine food-webs (Muir *et al.*, 1988; Hargrave *et al.*, 1992).

Data about chlordane concentrations in the European environment are nearly completely missing, since this pesticide was hardly applied. Consequently, only very few and limited studies have been carried out about levels in marine biota (Marvin *et al.*, 2003; Jansson *et al.*, 1993; Strandberg *et al.*, 1998; Falandysz *et al.*, 2001; Karl *et al.*, 1998; Voorspoels *et al.*, 2004) and in sediments (Strandberg *et al.*, 1998b). Table 31 in chapter 5.4.2.6 gives a summary of these reports. However, levels in the European Arctic are relatively high due to long range transport from North America (cod liver:  $0.1-0.2 \mu g/g$ 

lipid weight, see Table 32; Karlsson, 2000). Therefore, chlordane was included in this study to extend the existing data about levels in European marine fish and sediments. This was possible, because a validated analysis method already existed for biota and therefore only a method adaptation for sediments was necessary.

# 5.2 Survey about properties of PCAs and chlordane

#### 5.2.1 Polychlorinated *n*-alkanes (PCAs)

Polychlorinated *n*-alkanes (PCAs, also called chlorinated paraffins or CPs) are complex technical mixtures containing thousands of different isomers, congeners, diastereomers and enantiomers (Muir *et al.*, 2000). They are produced by radical chlorination of *n*-alkanes in presence of UV light or heating. The chlorine content of the products varies between 30 and 70 %. PCAs are divided into short chain PCAs ( $C_{10-13}$ , sPCAs), medium chain PCAs ( $C_{14-17}$ , mPCAs) and long chain PCAs ( $C_{>17}$ , lPCAs) depending on the length of the carbon chain. Since their first large scale usage in 1932 as extreme pressure additives, the purity of PCA products was improved by increasing the purity of the *n*-alkane feedstocks (Muir *et al.*, 2000). Modern commercial products contain additives to inhibit decomposition of PCAs by loss of HCl at elevated temperatures and to increase thermal stability when used as flame retardants (e.g. antimony oxide). Other common stabilizers include epoxides and organotin compounds (GDCh, 1996).

Depending on chain length and chlorine content, PCAs are colourless or yellowish, low to highly viscous liquids or glassy to waxy solids. PCAs are thermally stable up to 200–300 °C. Environmentally important physical-chemical properties of PCAs such as vapour pressure, water solubility and water-octanol distribution are summarised in Table Table 8.

As consequence of the different physical and chemical properties, miscellaneous PCA formulations are used for a wide range of applications. Some examples are: Additives in metal working fluids (short, medium, and long chain PCAs, 50–60 % Cl content), secondary plasticizers in polyvinyl chloride and in other plastics (usually mPCAs, 40–

60 % Cl content) or fire retardants in plastics (short, medium, and long chain PCAs, 50–70 % Cl content).

The world wide production of PCAs has been estimated to be 300 kt/year in 1993 (Muir *et al.*, 2000). It is assumed to be about the same today. For example, the mPCA production capacity in the European Union was estimated to 45'000 to 160'000 t annually in 2002. However, the application of sPCAs decreased from 13'000 to 4'000 t in the European Union during 1994–1998 due to strong regulations (HELCOM, 2002).

Compound	Vapour pressure [Pa] <sup>a, b</sup>	Water solubility [µg/l] <sup>a, b</sup>	log K <sub>OW</sub> <sup>a, c</sup>
$C_{10}H_{18}Cl_4$	66	1260	5.93
$C_{10}H_{17}Cl_5$	4–66	678–994	6.04–6.20
$C_{10}H_{13}Cl_9$	0.24	na	na
$C_{11}H_{20}Cl_4$	10	575	5.93
$C_{11}H_{19}Cl_5$	1–2	546–962	6.04-6.40
$C_{11}H_{18}Cl_6$	0.5–2	37	6.4
$C_{12}H_{20}Cl_{6}$	na	na	6.40-6.77
$C_{12}H_{18}Cl_8$	na	na	7.0
$C_{13}H_{23}Cl_5$	0.032	30	6.61
$C_{13}H_{21}Cl_7$	na	na	7.14
$C_{17}H_{32}Cl_4$	4.0 10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.9 10 <sup>-2</sup>	na
$C_{17}H_{27}Cl_9$	1.7 10 <sup>-5</sup>	6.6 10 <sup>-1</sup>	na
$C_{20}H_{38}Cl_4$	4.5 10 <sup>-5</sup>	na	na
$C_{20}H_{33}Cl_8$	1.9 10-7	5.3 10 <sup>-3</sup>	na

Table 8: Physical-chemical properties of selected PCAs.

log K<sub>ow</sub>: octanol-water partition coefficient, na: not available

Data from: <sup>a</sup> Muir et al., 2000, <sup>b</sup> Drouillard et al., 1998, <sup>c</sup> Sijm and Sinnige, 1995

Currently, the manufacture and use of sPCAs is banned in the European Community due to their higher aquatic toxicity compared to mPCA (European Community, 2002; see also Table 9). The average bioconcentration factor for sPCAs was estimated to ca. 1100 and of mPCA to 7300 (OSPAR, 2002).

Table 9: Aquatic toxic properties of sPCAs and mPCAs.

Test system	sPCA	mPCA
Acute toxicity algae IC50 [mg/l]	0.043	>3.2
Acute toxicity daphnia EC50 [mg/l]	0.3	0.059
Acute toxicity fish LC50 [mg/l]	>100	>10'000
Chronic toxicity daphnia NOEC [mg/l]	0.005	0.01
Chronic toxicity fish NOEC [mg/l]	0.28	0.6

Data from: OSPAR (2002)

In Germany, the production of all PCA was stopped in 1998. Moreover, PCA application is prohibited for metal working and leather treatment since summer 2003 (European Community, 2002).

Compared to other chlorinated persistent organic pollutants, limited information is available about the toxicity of PCAs. PCAs have low acute toxicity (Farrar, 2000), but are suspected carcinogens, since liver, thyroid, and kidney carcinomas were observed in mice (Bucher *et al.*, 1997).

Moreover, sPCAs showed chronic toxicity to aquatic biota, whereas mPCAs and IPCAs did not (Thompson, 2000).

sPCAs have been included in the list of substances for priority action of the Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the north-east Atlantic (OSPAR, 2000), in the list of priority dangerous substances of the European water framework directive (European Community, 2001) and in that of selected substances for immediate priority action of the Helsinki Commission (HELCOM, 2002).

Due to their widespread and mainly unrestricted use and due to the properties mentioned above, PCAs are present in aquatic and terrestrial food webs of rural and remote areas. However, information about environmental burden is still very scarce. Typical PCA concentrations in the environment reported prior to this study are summarised in Table 10. Due to the very different physical properties of PCA congeners and homologues, partial fractionation of the original technical composition may occur during phase transition and atmospheric transport.

Sample	Sampling location	Sampling date	sPCA concentration	Reference
Air	Spitsbergen, Norway	1999	9–57 pg/m <sup>3</sup>	Borgen <i>et al.</i> 2000
Air	Egbert, Canada	1990	65–924 pg/m <sup>3</sup>	Stern and Tomy, 2000
Freshwater biota	different locations, Norway	not specified	108–3700 ng/g fat	Borgen <i>et al.</i> 2001
Freshwater particulate matter	different locations, Germany	not specified	69–860 ng/g dry weight	Maulshagen et al., 2003
Marine sediments	different locations, Canada	not specified	4.52–135 ng/g dry weight	Tomy <i>et al</i> ., 1999a

Table 10: Overview of sPCA levels in selected environmental matrices at the start of this project.

#### 5.2.2 Chlordane

The pesticide technical chlordane was first synthesised in 1945 by Kearns *et. al* (1945), and its commercial production started in 1946 by Vesicol Corporation. About 70'000 tons were manufactured between 1960 and 1988 (Dearth and Hites, 1991). Technical chlordane was neither manufactured in Europe nor in Japan (Falandysz *et al.*, 1994), but was commercially available in Germany around 1950. In 1976, the pesticide was removed from the German market. First restrictions on the use of technical chlordane in the United States were decided in 1978 by the US Environmental Protection Agency. In 1983, it was only allowed to be used to control underground termites (WHO, 1984).

Technical chlordane is a contact and stomach pesticide (WHO, 1984) with a broad spectrum. It was mainly used for building protection, ornamental lawns and trees, drainage ditches, but also to protect crops like corn and potatoes (WHO, 1984).

#### 5.2.2.1 Structures

147 compounds could be identified in technical chlordane (Dearth and Hites, 1991). Main constituents are about 24 % *trans*-chlordane, 19 % *cis*-chlordane, 9 %  $\gamma$ -chlordene, 7 % heptachlor, 7 % *trans*-nonachlor, 3 %  $\alpha$ -chlordene, 3 %  $\beta$ -chlordene, 1 % chlordane and 19.5 % related compounds including *cis*-nonachlor (Bussart and Schor, 1948). Figure 1 shows the structure of the main chlordane congeners and metabolites.

#### 5.2.2.2 Physical properties

Chlordane is lipophilic and has a high octanol-water partitioning coefficient  $K_{OW}$ . Some values are given in Table 11. The vapour pressure of technical chlordane is 1.47 hPa at 25 °C. Water solubility of technical chlordane is 32 µg/L for pure water (Johnson-Logan *et al.*, 1992).

Compound	log K <sub>OW</sub>
heptachlor	6.10
trans-chlordane	6.22
cis-chlordane	6.10
trans-nonachlor	6.35
cis-nonachlor	6.08

Table 11: log K<sub>OW</sub> values of main chlordane compounds (Simpson *et al.*, 1995).

#### 5.2.2.3 Metabolism

The metabolite oxychlordane can be formed from *cis*- and *trans*-chlordane. Feeding of rats with pure *trans*-chlordane resulted in a larger concentration of oxychlordane in the tissues compared to *cis*-chlordane, which was partly metabolised to more hydrophilic and more easily excretable products (Barnett and Wyman-Dorough, 1974).



cis-heptachlorepoxide

trans-heptachlorepoxide

Figure 6: Structure of some important chlordane compounds and the main metabolites oxychlordane, *cis*-and *trans*-heptachlorepoxide.

The metabolic pathway of *trans*-nonachlor was also studied in rats. *trans*-Nonachlor degrades via *trans*-chlordane and 1,2-dichlorochlordene to oxychlordane. The same study also revealed that the rate limiting step in the metabolism of *trans*-nonachlor in

humans is the first de-chlorination process to *trans*-chlordane. Furthermore, it was confirmed that the major metabolite of heptachlor is *cis*-heptachlorepoxide (Tashiro and Matsumura, 1978).

Oxychlordane and *cis*-heptachlorepoxide are, unlike other chlordane metabolites, very persistent, lipophilic and accumulate even more effectively than their precursors in biota (Muir *et al.*, 1988; Zhu *et al.*, 1995).

#### 5.2.2.4 Toxicity

Acute toxicity ( $LD_{50}$ ) of chlordane congeners and their metabolites was determined for rats. Pure *cis*- and *trans*-chlordane as well as a 1:1 mixture of the isomers had similar toxicity ( $LD_{50} = 320-400$  mg/kg body weight) while the metabolite oxychlordane was twenty times more toxic (WHO, 1984).

The  $[{}^{3}\text{H}]17$ - $\beta$ -estradiol binding to the human estrogenic receptor (hER) plays an important role in estrogenic action. Chlordane enhanced the competitive binding process for other pesticides. Synergistic effects in the order of 150–1600 fold have been found when testing binary mixtures of endosulfane, dieldrin, and toxaphene together with chlordane (Arnold *et al.*, 1996, McLachlan *et al.*, 1997).

# 5.3 Applied methodology

#### 5.3.1 State of the art of PCA analysis

The quantification of this extremely complex compound class can be considered as "the challenge and nightmare" in environmental analysis. The reasons are manifold:

- PCAs consist of so many isomers and congeners, that **high resolution gas chroma-tography cannot resolve** them into single compounds.
- Electron ionisation mass spectrometry leads to a strong fragmentation of PCAs and, consequently, to insufficient detection limits.

• Ions from **different formula groups** including their isotope signals have the **same nominal mass** and **overlapping retention time** ranges and can therefore cause **interferences**, when **low resolution mass spectrometry** is applied.

First methods for PCAs analysis were developed during the 1980ies (Gjøs and Gustavsen, 1982; Schmid *et al.*, 1985). Electron capture negative ion (ECNI) detection was selected due to strongly decreased detection limits caused by restricted molecule fragmentation. This technique is based on the formation of anions due to the high electron affinity of polychlorinated compound by capture of electrons (in thermal equilibrium). Matrix compounds and other interferences have a low electron affinity and do not form anions (Oehme, 1998). Moreover, high resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) was chosen to suppress disturbances from PCAs themselves as well as from other not completely removed polychlorinated pollutants such as PCB (Tomy *et al.*, 1997). ECNI was still the method of choice when this project was started in 2002 (Froescheis and Ballschmiter, 1998).

- However, **ECNI generates additional problems** influencing the reliability of results (Reth and Oehme, 2004; Reth *et al.*, 2005):
- Response factors are strongly dependent on the number of Cl atoms and could vary by one order of magnitude. Moreover, co-elution of compounds with different degree of chlorination can influence the overall response.
- Lower chlorinated isomers with <3-4 Cl atoms have low response factors and could normally not be detected.
- Molecular ions M<sup>-</sup> as well as [M-Cl]<sup>-</sup> and the chlorine adduct [M+Cl]<sup>+</sup> are formed simultaneously and increased the risk of interfering mass overlap.
- The overall degree of chlorination influences the overall response factor of the PCA mixture present in an environmental sample and required references with similar composition for quantification, which normally are not available.

Nevertheless, the quantification procedures developed of Tomy *et al.* (1997; Tomy and Stern, 1999) allowed to minimise the risk of systematic errors and gave rather reliable results. However, this required HRMS as well as many runs up of the same sample (up to twenty) to detect all possible formula groups (chain lengths from  $C_{10-17}$  and number

of Cl from  $Cl_{5-11}$ ). This made PCA analysis very complex, time-consuming and expensive. As a consequence, only a handful of PCA studies existed, and information about the PCA burden is not available from most regions of the world.

#### 5.3.2 Challenges of PCA method development

One of the main aims of this project was to make PCAs analysis simpler in order to enable routine analysis. This required to develop alternatives and to overcome the following problems:

- To avoid the use of expensive equipment such as HRMS and, as a consequence:
- To separate PCAs from all other interfering (polychlorinated) contaminants already during the clean-up step to allow the application of low resolution mass spectrometry (LRMS).
- **To eliminate** or at least minimise **differences in the response factors** between formula groups, congeners and isomers, which would allow:
- To use commercially available technical mixtures as references for quantification.
- To allow the **detection of lower chlorinated congeners**.
- To enable the **comparability with ECNI** as the current quantification technique.

## 5.3.3 PCA method development

#### 5.3.3.1 Clean-up and gas chromatography

The first step to establish a simpler PCA method was to collect all PCAs within one fraction without other interfering pollutants (mainly PCB and polychlorinated pesticides such as toxaphene etc.). A carefully optimised fractionation on deactivated Florisil fulfilled this requirement as closely as possible (Reth and Oehme, 2005). Moreover, the analysis of sediments requested a cautious removal of elemental sulphur (e.g. by surface activated copper) and a corresponding method optimisation.

Since PCAs cannot be resolved gas chromatographically even into formula groups, the gas chromatographic separation was carried out with short columns and a quick temperature programme to shorten analysis time and to increase signal height with a corresponding decrease of detection limits. Minimum requirements were maintained such as retention time differentiation between short and medium chain PCAs (Reth and Oehme, 2004).

#### 5.3.3.2 Mass spectrometry

The following mass spectrometric techniques were developed or improved during this project:

- Negative ion chemical ionisation (NICI) with a mixture of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub>. Chloride anions are generated from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. They form exclusively the molecular ion adduct [M+Cl]<sup>-</sup> from PCAs (Zencak *et al.*, 2003).
- EI combined with MS/MS in a ion trap or with a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer by selecting fragments common to all PCAs formula groups and to fragment them further (Zencak *et al.*, 2004).
- **Minimisation and correction** of the influence of the **degree of chlorination** of PCAs in a sample on the overall response factors (Reth *et al.*, 2005).
- Replacement of HRMS by LRMS and elimination/minimisation of mass overlap interferences by a careful retention time range selection and isotope ratio control of registered masses (Reth and Oehme, 2004).

In the following, the newly developed and applied mass spectrometric techniques are shortly characterised. Their advantages and disadvantages can be summarised as follows:

# CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub>-NICI:

## Advantages:

- Interferences from other polychlorinated compounds (pesticides, PCB) strongly suppressed.
- Response factor of formula groups and between congener groups rather uniform.
- Only [M+Cl]<sup>-</sup> ions are formed, interferences by mass overlap minimised.
- Lower chlorinated formula groups (Cl<sub>3</sub> and Cl<sub>4</sub>) detectable.
- Use of LRMS possible.

#### **Disadvantages:**

• Rather quick contamination of ion source, therefore mainly suited for record of complete formula group patterns and quantification of selected samples with high content of interferences (sediments).

## EI-MS/MS:

#### Advantages:

- Selection of fragment ions in common to all PCAs formula groups such as  $[C_5H_7Cl]^+$  and further fragmentation to e.g.  $[C_5H_5]^+$  allows to detect all PCAs simultaneously (sPCAs and mPCAs).
- Systematic errors due to strong influence of degree of chlorination on response factors eliminated or reduced.
- Inexpensive instrumentation such as an ion trap mass spectrometer can be used (costs € 60'000.-)
- Limits of detection sufficient for biota, but can be lowered further (factor of 4–5) using more expensive triple quadrupole mass spectrometry (LRMS). Desirable for sediments of low PCA content.
- Ideal for quick screening and pre-selection of samples for further specific formula and congener group analysis.

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Only the sum of sPCAs and mPCAs can be determined.
- No differentiation between formula and congener groups possible.

# ECNI-MS with response factor correction Advantages.

- Systematic errors of quantification due to different degree of chlorination between sample and reference can be compensated. No need for especially adapted quantification references.
- Additional isotope ratio analysis and careful selection of retention time ranges for detection of the different formula groups allow detecting and minimising interferences between sPCAs and mPCAs.
- Number of necessary injections per sample can be reduced.

#### **Disadvantages:**

• No lower chlorinated formula groups (Cl<sub>3</sub> and Cl<sub>4</sub>) detectable.

#### 5.3.3.3 PCA result comparability, own techniques

Zencak *et al.* (2005) could show that deviations between PCA results obtained by different detection methods do not exceed the precision of a single methodology. Long term precision for spiked samples (five parallels over 4 months) was between 6–13 % depending on the tested method. The long term method precision for naturally exposed fish livers (five parallels over 4 months) includes additionally homogeneity variations of the sampling material. It was for the different techniques at maximum:

**ECNI-LRMS:** ≤12 % (sPCAs), ≤18 % (mPCAs)

**CH₄/CH₂Cl₂-NICI-LRMS:** ≤28 % (sPCAs), ≤10 % (mPCAs)

**EI-MS/MS:**  $\leq 20$  % for s+mPCAs.

- Main sources of uncertainty and systematic errors are:
- Homogeneity variations and analyte losses during clean-up.
- **Integration of a signal hump** over a retention time range of several minutes is highly dependent on base-line definition and not well handled by any integration programme.
- Concentrations of single congener groups are often not too much above the quantification limit (defined at a signal-to-noise ratio of 10:1). Noise fluctuations increase the measuring uncertainty. This is particularly valid for formula and congener group-specific methods.

Table 12 shows that deviations between different quantification methods are well within 20 % for spiked samples and around this value for real samples with lower levels.  $CH_2Cl_2/CH_4$ -NICI gives often somewhat lower concentrations due to a complete suppression of remaining interferences. This method was mainly applied for the determination of formula and congener group pattern in sediments.

**Table 12:** Comparison of quantification of s- and mPCAs in spiked fish (mackerel) and naturally exposed fish livers using four different mass spectrometric methods (single measurements). Spikes 1 and 2 contained 1500 ng in total (150 ng/g assuming a sample weight of 10 g) of sPCA with 55.5 % Cl content and spike 3 contained 1500 ng each of sPCAs and mPCAs with Cl contents of 55.5 % and 52 %, respectively. The relative deviations from the spiked amount are given in parenthesis.

Compound	Expected total (ng)	ECNI-HRMS (ng)	ECNI-LRMS (ng)	CH <sub>4</sub> /CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> - NICI-LRMS (ng)	EI-MS/MS (ng)
sPCAs	1500	1720 (14 %)	1560 (5.7 %)	1810 (20 %)	1610 (7 %)
sPCAs	1500	1190 (21 %)	1610 (7.2 %)	1300 (13 %)	1580 (5 %)
sPCAs mPCAs s+mPCAs	1500 1500 3000	1730 (15 %) 1360 (9 %) 3090 (3 %)	1750 (17 %) 1510 (1 %) 3260 (9 %)	1290 (14 %) 1450 (3 %) 2740 (9 %)	np np 2950 (2 %)
	Mean (ng/g)				
sPCAs mPCAs s+mPCAs	40 56 93	57 52 109	43 75 118	21 40 61	np np 84
sPCAs mPCAs s+mPCAs	21 24 48	30 21 51	19 25 44	23 25 48	np np 59
sPCAs mPCAs s+mPCAs	16 82 94	13 77 90	<sup>na</sup> 76	20 37 57	np np 66
	Compound sPCAs sPCAs mPCAs s+mPCAs s+mPCAs s+mPCAs s+mPCAs sPCAs mPCAs s+mPCAs s+mPCAs s+mPCAs s+mPCAs s+mPCAs	Compound         Expected total (ng)           sPCAs         1500           sPCAs         1500           sPCAs         1500           sPCAs         1500           sPCAs         1500           sPCAs         1500           sPCAs         3000           s+mPCAs         3000           sPCAs         40           mPCAs         56           s+mPCAs         93           sPCAs         21           mPCAs         24           s+mPCAs         48           sPCAs         16           mPCAs         82           s+mPCAs         94	CompoundExpected total (ng)ECNI-HRMS (ng)sPCAs15001720 (14 %)sPCAs15001190 (21 %)sPCAs15001730 (15 %)mPCAs15001360 (9 %)s+mPCAs30003090 (3 %)Mean (ng/g)sPCAs4057mPCAs5652s+mPCAs93109sPCAs2130mPCAs2421s+mPCAs4851sPCAs1613mPCAs8277s+mPCAs9490	CompoundExpected total (ng)ECNI-HRMS (ng)ECNI-LRMS (ng)sPCAs15001720 (14 %)1560 (5.7 %)sPCAs15001190 (21 %)1610 (7.2 %)sPCAs15001730 (15 %)1750 (17 %)mPCAs15001360 (9 %)1510 (1 %)s+mPCAs30003090 (3 %)3260 (9 %)Mean (ng/g)mPCAs40sPCAs5652s+mPCAs93109118sPCAs213019mPCAs242125s+mPCAs485144sPCAs1613namPCAs827776s+mPCAs9490np	$\begin{array}{c cccc} Compound & Expected \\ total (ng) & (ng) & (ng) & (ng) & (ng) & NICI-LRMS \\ (ng) & (ng) & (ng) & (ng) & (ng) \\ \end{array}$

na: not analysed

np: instrumentally not possible

# 5.3.3.4 PCA result comparability, former studies

In 1999, an intercalibration was carried out between seven laboratories using different methods (Tomy *et al.*, 1999b). Deviations between laboratories were minimum a factor of two, and no significant difference between low and high resolution MS methods was observed. This means that the differences between the tested techniques were caused by problems with the applied sample clean-up and quantification procedure and not by mass spectrometric disturbances of LRMS.

Moreover, PCA concentrations should be evaluated with caution, if determined before the 1990ies. No quality assurance or proper method validation data are normally presented. Most of them are indicative at the best.

#### 5.3.4 Quantification of PCAs

#### 5.3.4.1 Applied methods

Tomy *et al.* (1997) was the first, who described a detailed quantification method based on ECNI. The concentrations of formula/congener groups and as a sum of total PCA were calculated via the total signal area in the mass chromatograms. A correction of the PCA molecular weight deviation between sample and reference standard was made. Quantification was also based on the internal standard method.

Tomy *et al.* (1997) remarked that the overall response factor is strongly dependent on the degree of chlorination of the PCA. Therefore, it was recommended, that the chlorine content of sample and quantification reference should match as closely as possible to avoid systematic errors. Table 13 shows that the systematic error for ECNI quantification increases dramatically with an increasing deviation between the degree of chlorination of sample and reference. The newly developed techniques CH4/CH2Cl2-NICI-LRMS and EI-MS/MS are much less susceptible to this problem.

**Table 13:** Quantification of three sPCA solutions (overall amount 1500 ng) with variable chlorine content with a sPCAs reference standard of 55 % Cl and 63 % Cl content using ECNI, CH4/CH2Cl2-NICI-LRMS and EI-MS/MS. Relative deviations are given in parenthesis.

Standard	sPCA 51 % Cl		sPCA 55 % Cl		sPCA 63 % Cl	
Sample	sPCA 55 %	sPCA 63 %	sPCA 51 %	sPCA 63 %	sPCA 51 %	sPCA 55 %
	Cl	Cl	Cl	Cl	Cl	Cl
ECNI-LRMS	4300	15600	522 (65 %)	5440	143 (90 %)	413 (72 %)
	(190 %)	(940 %)		(260 %)		
CH <sub>4</sub> /CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -	1770 (18 %)	1210 (19 %)	1270 (15 %)	1030 (31 %)	1860 (24 %)	1980 (32 %)
NICI-LRMS						
EI-MS/MS	1590 (6 %)	1450 (3 %)	1430 (5 %)	1323 (12 %)	1700 (14 %)	1750 (17 %)

Consequently, it is essential for ECNI quantification to determine the PCA chlorine content in the sample first and to select a corresponding reference standard. Only synthetic reference standards of 51 %, 55 % and 63 % are commercially available, and the use of technical mixtures should be avoided due to possible interferences by additives.

Therefore, this study investigated, if an interpolation was permissible of the dependence of the total response factor on the degree of chlorination (Reth *et al.*, 2005). The total response factor and chlorine content of a PCA mixture are calculated as follows:

The relation between the total response factor and the chlorine content was established with seven sPCA-mixtures from different manufacturers (degree of chlorination 51–69%) and nine mPCA (54–58%) from ICI (UK). A linear correlation was found (see Figure 7). It allowed to determine the total response factor of a PCA-mixture or of the PCA content of a sample after the determination of the chlorine content according to equation (4):

Total response factor (sample PCAs) =  $a \cdot x + b$  (4) a: slop; b: intercept; x: determined chlorine content

This total response factor is then used to calculate the total PCA amount of a sample:

$$PCA \text{ amount (sample)} = \frac{\text{relative total area (sample)}}{\text{total response factor (calculated for the sample)}}$$
(5)



**Figure 7:** Dependence of the total response factor (ECNI) on the degree of chlorination for seven different sPCA mixtures (A, average of five interday measurements) and nine different MCCP mixtures (B: average of three interday measurements). Error bars indicate standard deviations of the determination of the chlorine content in x and of the response factor in y.

Table 14 shows, that the response factor correction works excellent for PCA mixtures being part of the correlation function (maximum 7 % deviation) and well for controls (deviations 8–35 %). As can be seen from Table 15, this procedure reduces dramatically the systematic errors of the method of Tomy *et al.* (1997), if the chlorine content of the reference is not properly selected. It also allows the quantification with the few especially synthesised pure PCA-mixtures. Technical products contain stabilisers and other additives, which might lead to interferences and systematic errors (Muir *et al.*, 2000).

**Table 14:** PCA quantification of different sPCA mixtures (51–69 % Cl) by ECNI with total response factors corrected for the chlorine content. The chlorine contents calculated from ECNI-LRMS measurements are indicated in brackets. The expected amount, the measured amount and the relative error are given. Deviations between expected and found chlorine content are caused by the presence of additives which are not detectable by ECNI.

sPCA mixture [% chlorine content]	Expected amount [ng]	Measured amount [ng]	Relative deviation [% ]			
Standards used for establishment of linear function ( $R^2 = 0.9730$ , $y = 0.00041x - 0.02391$ )						
51 (58.7 % Cl)	1500	1553	4			
55 (60.2 % Cl)	1500	1572	5			
59 (61.7 % Cl)	1605	1602	0			
64 (64.5 % Cl)	1070	1030	4			
69 (66.1 % Cl)	1605	1724	7			
Control standards						
53 (59.8 % Cl)	1500	1379	8			
59 (62.7 % Cl)	1500	1690	13			
63 (63.6 % Cl)	1500	2030	35			
Hordalub 80 (56 % Cl	1500	1902	27			

**Table 15:** Comparison of sPCA determination in fish liver by ECNI according to Tomy *et al.* (1997) using three commercial references of different chlorine content (51 %, 55 % and 63 %) and via the total response factor approach. Calculated chlorine contents are given in brackets.

Sample no.	Quantification ac	Total response factor approach <sup>a</sup>		
	Standard 51 (58.7 % Cl)	Standard 55 (60.3 % Cl)	Standard 63 (64.0 %Cl)	
1 (61.9 % Cl) 2 (62.9 % Cl)	794 1055	138 184	43 58	73 82
	Standard 51 (58.2 % Cl)	Standard 55 (59.9 % Cl)	Standard 63 (63.4 %Cl)	
3 (62.2 % Cl) 4 (61.7 % Cl)	209 358	94 161	21 37	29 57
	Standard 51 (58.6 % Cl)	Standard 55 (60.2 % Cl)	Standard 63 (63.5 %Cl)	
5 (61.1 % Cl)	168	81	17	34
6 (62.0 % Cl)	158	76	16	24
7 (60.6 % Cl)	184	88	18	47
8 (61.8 % Cl)	2494	1197	250	408
9 (59.2 % Cl)	733	352	73	521
10 (59.9 % Cl)	56	27	6	21

<sup>a</sup> Chlorine content correlation determined with three SCCP mixtures (51 %, 55.5 % and 63 % Cl,  $R^2 = 0.999$  for sample 1 and 2,  $R^2 = 0.969$  for sample 3 and 4,  $R^2 = 0.964$  for sample 5 to 10)

#### 5.3.4.2 Consequences for results, this study

The total response factor correction mode was developed at the end of this project. Therefore, most of the results are quantified by the approach of Tomy *et al.* (1997). The problem about the influence of chlorine content was well known from the beginning. Therefore, the reference standards were selected accordingly, and **deviations between data calculated in either mode do not exceed the general measuring uncertainty** between and within the applied measuring technique.

#### 5.3.5 Selection criteria for PCA quantification techniques

Table 49 and Table 50 in the appendix give a survey about the applied analysis techniques for each sample. Most samples were analysed in the following sequence:

- **First analysis by EI-MS/MS** to obtain the total PCA content. This methodology has the lowest detection limits.
- Second analysis by a formula and congener group specific method. Since the detection limits are higher for this group specific technique, this part could only be carried out for well detectable quantities (ca. 10–20 ng/g for biota and 50 ng/g for sediments).
- Usually ECNI-MS was applied for biota and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub>-NICI for sediments due to much more interfering compounds and partly very low concentrations. CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub>-NICI is much more time consuming and was only applied, if absolutely necessary.
- Due to new instrumentation with considerably lower detection limits and better robustness, the analysis of sediment was possible with ECNI from 2003–2004. The analysis of some samples was repeated to compare ECNI and CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-NICI further.

#### 5.3.6 Analysis of chlordane compounds

The analysis of chlordane compounds is well established and mainly carried out by ECNI mass spectrometry with low resolution instruments. Detailed information about state-of-the-art can be found in Karlsson (1999) and Karlsson *et al.* (2000). Usually, the four representatives *cis/trans*-chlordane and *cis/trans*-nonachlor as well as the main metabolites of *cis/trans*-chlordane are quantified. Details about the applied ECNI-methodology are given in appendix 1 in chapter 5.6.9.

Briefly, chlordane analysis contained the following elements:

- The extraction and clean-up method was identical with those for PCAs in biota and sediments.
- All main chlordane compounds were present in the same fraction as PCAs.
- Gas chromatographic separation was carried out on the same capillary as PCAs.
- *cis*-Heptachlorepoxide and oxy-chlordane co-eluted, but could be separated by mass spectrometry recording the not disturbed but less abundant masses *m/z* 388 (*cis*heptachlorepoxide) and *m/z* 424 (oxy-chlordane).
- Some unusual chlordane representatives such as MC5 and MC7 were also determined (for details, see Karlsson (1999) and Karlsson *et al.* (2000))
- Quantification was carried out by conventional ECNI according to the validated method described in Karlsson (1999) and Karlsson *et al.* (2000).
- A method based on EI-MS/MS was also developed to check for possible systematic errors concerning quantification of sediments (for details, see appendix 1, chapter 5.6.10). It had comparable detection limits except for *cis*-heptachlorepoxide, where detectability deteriorated by one order of magnitude.

The same ECNI quantification technique was used on two different instruments for sediment analysis. No significant difference within the measuring uncertainty could be observed. The same was valid between ECNI and EI-MS/MS taken the very low concentrations in the pg/g dw range into account (see Table 16).
Sample	Method	Hepta-	trans-	cis-	trans-	cis-
Florisil fraction		chlor F1	F2	F2	Nonachior F1+F2	Nonachior F2
721 (2004)	EI-MS/MS	0.054	0.153	0.116	0.13	0.039
	ECNI, 1200L	0.061	0.142	0.141	0.10	0.034
	ECNI, HP 5989B	0.064	0.135	0.126	0.10	0.029
	Average ± STD	0.056±	0.143±	0.128±	0.110±	0.034±
	C	0.005	0.007	0.010	0.014	0.004
ODER (2004)	EI-MS/MS	0.056	0.066	0.083	0.12	0.021
	ECNI, 1200L	0.036	0.078	0.044	0.10	0.026
	ECNI, HP 5989B	0.042	0.059	0.060	0.09	0.017
	Average ± STD	$0.045 \pm$	0.068±	$0.062 \pm$	0.103±	$0.021\pm$
		0.008	0.008	0.016	0.012	0.004
715 (2004)	EI-MS/MS	< 0.4	0.047	0.027	0.60	0.023
	ECNI, 1200L	<1.3	0.049	0.038	0.50	0.024
	ECNI, HP 5989B	<1.6	0.042	0.031	0.50	0.021
	Average ± STD		0.046±	0.032±	0.53±	0.023±
	-		0.003	0.005	0.05	0.001
RUDEN	EI-MS/MS	< 0.4	0.018	0.023	0.20	0.011
(2004)	ECNI, 1200L	<1.3	0.014	0.025	0.16	0.013
	ECNI, HP 5989B	<1.6	0.006	0.016	0.15	0.006
	Average ± STD		0.013±	0.021±	0.17±	0.010±
	-		0.005	0.004	0.02	0.003
ECKFBU	EI-MS/MS	< 0.4	0.047	0.033	0.17	0.032
(2004)	ECNI, 1200L	<1.3	0.044	0.054	0.15	0.027
	ECNI, HP 5989B	<1.6	0.036	0.035	0.14	0.019
	Average ± STD		0.042±	0.041±	0.15±	0.026±
			0.005	0.009	0.01	0.005

**Table 16:** Comparison of quantification of chlordanes [ng/g dw] in sediments by ECNI (two instruments) and EI-MS/MS. The fraction of the Florisil clean-up is assigned, where the compound is present.

STD: Standard deviation

### 5.3.7 Method validation and quality control

# 5.3.7.1 Limits of detection and quantification

Typically, **limits of detection (LOD,** signal-to-noise ratio 3:1) **for s- or mPCAs in fish liver** were about **1 ng/g** and **limits of quantification (LOQ,** signal-to-noise ratio 10:1) were ca. **3 ng/g. Chlordanes** could be **identified** down to **1-3 pg/g** and **quantified** from ca. **2-10 pg/g** and onwards.

Single PCA congener groups in sediments were detectable down to ca. 5-50 ng/g depending on their structure. Limits of quantification were about 3-5 times higher. Total PCAs in sediments could be quantified with EI-MS/MS down to 2-8 ng/g.

**LOQs for chlordanes and oxy-chlordane** in biota and sediments were between **0.5-8 pg/g** for ECNI and EI-MS/MS. In addition, the instrumental limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) based on the injected volume are given in Table 17 for PCAs and in Table 18 for chlordanes.

**Table 17:** Instrumental (mass spectrometric) limits of detection (LOD) at a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of 3:1 and limits of quantification (LOQ, S/N 10:1) for total sPCA, single sPCA groups, total mPCA and single mPCA groups. Injection volumes were 1-1.5  $\mu$ l.

Method	Total sPCA LOD [ng/µl]	Total sPCA LOQ [ng/µl]
ECNI	ca. 1	ca. 5
CH <sub>4</sub> /CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -NICI	3	nd
EI-MS/MS (ion trap)	0.7	1-2
EI-MS/MS (triple-quad)	0.2	0.5
Method	Total mPCA LOD [ng/µl]	Total mPCA LOQ [ng/µl]
ECNI	ca. 10	ca. 40
nd: not determined		

**Table 18:** Instrumental (mass spectrometric) limits of detection at a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of 3:1 and limits of quantification (S/N 10:1) for single chlordane compounds in pg/ $\mu$ l. Compared are two measuring techniques (ECNI, EI-MS/MS) as well as two mass spectrometers (HP 5989B and Varian 1200 L). Injection volumes were 1-1.5  $\mu$ l.

	Hepta- chlor	<i>trans</i> - Chlordane	<i>cis-</i> Chlordane	<i>trans</i> - Nonachlor	<i>cis-</i> Nonachlor	Oxy- chlordane	trans- HEP	<i>cis-</i> HEP
ECNI,	HP 5989B							
LOD	1.6	0.2	0.7	0.3	0.2	1.1	2.8	11
LOQ	5.3	0.5	1.6	0.9	0.4	3.8	9.4	37
ECNI,	1200L							
LOD	1.3	0.4	1.1	0.2	0.1	na	na	na
LOQ	4.4	1.2	3.6	0.7	0.4	na	na	na
EI-MS/	/MS, 1200]	L						
LOD	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.3	2.4	96
LOQ	1.3	0.7	0.7	1.2	1.2	1.1	7.9	317

na: not analysed; HEP: heptachlorepoxide

# 5.3.7.2 Linearity

#### s- and mPCA:

- ECNI: Linearity was very good within two orders of magnitude (1-100 ng/µl). Regression coefficients were always >0.99 (seven measuring points) Figure 3 shows an example of the linear range for a sPCA congener group.
- CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-NICI: Linearity was very good within two orders of magnitude (1-100 ng/μl, r<sup>2</sup> >0.998, six measuring points).
- EI-MS/MS: Linearity was very good within two orders of magnitude (ion trap: 2-100 ng/μl, r<sup>2</sup> >0.998, six measuring points; triple quadrupole: 0.2-100 ng/μl).



**Figure 8:** Linear range of ECNI for the sPCA congener group  $C_{13}Cl_6H_{22}$  from a sPCA mixture with 55.5 % chlorine content. Linearity could be obtained within 1-100 ng/µl. The most abundant isotope signal of the [M-HC1]<sup>-</sup> ion was recorded.

#### Chlordanes:

ECNI: Linearity was very good within 1-6 pg/μl to ca. 5600 pg/μl (r<sup>2</sup> >0.99, 8-10 measuring points).

# 5.3.7.3 Selectivity of clean-up

#### Chloroparaffins

The clean-up step on Florisil allowed separating nearly completely PCA from other interfering compound classes such as PCB or toxaphenes. Moreover, the internal standard  ${}^{13}C_{10}$ -*trans*-chlordane was also present in this fraction. Table 19 shows the fractionation behaviour for selected compounds.

**Table 19:** Fractionation of the single PCA congeners  $C_{10}H_{16}Cl_6$  and  $C_{13}H_{20}Cl_8$  from PCB 153, toxaphene #62 and *trans*-chlordane by Florisil column clean-up. Relative distributions are given (prefraction: 60 ml of *n*-hexane followed by 5 ml of dichloromethane, PCA-fraction: 60 ml of dichloromethane).

Compound	Distribution [%]					
Compound	Prefraction	PCA-fraction				
$C_{10}H_{16}Cl_{6}$	5	95				
$C_{13}H_{20}Cl_8$	<1	100				
PCB 153, HCB, HCH	100	<1				
Toxaphene #62	100	<1				
trans-chlordane	5	95				

#### Chlordanes

The separation behaviour of Florisil for chlordanes was more complex. Table 20 shows the variability between two different batches, which was considerable. Therefore, a large quantity from the same batch was purchased and its elution properties optimised to avoid such a variable elution range. This improved also the recovery of heptachlor to >80 %. Nevertheless, *trans*-nonachlor eluted in about equal parts in the pre- and PCA-fraction and had to be quantified in both. <sup>13</sup>C<sub>10</sub>-*trans*-chlordane was a suitable internal standard for the PCA-fraction and 4,5-dichlorochlordene (Karlsson *et al.*, 1997) for the pre-fraction. Due to the missing persistence to sulphuric acid, it had to be replaced by  $\varepsilon$ -HCH for the analysis of biota.

**Table 20:** Fractionation behaviour of the Florisil<sup>®</sup> clean-up for chlordane compounds carried out on two different batches. Relative recoveries [%] of the total amounts are given. Tetrachloro- (TCN), octachloro- naphthalene (OCN), the chlordane compound MC8 and 4,5-dichlorochlordene were evaluated as internal standards (ISTD).

Compounds	Col	umn 1	Column 2		
-	<b>Pre-fraction</b>	<b>PCA-Fraction</b>	<b>Pre-fraction</b>	<b>PCA-Fraction</b>	
ISTD					
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>10</sub> - <i>trans</i> -Chlordane	<1	87	<1	95	
OCN	<1	107	<1	106	
TCN	44	56	25	49	
MC8	5	87	<1	109	
4,5-Dichlorochlordene	97	9	74	<1	
Chlordanes					
trans-Chlordane	5	86	<1	99	
cis-Chlordane	<1	87	<1	91	
Heptachlor	105	5	55	0	
<i>cis</i> -Nonachlor	<1	89	1	96	
trans-Nonachlor	41	47	61	28	

## 5.3.7.4 Blank controls

Blanks were always equal to the detection limits due to a very stringent cleaning procedure of glass ware (heating to 450 °C overnight, see experimental in the annex) and many safety measures such as separate glass ware, pretreatment of adsorbents at 600 °C etc. The blank problem is very serious for PCA analysis, but hardly addressed in the literature, which makes many data therein doubtful.

### 5.3.7.5 Recoveries

#### Chloroparaffins

Recoveries of PCA in biota were checked for the whole extraction and clean-up method with spiked muscle tissue from mackerel. As can be seen from Table 21, recoveries were good for PCA and the internal standard.

No. of	Relative recoveries mPCAs [%]							
parallels	$C_{14}H_{23}Cl_7$	$C_{15}H_{25}Cl_7$	C <sub>16</sub> H	$_{27}Cl_7$ $C_1$	7H29Cl7			
Extraction								
1	100	104	9	5	97			
Column chrom	atography with silic	a gel/sulphu	ric acid					
1	100	98	n	d	na			
2	72	76	7	9	71			
3	80	99	11	0	82			
Adsorption chr	omatography with I	Florisil						
1	95	98	9	0	na			
2	77	81	7	6	na			
3	71	71	6	9	na			
Total clean-up	(matrix: sun flower	oil)						
1	66	68	6	6	70			
2	89	98	9	5	92			
Total clea	an-up (matrix: mac	kerel muscle	e tissue)					
1	57	60	8	5	83			
Exponiment		Relativ	e recoveries sP	CAs [%]				
Experiment	$C_{11}H_{18}Cl_6$		$C_{11}H_{17}Cl_7$	<sup>13</sup> C <sub>10</sub> -trans-chl	ordane			
Total clean-up	(matrix: mackerel n	nuscle tissue	)					
1	101		100	99				
2	99		97	119				
3	84		94	72				
4	89		103	80				
5	76		98	71				
6	67		77	75				

**Table 21:** Relative recoveries [%] of selected sPCA/mPCA compounds and the internal standard  ${}^{13}C_{10}$ -trans-chlordane after stepwise and complete clean-up of spiked sun flower oil or mackerel muscle tissue (spiked amount: 1.5-25 µg of sPCA or 1.5-20 µg of mPCA mixture).

na: not analysed; nd: not determined.

Similar recovery results were obtained for the extraction and clean-up procedure of sediments as Table 22 summarises. The recoveries of s- and mPCA as well as the internal standard  ${}^{13}C_{10}$ -*trans*-chlordane were well within the acceptation criteria for ultra trace methods. Recoveries were also tested with North Sea sediments containing PCAs levels below the detection limits. 100 ng/g sediment of sPCA (63 % Cl) and 100 ng mPCA (57 % Cl) were added. The recoveries of four parallels were:

$^{13}C_{10}$ -trans-chlordane:	$78\pm8$ %
$C_{11}H_{17}Cl_7$ :	$96\pm7$ %
$C_{12}H_{19}Cl_7$ :	$88\pm8$ %
$C_{14}H_{23}Cl_7$ :	74 ± 3 %

**Table 22:** Relative recoveries [%] of selected sPCA/mPCA compounds and the internal standards  ${}^{13}C_{10}$ *trans*-chlordane, octachloronaphthalene (OCN) and MC8 (a chlordane congener). Extraction as well as a stepwise and complete clean-up of spiked sea sand and sediments were tested (spiked amount 1.5-2.5 µg of sPCA (55.5 % Cl) or 1.5-20 µg of mPCA (52 % Cl) mixture). ECNI was employed for quantification.

				Recov	very in %				
No.	<sup>13</sup> C <sub>10</sub> -	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> Cl	C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>18</sub> Cl <sub>6</sub>	$C_{11}H_{17}Cl_7$	$C_{12}H_{20}Cl_6$	$C_{12}H_{19}Cl_7$	$C_{13}H_{22}Cl_6$		
	trans-	6							
	Chlordane								
Extra	ction (matrix:	sea sand)							
1ª	84	101	105	na	91		116		
2"	78	96	97	na	90	na	98		
Extra	ction (matrix:	sodium sulpl	nate)			-0			
1	69	na	97	78	82	78	na		
2	64	na	100	81	101	85	na		
3	74	93	88	84	93	96	97		
Adsor	ption chroma	tography wit	h Florisil®						
10	88	na	101±9*	109±11*	109±12*	109±12*	na		
2	75	na	82	82	81	86	na		
3	88	na	90	87	84	96	na		
Clean	-up (matrix: s	ea sand)							
1	75	73	81	na	75	101	na		
2	69	54	63	na	60	71	na		
3	73	79	78	na	86	94	na		
4	76	97	89	na	101	115	na		
	Recovery in %								
	<sup>13</sup> C	C <sub>10</sub> -trans-	$C_{14}H_{24}Cl_6$	$C_{15}H_{26}C_{15}$	$Cl_6 C_{14}$	$H_{23}Cl_7$	$C_{15}H_{25}Cl_7$		
	Ch	nlordane							
Extrac	ction (matrix:	sea sand) <sup>a</sup>							
1		75	92	93		96	97		
2		61	87	94		90	98		
3		62	85	89		91	100		
Adsor	ption chroma	tography wit	h Florisil <sup>®</sup>						
1	-	77	103	114	1	.07	117		
2		89	84	92	:	88	97		
3		86	104	99	1	.04	115		
Clean	-up (matrix: s	ea sand)							
1		72	84	86	:	81	79		
2		83	87	50	:	82	82		
			Re	covery in %					
Nr.	<sup>13</sup> C <sub>10</sub> -trans-	OCN	MC8 C	$_{10}H_{16}Cl_{6}$	$C_{11}H_{17}Cl_7$	$C_{14}H_{24}Cl_6$	$C_{15}H_{26}Cl_6$		
	Chlordane								
Matrix	x: North Sea s	ediment UE	67/GAUSS 34	.9					
1	72	85	-	0	0	0	0		
$2^{c}$	77	87	82	120	95	57	71		
3 <sup>d</sup>	72	88	73	84	81	117	126		
$4^{\rm e}$	67	82	79	120	112	90	114		
Matrix	x: North Sea s	ediment BL2	GAUSS 387						
1	80	74	74	0	0	0	0		
2 <sup>c</sup>	77	93	74	105	102	168	197		
a) v	vithout copper	r. b) 5000 ng	sPCA with 55	5.5 % Cl. c) w	ith 500 ng sPC	A and 500 ng	mPCA, d) with		

a) without copper, b) 5000 ng sPCA with 55,5 % Cl, c) with 500 ng sPCA and 500 ng mPCA, d) with 1000 ng sPCA and 1000 ng mPCA, e) with 1500 ng sPCA and 1500 ng mPCA, \* 5 parallel measurements

na: not analysed

As can be seen from Table 22, octachloronaphthalene (OCN) has a yield, which sometimes is more similar to the PCA compounds. Therefore, OCN was used as additional and alternative internal standard in case of a low recovery of  ${}^{13}C_{10}$ -trans-chlordane.

## Chlordanes

As already discussed before, *trans*-nonachlor cannot be collected completely in the PCA fraction. Tests of the two clean-up steps for biota gave good recoveries of 77-90 % (see Table 23). *trans*-Nonachlor could be recovered to 75 % in the pre- and PCA-fraction. Therefore, both were quantified.

However, *cis*- heptachlorepoxide was non-detectable, and the yield for *trans*-heptachlorepoxide was poor. The reason is degradation by the silica gel coated with sulphuric acid. Since this step is essential for a clean extract, these compounds could not be determined.

Overall chlordane recoveries of the complete extraction and clean-up method were also tested with both sea-sand and air-dried sediment from the site UE 67 (Gauss 332, TOC 0.12 %). Here, clean-up by silica gel coated with sulphuric acid could be omitted for chlordane analysis. Recoveries of the internal standards and the analytes were comparable and in average  $\geq$ 70 %.

Compound	<b>Pre-fraction</b>	PCA-fraction
ISTD		
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>10</sub> - <i>trans</i> -chlordane	4	80
ε-hexachlorocyclohexane	79	<1
Chlordanes		
trans-chlordane	2	77
cis-chlordane	2	77
cis-nonachlor	1	90
trans-nonachlor	29	46
Oxychlordane	<1	85
cis-heptachlorepoxide	<1	24
trans-heptachlorepoxide	<1	<1

**Table 23:** Relative recoveries [%] of selected chlordane compounds in biota after the two clean-up steps (column chromatography with silica gel/sulphuric acid and adsorption chromatography with Florisil<sup>®</sup>). Samples of 10 g were spiked with 10 ng of each compound in 10  $\mu$ l of cyclohexane.

# 5.4 Results and discussion

#### 5.4.1 Formula and congener profiles of technical/synthesised PCAs

Formula and congener profiles were determined by ECNI for all available technical and synthesised PCA mixtures due to the following reasons:

- To determine the mean molecular weight and chlorine content and to **compare** them with the **information from the manufacturer**,
- to investigate **differences in the formula and congener group pattern** between manufacturers, and,
- to enable an investigation of changes in the formula and congener profiles in **biota** and sediments due to enrichment/depletion mechanisms during dispersion, transport and bioaccumulation/metabolisation.

#### 5.4.1.1 sPCA mixtures

Table 24 gives a survey about the determined chlorine content, mean molecular weight and the relative contribution of  $C_{10-13}$  compounds. Figure 4 visualises the differences of the formula group composition between technical and synthesised mixtures for the carbon chain lengths  $C_{10-13}$  and 5-10 Cl-atoms. Similarities and differences can be summarised as follows:

- C<sub>11</sub> and C<sub>12</sub>-compounds contribute ca. 67-82 % to the total amount.
- Precision of the formula/congener group determination is about ± 1 %
- A cluster analysis showed that **average chlorine content and molecular weight** were the **main factors of differentiation** (Hüttig and Oehme, 2005). The mixtures clustered into two groups, one with a Cl content <61 % and a mean molecular mass <400 g/mol and one with >61 % and >400 g/mol. The members of the group with <61 % Cl are marked in Table 24.
- ECNI overestimated the chlorine content compared to available supplier information: Range determined 58.5-66.5 %, range specified 49-69 %. The main reason is the too low response of Cl<sub>3-4</sub> compounds. Their detection is possible with NICI

using  $CH_4/CH_2Cl_2$ , where only deviations of 1-2.5 % were observed (Zencak *et al.*, 2003).

- However, CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-NICI contaminates the ion source rather quickly and was therefore only applied to a few selected biota samples. Figure 5 clearly shows the presence of considerable amounts of Cl<sub>3-4</sub> compounds (Zencak *et al.*, 2003).
- Sediments contained a rather high background and partly low concentration, so that determination by ECNI was hampered with the Hewlett Packard mass spectrometer. Therefore, all analysis was carried out by CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-NICI until new ECNI instrumentation allowed achieving lower detection limits and higher selectivity in 2003.

Table 24: Composition of technical and synthetic PCA mixtures determined by ECNI. Specified and calculated chlorine content [%] are given as well as calculated molecular weights [g/mol] and relative abundances of the  $C_{10}$ ,  $C_{11}$ ,  $C_{12}$  and  $C_{13}$  congeners. Technical PCA mixture with a chlorine content <61 % are marked with a grey shading.

No.	Name (company)	Qual.	Chlor	Chlorine content Molecular Mass		Relat	ive Abu	ndance <sup>a</sup> [%]	
			spec.	calc.	calc.	C <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>11</sub>	C <sub>12</sub>	C <sub>13</sub>
Α	C <sub>10-13</sub> , 51 % (Ehrenstorfer)	pure	51.0	59.3	385	10	40	39	11
В	C <sub>10-13</sub> , 55.5 % (Ehrenstorfer)	pure	55.5	60.3-60.8 <sup>b</sup>	394-397	10-15	41-43	32-35	9-11
С	C <sub>10-13</sub> , 63 % (Ehrenstorfer)	pure	63.0	63.7	427	12	44	31	13
Miy	xtures of C <sub>10-13</sub> standards wit	h a Cl-o	content o	of 55.5 % an	d 51 %				
BA_		4:1	54.6	60.2	393	11	44	36	10
1									
BA_2		3:2	53.7	60.1	392	10	43	37	10
BA_3		2:3	52.8	59.8	390	11	42	37	10
BA_4		1:4	51.9	59.6	389	11	40	39	11
BA_5		1:1	53.3	60.1	392	11	42	36	11
Mixtures of $C_{10-13}$ standards with a Cl-content of 63 % and 51 %									
CA_1		4:1	60.6	63.5	426	12	43	33	13
CA_2		3:2	58.2	63.3	423	13	44	32	12
CA_3		2:3	55.8	63.0	419	14	43	32	11
CA_4		1:4	53.4	62.0	410	13	44	32	11
CA_5		1:1	57.0	63.2	421	15	34	37	13
Mix	ctures of C <sub>10-13</sub> standards wit	h a Cl-c	content o	of 63 % and	55.5 %				
CB_1		4:1	61.5	63.4	426	13	39	35	13
CB_2		3:2	60.0	63.0	421	13	41	33	13
CB_3		2:3	58.5	63.0	417	15	44	30	11
CB_4		1:4	57.0	61.9	407	16	42	31	11
CB_5		1:1	59.3	63.0	418	13	47	28	12
D	Hordalub 17 (Hoechst)	pure	49	58.5	375	13	44	35	9
E	Hordalub 80 (Hoechst)	pure	56	60.7	396	13	44	31	11
F	Hordalub 500 (Hoechst)	pure	62	63.9	424	14	53	27	6
G	Cereclor 60L (ICI)	pure	59	62.0	413	15	30	38	17
Н	Cereclor 70L (ICI)	pure	69	66.5	454	23	33	34	10

<sup>a</sup> Composition:  $C_{10}/C_{11}$ , 5-10 Cl;  $C_{12}$ , 6-10 Cl;  $C_{13}$ , 7-9 Cl as defined by Tomy *et al.*<sup>22</sup> <sup>b</sup> Five parallels

Qual.: Quality and mixing ratio, pure means no additives, spec.: specified; calc.: calculated



**Figure 9:** ECNI-determination of formula and congener group profiles of selected PCA mixtures of different chlorine contents.  $Cl_{3-4}$  compounds were not detectable. The trade name or the chlorine content of the mixtures are given for each bar graph.



**Figure 10:**  $CH_4/CH_2Cl_2$ -NICI determination of the formula group patterns of a sPCA mixture (55.5 % chlorine content, A) and of two North Sea dab liver extracts (B, North Sea 54° 15,64 N, 7° 29,79' E, not studied further, C; site NS1, see **Table 24**).  $Cl_{3.4}$  compounds are clearly visible.

### 5.4.1.2 mPCA mixtures

mPCA-mixtures were dominated by  $C_{14}$ -compounds. All other carbon chain lengths were of minor importance as Figure 6 shows.



**Figure 11:**  $CH_4/CH_2Cl_2$ -NICI determination of the formula group patterns  $C_{14}$ - $C_{17}$  of three mPCA mixtures with differing chlorine content (42, 52 and 57 %). No  $Cl_{3-4}$  compounds were present.

# 5.4.2 PCA and chlordanes in biota

### 5.4.2.1 Origin and characterisation of samples

The origin and characterisation of the samples are summarised in Table 25. Sampling and sample selection was carried out as follows:

# Fish livers from the North and Baltic Sea:

- Fish was caught during the following monitoring expeditions: Cruise no. 242 of FFS "Walter Hering III" from 22 August to 8 September 2002 and no. 255 from 25 August to 9 September 2004.
- Five to six sampling sites were selected in the North and Baltic Sea (see Table 25 and Figure 12, Figure 13, Figure 14 for details)
- Fish livers were collected from North Sea dab (*Limanda limanda*), flounder (*Platichthys flesus*) and cod (*Gadus morhua*). Totally 60 single samples were obtained.
- **Detection limits of ca. 10 ng/g** (total sPCA) required **minimum 5 g of sample**. This made pooling of up to five liver samples necessary.
- More details about sampling is given in the appendix under sampling details.

## Cod liver from the northern North Atlantic

- Caught in 2003 at the north and south coast of Iceland and the Lofot Islands.
- **Totally six samples** delivered by the Norwegian Institute for Air Research (Dr. Urs Berger, Tromsø, Norway)

# Fish and sea birds from Bear Island

- Bear Island is known as a **site of elevated concentrations** of polychlorinated compounds (PCBs, DDT, toxaphenes) **due to long range transport** and on-site bioaccumulation (Evenset *et al.*, 2002; Evenseth *et al.*, 2004).
- **Sampling** was carried out in **2001** by Aquaplan-NIVA (Tromsø, Norway, Dr. Guttorm Christensen).
- Two **liver and muscle tissue** samples from Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) from Lake Ellasjøen, two samples each of liver and muscle tissue from little auk (*Alle alle*), kittiwake (*Rissa tridactyla*), glacous gull (*Larus hyperboreus*), see Table 25 further details and Figure 9 for site description.

Capture location	Coordinates	Species	Capture date	Gender	Sample No.	Size/Weight [cm*/kg]	Pooled livers
B11	54°47 N/13°06 E	Cod	31.08.2002	ns	OS1	28-31	5
B11	54°51 N/14°01 E	"	01.09.2002	ns	OS6	25	1
B11	54°51 N/14°01 E	"	01.09.2002	ns	OS7	25	1
B11	54°51 N/14°01 E	"	01.09.2002	ns	<b>OS8</b>	25-26	2
B11	54°51 N/14°01 E	"	01.09.2002	ns	OS9	26	1
B11	54°46 N/13°18 E	Flounder	31.08.2002	W	OS2	24	1
B11	54°44 N/13°10 E		31.08.2002	f/m	OS3	28-30	2
B11	54°45′N/13°20′E	"	31.08.2002	f/m	OS4	29-34	2
B01	54°31 N/10°39 E	Dab	03.09.2002	f	OS5	20-23	5
<b>B01</b>	54°31′N/10°39′E	Cod	03.09.2002	ns	<b>OS10</b>	24-29	3
B01	54°31′N/10°39′E	"	03.09.2002	ns	OS11	26-27	2
B01	54°40'N/10°28'E		31.08.2003	ns	OS12	32	1
B01	54°40'N/10°28'E		31.08.2003	ns	OS13	27	1
B01	54°40'N/10°28'E		31.08.2003	ns	OS15	26	1
B01	54°40'N/10°28'E	Dab	31.08.2003	ns	OS14	22	1
N01	54°15′N/7°29′E	Dab	25.08.2002	f	NS1	19-22	5
N04	54°30′N/2°16′E	"	26.08.2002	f	NS2	20-22	5
N04	54°30′N/2°16′E	"	08.09.2003	ns	NS6		5
N04	54°43 N/2°07 E	Cod	26.08.2002	ns	NS3	22-25	5
N06	56°18′N/2°04′W	Dab	27.08.2002	f	NS4	20-23	5
P01	55°30′N/4°40′E	Dab	29.08.2002	f	NS5	S	5
GB1	54°07´N/7°46´E	Flounder	30.08.2003	ns	NS7	S	1
Lofot	68°08'N/13°33'W	Cod	02.02.2004	f	A1	86/8.50	1
Islands		"	02.02.2004	f	A4	83/6.50	1
Northern	65°74'N/18°09'W	Cod	30.09.2003	f	A2	49/1.02	1
Iceland		"	30.09.2003	f	A5	41/0.65	1
Southern	63°28'N/20°15'W	Cod	06.11.2003	ns	A3	53/1.50	1
Iceland		"	06.11.2003	f	A6	51/1.28	1
Bear Island	1 74°N/19°E	Arctic char	09.07.2001	f	B1/B3	45/0.83	L/M
		"	09.07.2001	f	B2/B4	47/0.85	L/M
		Little auk	08.07.2001	m	C1/C3	12*/0.173	L/M
		"	08.07.2001	m	C2/C4	12*/0.169	L/M
		Kittiwake	08.07.2001	m	D1/D3	33*/0.458	L/M
		"	08.07.2001	f	D2/D4	33*/0.393	L/M
		Glaucous	07.07.2001	m	E1/E3	49*/1.92	L/M
		gull	07.07.2001	f	E2/E4	44*/1.44	L/M

**Table 25:** Survey over analysed biota including capture locations, date, species and gender. Samples are arranged according to locations and not sample numbers. Maps of the sites are given in Figures 7-9.

ns.: not specified; f: female; m: male; \* For birds: Length of wing span.

L/M: Single samples of liver and muscle tissue

# 5.4.2.2 PCA concentrations

- Screening by EI-MS/MS revealed that PCA levels were well detectable in all samples and a formula group specific analysis by ECNI possible.
- Total PCA concentrations determined by EI-MS/MS were comparable or up to ca. 50 % higher than those obtained by ECNI. The main reason for this deviation is the ability of EI-MS/MS to detect compounds with a lower degree of chlorination as well as those with chain lengths > C<sub>17</sub>.
- Quantification by ECNI was either carried out with a closely matching reference standard according to Tomy *et al.* (Tomy *et al.*, 1997) or with the response factor correction mode (Reth *et al.*, 2005). Both methods give comparable results, if properly optimised. The procedure of Tomy was applied to samples OS1-7 and NS1-5:
- sPCA: Chlorine content 59-62 %, applied quantification standard 60 % Cl.
- mPCA: Chlorine content 55-58 %, applied quantification standard 56 % Cl.
- The chlorination degree of samples and of technical products did not differ significantly (t-test).

Table 47 in the appendix summarises the determined molar mass and chlorine content for all samples from the North and Baltic Sea to enable a comparison with future data.

In order to ensure comparability with other investigations using ECNI quantification, Table 26 summarises both the PCA sum and formula group specific concentrations determined by ECNI mass spectrometry. Table 27 lists the results of most of the very few PCA determinations in fish world-wide for comparison. Only studies after 1990 were included. Earlier PCA determinations suffer normally from a proper quantification procedure and the reported results are indicative at the best.

Capture location	Sample No.	Species	Lipid content	sPCAs	mPCAs	s+mPCAs	sPCAs	mPCAs	s+mPCAs
			[%]	ΣC <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>13</sub>	ΣC <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>15</sub> [ng/g wet weight]	ΣC <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>15</sub>	ΣC <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>13</sub>	ΣC <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>15</sub> [ng/g lipid weight]	ΣC <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>15</sub>
<b>Baltic Sea</b>									
B11	OS1	Cod	49	143	106	249	289	226	515
B11	OS6	Cod	49	19	25	44	39	50	89
B11	OS7	Cod	52	42	75	117	81	145	226
B11	OS8	Cod	56	73	72	145	143	141	284
B11	OS9	Cod	56	82	121	203	146	216	363
B11	OS2	Flounder	33	127	206	333	378	614	992
B11	OS3	Flounder	34	99	31	130	296	93	389
B11	OS4	Flounder	33	221	115	336	660	343	1003
B01	OS5	Dab	41	48	130	178	115	310	425
B01	OS10	Cod	57	31	41	72	54	72	126
B01	OS11	Cod	50	63	60	123	127	122	249
B01	OS12	Cod	23	34	205	239	147	879	1028
B01	OS13	Cod	42	24	47	71	58	114	172
B01	OS15	Cod	53	408	1265	1673	773	2393	3166
B01	OS14	Dab	56	47	71	118	83	126	209

**Table 26:** PCA concentrations determined by ECNI (sPCAs:  $\Sigma C_{10}$ - $C_{13}$ , mPCAs:  $\Sigma C_{14}$ - $C_{15}$  and s+mPCAs:  $\Sigma C_{10}$ - $C_{15}$ ) and lipid content in biota from the Baltic and North Sea, and from the Northern North Atlantic. Samples are listed in order of capture locations as in **Table 25**.

Table 26: Continued.

Capture location	Sample No.	Species	Lipid content [%]	sPCAs	mPCAs	s+mPCAs	sPCAs	mPCAs	s+mPCAs
				ΣC <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>13</sub>	ΣC <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>15</sub>	ΣC <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>15</sub>	ΣC <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>13</sub>	ΣC <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>15</sub>	ΣC <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>15</sub>
				[ng/g wet weight]			[ng/g lipid weight]		
North Sea									
N01	NS1	Dab	50	169	123	292	338	288	626
N04	NS2	"	52	288	260	548	558	519	1077
N04	NS6	"	37	521	893*	1414	1428	2448	3876
N04	NS3	Cod	44	90	32	122	202	62	264
N06	NS4	Dab	54	26	<10	26	54	<20	54
P01	NS5	Dab	32	37	221	258	75	699	774
GB1	NS7	Flounder	27	21	41	62	78	148	226
Lofot	A1	Cod	37	52	47	99	139	126	265
Island									
"	A4	"	49	17	7	24	35	14	49
Ν	A2	"	46	56	16	72	120	35	155
Iceland									
"	A5	"	39	11	7	18	28	18	46
S Iceland	A3	"	50	52	18	70	102	36	138
"	A6	"	49	70	47**	117	143	96**	239
Bear	B1 (L)	Arctic char	12	27	43	70	225	358	583
Island									
"	B3 (M)	"	2	13	47	60	542	1598	2500
"	B2 (L)	"	12	11	13	24	89	107	196
"	B4 (M)	"	2	7	10	17	304	435	739
Bear	C1 (L)	Little auk	10	18	48	66	188	503	691
Island									
"	C3 (M)	"	5	7	55	62	153	1197	1350
"	C2 (L)		10	88	371	459	882	3717	4599
"	C4 (M)		4	16	17	33	427	453	880
Bear	D1 (L)	Kittiwake	13	6	39	45	112	730	843
Island	. ,								
"	D3 (M)	"	10	5	38	43	95	722	817
"	D2 (L)	"	17	44	12	56	861	235	1096
"	D4 (M)	"	11	5	5	10	41	41	82

\* determined with mPCA standard (52 %) due to a low chlorine content; \*\* no correction of response due to very low chlorine content, calculated according to Tomy *et al.* (1997); L: liver; M: muscle.

Sample type	Location	Country	n <sup>a</sup>	Gender <sup>b</sup>	Tissue	PCA measured	Concentration <sup>c</sup> [ng/µl]	Analytical method	Ref.
White fish	Lake Storvindeln	Sweden	35	m+f	Muscle	C <sub>10-13</sub> 60 %	1000 (lw)	ECNI-LRMS	1
Herring	North Sea	-	1	ns	Muscle	C <sub>10-13</sub>	250 (lw)	"	2
Herring	Bothnian Sea	Sweden	100	m+f	"	C <sub>10-13</sub> 60 %	1400 (lw)	"	1
"	Baltic Proper	"	60	m+f	"	"	1500 (lw)	"	1
"	Skagerrak	"	100	m+f	"	"	1600 (lw)	"	1
Carp	Hamilton Harbour	Canada	3	ns	Whole	C <sub>14-17</sub> 52 %	2630 (ww)	ECNI-HRMS	3
Yellow perch	Detroit River	USA	1	ns	Muscle	C <sub>10-13</sub> 60-70 %	1150 (ww)	"	4
Catfish	"	"	1	ns	"	"	305 (ww)	"	4
Trout	Remote locations	Norway	1 (13)	ns	Muscle	C <sub>10-13</sub>	110-1700 (lw)	"	5
Arctic char	Ellasjøen, Bear I.	Norway	1	ns	"	"	592 (lw)	ECNI-HRMS	5
Arctic char	Velmunden	Norway	1	ns	"	"	500 (lw)	"	5
Arctic char	Lake Vättern	Sweden	15	m+f	"	C <sub>10-13</sub> 60 %	570 (lw)	ECNI-LRMS	1
Burbot	Remote locations	Norway	1 (6)	ns	Liver	C <sub>10-13</sub>	230-3700 (ww)	ECNI-HRMS	5
Blue fish	Marmara Sea	Turkey	1	ns	Whole	"	725 (lw)	ECNI-LRMS	2
Cod	Atlantic	-	1	ns	"	"	727 (lw)	"	2
Angler	Atlantic	-	1	ns	"	"	311 (lw)	"	2
Carp	East Anglia	UK	ns	ns	Muscle	s+mPCA	500 (ww)	"	б

Table 27: Concentration of PCA in different fish species worldwide. For details, see references.

<sup>a</sup>: Pooled individuals or number of individuals (in brackets); <sup>b</sup>: M: male, f: female, ns: not specified; <sup>c</sup>: lw: lipid weight, ww: wet weight.

1: Jansson et al. (1993), 2: Coelhan (1999); 3: Muir et al. (1998); 4: Tomy et al. (1997); %: Borgen et al. (2001); 6: Nicolls et al. (2001).



**Figure 12:** Left: Map of the capture locations in the North Sea (for detailed information see **Table 25**) and sPCA and mPCA concentrations (ng/g lipid weight) determined in samples NS1-5 from 2002 (see **Table 26**). Right: Map of the capture locations in the North Sea (for detailed information see Table 18) and sPCA and mPCA concentrations (ng/g lipid weight) determined in samples NS6-7 from 2003 (see **Table 26**).



**Figure 13:** Map of the capture locations in the Baltic Sea (for detailed information see **Table 25**) and sPCA and mPCA concentrations (ng/g lipid weight) for 2002 and 2003 (see **Table 26**).



**Figure 14:** Map of the capture locations in the northern North Atlantic and on Bear Island (see Table 25 for details) as well as sPCA and mPCA concentrations (ng/g lipid weight) in the analysed samples (see Table 26). The content in liver is given for the two Arctic char samples.

Figure 14:

# 5.4.2.3 Interpretation of PCA concentrations

**PCA concentrations reported** so far **world-wide** and summarised in Table 27 are **hardly comparable** due to the following reasons:

- **Different quantification** procedures
- Concentrations only expressed on lipid or wet weight basis
- Analysis of different tissues and species.

The concentrations of this study listed in Table 26 are within the same range. Since data from other PCA monitoring programmes are very scarce and due to the reasons given above, no further comparison can be carried out. The findings of the investigation presented here can be summarised as follows:

- **s+mPCA** levels in **fish liver from the North and Baltic Sea** showed **no speciesspecific** concentration dependence.
- s+mPCA concentration ranges were comparable for the North Sea (54-3880 ng/g lw, mean 985 ng/g lw) and the Baltic Sea (90-3170 ng/g lw, mean 615 ng/g lw).
- The highest s+mPCA levels were far above 1 ppm, which is remarkable.
- s+mPCA levels in cod liver from remote areas (Lofot Islands/Iceland) were considerably lower (46-265 ng/g lw, mean 149 ng/g lw) than in cod from the North and Baltic Sea (range 62-3170 ng/g lw, mean 622 ng/g lw).
- Muscle tissue from Arctic char (200-2500 ng/g lw, mean1005 ng/g lw) from the background site Bear Island has comparable levels as cod liver from the North and Baltic Sea. Similar concentrations were also reported for PCB and DDT-compounds and toxaphenes (see Evenseth *et al.*, 2004; Evenseth *et al.*, 2005 and Table 28). Main reasons for such an exposure are long range transport and condensation effects, a high precipitation rate around the sampling site Lake Ellasjøen and the breeding sites of thousands of sea birds close by resulting in an input via guano (Evenseth *et al.*, 2004).

- The analysed birds had also a considerable s+mPCA burden, which was in the same range as for DDT-compounds, PCB and toxaphenes (see Table 28). However, no other study of PCA in birds is currently available for comparison.
- s+mPCA concentrations expressed in wet weight were quite similar for liver and muscle from Arctic char despite a ca. six times lower lipid content of muscle. This is also valid for the bird samples, where the lipid content of both tissues was more similar (Table 26).
- The **mPCA concentrations were higher than those for sPCA** in many samples from the Baltic Sea and in birds. No clear picture was observed for the North Sea and northern North Atlantic.

**Table 28:** Comparison of concentrations of s+mPCA, DDT-compounds, PCBs and toxaphenes in biota from the European Arctic. Literature data were given in ng/g ww. Therefore, also s+mPCA levels were expressed similarly.

Specie s	Origin and Year	Tis sue	Σ DDTs [ng/g ww]	Σ PCBs [ng/g ww]	Toxaphe nes [ng/g ww]	s+mP CA, this study
Cod <sup>46</sup>	Iceland 1999	Liv er	92.1 <sup>a</sup>	80.1 <sup>c</sup>	81.7 <sup>e</sup>	18- 117 <sup>f</sup>
Arctic char <sup>40</sup>	Bear Island, Lake Ellasjøen 1999	Mu scle	62.7 <sup>b</sup>	1140 <sup>d</sup>	-	17-70 <sup>g</sup>
Little auk <sup>40</sup>	Bear Island 1999	Mu scle	38.9 <sup>b</sup>	208 <sup>d</sup>	8.1 <sup>e</sup>	33- 459 <sup>g</sup>
Kittiwa ke <sup>40</sup>	Bear Island 1999	Mu scle	40.2 <sup>b</sup>	736 <sup>d</sup>	18.5 <sup>e</sup>	10-56 <sup>g</sup>

<sup>a</sup> $\Sigma$  DDTs = p,p'-DDE, -DDD and DDT, o,p'-DDT

 $^{b}\Sigma DDTs = 0,p'- and p,p'-DDE, -DDD and DDT$  $^{c}\Sigma PCBs = Sum of 7 congeners (28, 52, 101, 118, 138, 153, 180)$ 

 $^{d}\Sigma$  PCBs = Sum of 33 congeners (18, 28, 31, 33, 37, 47, 52, 60, 66, 74, 99, 101, 105, 114, 118, 122, 123, 128, 138, 141, 149, 153, 156, 157, 167, 170, 180, 183, 187, 189, 194, 206, 209)

<sup>e</sup>Toxaphenes = Sum of Toxaphene #26, #50, #62 (according to Parlar)

<sup>f</sup> Lofot Islands and Iceland; <sup>g</sup> Bear Island.

#### 5.4.2.4 PCA formula and congener group profiles

Figure 10 compares the formula and congener group patterns of s- and mPCA for selected samples.  $C_{14}$  compounds are dominant (mean 69 %, range 59-100 %) in the mPCA-patterns of all samples as also typical for technical mixtures. No differences between regions were observed.

The picture is more complex for sPCA-patterns.  $C_{11}$  and  $C_{12}$  congeners were most abundant in the biota from the Baltic and North Sea. The distribution resembled those in technical sPCA, but showed a larger variability. To facilitate a comparison of the sPCA patterns, the sums of all congeners of each chain length is given in Figure 11 for cod from the Baltic Sea and compared to technical sPCA mixtures. The C-chain patterns were quite similar.

However, cod livers from the northern North Atlantic showed a change. Here,  $C_{10}$  and  $C_{12}$  congeners were most intense with exception of one sample from northern Iceland as the C-chain profiles in Figure 12 demonstrate. Moreover, the isomer groups  $C_{12}H_{20}Cl_6$  and  $C_{12}H_{19}Cl_7$  had a high fraction.  $C_{12}H_{19}Cl_7$  contributed about 20 % to the sPCA sum. The change of  $C_{10}$  congeners from a minor to a major fraction of sPCA was also observed by Tomy *et al.* (2000) in marine mammals from the Northern North Atlantic such as beluga, walrus and seals.

Table 29 summarises the relative contribution of  $C_{10}$  and  $C_{12}$  congeners to total sPCA as well as the  $C_{10}/C_{12}$  ratio for cod liver from the Baltic Sea, the northern North Atlantic and biota liver from bear Island. The  $C_{10}$  fraction and  $C_{10}/C_{12}$  ratio increased to 28.4 % and 0.76, respectively, in cod liver from the northern North Atlantic compared to 13.6 % and 0.43 in technical mixtures or 16.8 % and 0.53 in cod liver from the Baltic Sea. A corresponding or even higher increase could also be observed for biota liver from Bear Island. The change indicates a fractionation and enrichment of the more volatile  $C_{10}$  congeners during long range transport to this remote region.



**Figure 15:** Formula group patterns of sPCAs ( $C_{10}$ - $C_{13}$ ) in selected samples from the Lofot Island (A1), the Baltic Sea (OS1, OS3, OS4) and the North Sea (NS1-NS3). The patterns for mPCAs ( $C_{14}$ - $C_{15}$ ) are quite similar and are therefore only shown for the selected samples to the left. Two standard mixtures are shown for comparison (sPCA:  $C_{10}$ - $C_{13}$ , 55.5 % chlorine content, mPCA:  $C_{14}$ - $C_{17}$ , 52 % chlorine content). Determination was carried out by ECNI.



**Figure 16:** Comparison of the averaged C-chain patterns in cod from the Baltic Sea with five technical sPCA mixtures. The bars mark the standard deviations.



Figure 17: Comparison of the averaged C-chain patterns of sPCA in selected cod samples from the northern North Atlantic.

Sample no.	C <sub>10</sub> fraction [%]	C <sub>12</sub> fraction [%]	C <sub>10</sub> /C <sub>12</sub> ratio
Northern North			
Atlantic			
A1	27.2	32.9	0.83
A4	31.1	39.9	0.78
A2	27.3	43.0	0.63
A5	32.6	23.4	1.39
A3	32.2	52.0	0.62
A6	19.8	62.1	0.32
Mean ± STD	$28.4\pm4.9$	$42.2\pm13.8$	$0.76\pm0.36$
Bear Island			
Arctic char B1	18.9	27.8	0.68
Arctic char B2	21.4	22.2	0.96
Little auk C1	37.4	28.6	1.31
Little auk C2	19.5	29.1	0.67
Kittiwake D1	23.6	18.2	1.30
Kittiwake D2	27.4	28.8	0.95
Baltic Sea			
OS1	15.0	34.5	0.43
OS8	19.6	36.3	0.54
OS9	22.9	33.4	0.69
OS10	16.3	34.0	0.48
OS11	16.3	34.8	0.47
OS12	14.2	29.0	0.49
OS13	18.0	24.9	0.72
OS15	12.4	28.0	0.44
Mean ± STD	$16.8\pm3.3$	$31.9\pm4.0$	$0.53\pm0.11$
sPCA mixtures			
Hordalub 17	9.5	34.4	0.28
Hordalub 80	10.5	32.0	0.33
Hordalub 500	12.4	27.2	0.46
Cereclor 60L	15.5	35.2	0.44
Cereclor70L	20.2	30.7	0.66
Mean ± STD	$13.6 \pm 3.9$	$31.9 \pm 2.8$	$0.43\pm0.15$

**Table 29:** Relative contribution of  $C_{10}$  and  $C_{12}$  groups to total sPCA in cod liver from the northern North Atlantic and the Baltic Sea as well as in biota liver from Bear Island. Data are also compared with technical sPCA mixtures.  $C_{10}/C_{12}$  ratios are given to illustrate the changes.

STD: Standard deviation

#### 5.4.2.5 Chlordane concentrations

Quantification was carried out according to the fully validated method developed by Karlsson *et al.* (1999; Karlsson *et al.*, 2000). A detailed description of the analytical technique is given in appendix 1, chapter 5.6.9. The most abundant chlordane represent-tatives are *cis/trans*-chlordane (octachloro compounds) and *cis/trans*-nonachlor (nonachlorinated). They were quantified in most samples from the Baltic and North Sea together with the main metabolite oxychlordane formed from *cis/trans*-chlordane. No samples from the northern North Atlantic were analysed, since a more comprehensive study already existed (see Karlsson *et al.*, 2000 and below).

Table 30 summarises the determined concentrations, and Figures 13 and 14 visualise the sum concentrations on lipid basis in sampling site maps of the North and Baltic Sea. Table 31 gives a survey about sum chlordane concentrations in different fish species from the North and Baltic Sea as reported in the literature. So far, only very few European studies included chlordanes, which makes the data base scarce for comparison. Moreover, results are sometimes given as sum concentrations, which eliminate any compound specific differentiation. Furthermore, chlordane compound specific concentrations in cod liver from the Lofot Islands are summarised in Table 32. This is the only study from this region so far.

#### 5.4.2.6 Interpretation of chlordane concentrations

The data interpretation can be summarised as follows:

- Sum concentrations on lipid weight basis (11-47 ng/g lw) were comparable to other studies of fish from the Baltic and North Sea (see Table 31).
- No significant concentration difference (t-test) was observed between the Baltic (Σchlordane 11.3 ± 5.1 ng/g ww) and the North Sea (10.4 ± 6.0 ng/g ww) or between the two measuring campaigns.
- However, compared to cod liver from the Lofot Islands (Karlsson *et al.*, 2000), the chlordane compound burden was about one order of magnitude lower. This

is a further confirmation that **chlordane pollution in Europe** is **mainly a problem in cold remote areas** of the Arctic due to long range transport and condensation. The **chlordane concentrations in Arctic cod livers** (Table 32) are **comparable to** those for **PCB** or even higher (see e.g. Table 28).

- *trans*-Nonachlor contributed most to the sum concentrations (37 ± 6%) as typical for most studies (see e.g. Table 31). *trans*-Nonachlor is most persistent of the analysed representatives (Dearth and Hites, 1991b). Moreover, *trans*-nonachlor has a higher Henry constant and is therefore more globally dispersible by atmospheric long range transport (Falandysz *et al.*, 2000).
- *cis*-Chlordane dominates in fish recently exposed to technical chlordane (Strandberg *et al.*, 1998). Therefore, any *trans*-nonaclor/*cis*-chlordane ratio >1 indicate long range transport from old source areas (Strandberg *et al.*, 1998). The cod livers of this study had a mean ratio of  $1.8 \pm 0.6$ , which point to old sources and no recent chlordane input.
- Two further chlordane compounds (MC5 and MC7) were also determined. They are usually not included in chlordane quantification. MC5 has similar concentrations as *trans*-chlordane and is abundant in Arctic regions (Karlsson *et al.*, 2000). MC5 was not detectable in any sample (limit of detection ca.2 pg/g), and MC7 was just above the limit of detection (ca. 1 pg/g).

Capture	Sample	Species	Lipid	Concentration [ng/g ww and in brackets in ng/g lw]						
location	No.		content [%]	trans-chlordane	cis-chlordane	trans-nonachlor	cis-nonachlor	Oxychlordane	∑ Chlordanes	
B11	OS1	Cod	49	1,79	4,87	9,31	4,55	2,63	23,1 (47.1)	
B11	OS8	Cod	56	1,78	2,85	4,08	2,71	1,12	12,5 (22.3)	
B11	OS9	Cod	56	1,81	3,57	7,62	4,56	1,61	19,2 (34.3)	
B11	OS2	Flounder	33	0,97	2,29	5,55	0,93	0,36	10,1 (30.6)	
B11	OS3	Flounder	34	1,46	3,51	8,01	1,10	0,42	14,5 (42.6)	
B11	OS4	Flounder	33	1,32	2,07	3,09	0,88	0,72	8,07 (24.5)	
B01	OS5	Dab	41	0,50	1,47	2,81	0,80	1,00	6,58 (16.0)	
B01	OS10	Cod	57	0,92	1,95	0,60	1,83	0,84	6,14 (10.8)	
B01	OS12	Cod	23	0,49	1,32	4,38	2,32	0,91	9,43 (41.0)	
B01	OS13	Cod	42	0,66	1,72	4,23	2,17	1,21	9,98 (23.8)	
B01	OS15	Cod	53	1,79	2,16	4,66	2,48	1,76	12,9 (24.3)	
B01	OS14	Dab	56	0,30	0,73	1,23	0,72	0,57	3,55 (6.34)	
B01	OS11	Cod	50	1,39	3,26	1,89	3,37	1,37	11,3 (22.6)	
N01	NS1	Dab	50	0,77	1,36	1,98	1,33	0,19	5,63 (11.3)	
N04	NS2	Dab	52	0,71	1,32	2,17	2,41	1,19	7,79 (15.0)	
N04	NS6	Dab	37	1,13	2,73	5,79	5,11	4,36	19,1 (51.6)	
N04	NS3	Cod	44	1,14	2,79	6,27	3,93	2,56	16,7 (38.0)	
N06	NS4	Dab	54	1,12	1,27	2,12	1,48	0,80	6,78 (12.6)	
P01	NS5	Dab	32	1,86	3,20	5,61	2,71	1,40	14,8 (46.3)	
GB1	NS7	Flounder	27	0,12	0,26	0,53	0,53	0,42	1,85 (6.85)	

**Table 30:** Concentrations (ng/g wet weight) of selected chlordanes in fish liver from the North and Baltic Sea collected in 2002 and 2003. Concentrations in brackets are based on lipid weight (lw). Samples OS6 and OS7 could not be analysed, since the complete sample (one liver) had to be used for PCA analysis.



**Figure 18:** Map of capture locations in the North Sea (for detailed information see **Table 25**) and sum concentrations of chlordane compounds (*cis/trans*-nonachlor, *cis/trans*-chlordane and oxychlordane) in fish liver in ng/g lipid weight (see **Table 30**).



**Figure 19:** Map of capture locations in the Baltic Sea (for detailed information see **Table 25**) and sum concentrations of chlordane compounds (*cis/trans*-nonachlor, *cis/trans*-chlordane and oxychlordane) in fish liver in ng/g lipid weight (see **Table 30**).

Species	Origin	Year	Tissue	Σ Chlordanes [ng/g lw]
Herring <sup>b</sup>	Baltic Sea, Gotland	1978	Muscle	600
Herring <sup>c</sup>	Baltic Sea, South-Sweden	1987	Muscle	183
Herring <sup>d</sup>	Baltic Sea, Golf of Gdansk	1992	Muscle	34
Flounder <sup>e</sup>	Baltic Sea, Golf of Gdansk	1992	Whole fish	13
Cod <sup>e</sup>	Baltic Sea, Golf of Gdansk	1992	Whole fish	11
Mackerel <sup>f</sup>	North sea, German Bight	1993- 1996	Muscle	5,5 <sup>a</sup>
North Sea dab <sup>g</sup>	North Sea, Belgium	2001	Liver	2,5 <sup>a</sup>
This study	Baltic Sea (8), North Sea (1)	2002- 2003	Liver	11-47

Table 31: Published concentrations of $\Sigma$ chlordanes (ng/g lipid weight (lw) of <i>cis/trans</i> -nonachlor,
cis/trans-chlordane and oxychlordane) in fish from the North and Baltic Sea.

a: in ng/g wet weight; b: Marvin *et al.* (2003); c: Jansson *et al.* (1993); d: Strandberg *et al.* (1998); e: Falandysz *et al.* (2001); f: Karl *et al.* (1998); g: Voorspoels *et al.* (2004).

**Table 32:** Average concentrations ng/g (wet weight basis) and standard deviations of chlordane compounds in sixteen livers from male (n=7) and female (n=9) cod from the Lofot Islands caught in 1995 (Karlsson *et al.*, 2000).

Compound	<b>Concentration</b> (ng/g wet weight)					
-	Male	Female	This Study			
cis-Chlordane	$53 \pm 24$	$48 \pm 15$	2.7 ±1.1			
trans-Chlordane	$7.7 \pm 5.3$	$6.6\pm6.7$	$1.3 \pm 0.5$			
cis-Nonachlor	$36 \pm 19$	$46 \pm 20$	$3.1 \pm 1.0$			
trans-Nonachlor	$88 \pm 42$	$108 \pm 18$	$4.8 \pm 2.7$			
Oxychlordane	$12 \pm 4.2$	$14 \pm 7.0$	$1.6 \pm 0.7$			

# 5.4.3 PCAs and chlordanes in sediments

## 5.4.3.1 Origin and characterisation of samples

Sediments were collected during the following monitoring expeditions: FS Gauss no. 371 (August/September 2001), no. 387 (August/September 2002), no. 402 (May/June 2003) as well as no. 419/421 (spring 2004). Table 33 gives an overview of all analysed sediment samples including their TOC content and the applied analytical methods.

Moreover, sediments and suspended particulate matter were obtained from different institutions in order to increase the data base for comparison (see Table 34). These were:

- 1. Laboratoire d'Etudes ed d'Analyses, Le Havre, France
- 2. Behörde für Wirtschaft und Arbeit, Hamburg, Germany
- 3. Landesanstalt für Umweltschutz, Karlsruhe, Germany
- 4. Akva-plan niva, Tromsø, Norway,
- 5. BSH, Hamburg, Germany.

# 5.4.3.2 PCA concentrations

Samples were analysed according to the following procedure:

- All samples were first quantified by EI-MS/MS to obtain the total PCA content.
- In addition, the sPCA and mPCA content was determined in those samples exceeding ca. 50 ng/g dw total PCA. Below this level the quantities were too low for this procedure based on single formula and congener groups. CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-NICI had to be used. ECNI on the HP mass spectrometer was not sensitive enough.
- New mass spectrometric instrumentation (1200L, Varian, USA) with a significantly improved sensitivity allowed at the end of the project (2004) to determine single congener group profiles. Samples were re-analysed, if a sufficient amount still was
available. The main reason for this re-analysis was the comparability with other data quantified by ECNI (mostly applied world-wide).

#### 5.4.3.3 Interpretation of PCA concentrations

Table 34 summarises concentrations of total PCA and formula-specific results for sPCAs and mPCAs in all sediments. Figure 15 shows a site-specific survey of the total PCA levels. The following conclusions and comparisons could be made:

- **EI-MS/MS allowed detecting PCAs in all sediments** (5-377 ng/g dry weight (dw), see Table 34 for details). Quantification with different reference standards (e.g. a short-chain and medium chain references) agreed well.
- Sum PCA concentrations determined by EI-MS/MS and CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-NICI were comparable within 10-15 % with a few exceptions.
- PCA levels in sediments from the Baltic Sea (45-377 ng/g dw) were generally higher than in those from the North Sea (5-355 ng/g dw, ten of sixteen samples below 50 ng/g dw). However, they were quite equal on TOC basis (North Sea 2.3-33.1 ng/g TOC, Baltic Sea 2.1-9.4 ng/g TOC)
- PCA concentrations in sediments have only been reported from only very few sites world-wide (see Table 35). As already mentioned for biota, a comparison of levels is hampered by different quantification procedures. Moreover, some locations were contaminated by PCA production or release. Therefore, the published data allow only the remark that total PCA concentrations (in ng/g dry weight) in sediments from the North and Baltic Sea are about in the same range as those other of not contaminated sites.
- A limited number of additional sediments were analysed from different regions of Europe to increase the data base for comparison. This was not part of the project study, but the results were also included in Table 35. As can been, PCA levels in these river and sea sediments are comparable with those from the North and Baltic Sea. One site at the river Seine estuary had an unusually low TOC content (0.07 %), which resulted in a high PCA burden expressed on TOC basis.

- The **TOC content** is a **good marker for PCA concentrations**. Higher TOC levels indicated usually also a higher PCA burden. This is e.g. demonstrated by the samples from station 710 from 2001 and 2004. The concentration ratio of ca. 8.5 is similar to that of the TOC (2001: 3.1 %, 2004: 0.48 %)
- Since the composition of the TOC can vary substantially due to the former history (e.g. dump sites of sewage sludge indicated with the sample assignment KS), no further interpretation was carried out.
- The highest PCA concentrations in the North Sea were found at the sites KS11 and KS8 in the Elbe estuary, where chemical waste and sewage sludge had been dumped. Correspondingly high levels of hexachlorobenzene, PCBs, DDT and hexachlorocyclohexanes were already reported during the monitoring campaign in 1997 and 1998 (BSH, 2002).
- Concentrations of mPCA (C<sub>14-16</sub>, 42-303 ng/g dw) were always higher than for sPCA (C<sub>10-13</sub>, 18-128 ng/g dw). The ratio mPCA/sPCA was between 1.7 to 3.2. Studies of river and lake sediment from Germany and Switzerland found also 2-10 times higher mPCA concentrations (WHO, 1996). Reason can be the predominant use of mPCAs in Europe (WHO, 1996). Figure 16 summarised the site-specific s-and mPCA levels.
- The average chlorine content of sPCAs in sediments varied between 53-56 % and for mPCAs between 46-50 %. Mean molecular weights were 334-391 g/mol for sPCAs and 365-400 g/mol for mPCAs. Table 48 in appendix 2 summarises the single sample values for future comparison.

Sample	Location	Year	Amount [g]	TOC [%]	EI- MS/MS	ECNI*	CH <sub>4</sub> /CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> NICI
Baltic Sea							
		2001	4	3.10	Х		Х
710	54°25.10′N/10°13.30′E	2002	5	5.28	Х		Х
		2004	10	0.48	Х	Х	Х
715	54°03.00´N/10°50.90´E	2001	4	2.90	Х		Х
		2004	10	3.08	Х	Х	Х
718	54°19.00 N/11°33.00 E	2001	4	3.80	Х		Х
		2004	10	**	Х	Х	Х
		2001	4	6.00	Х		Х
721	55°00.00 N/14°05.00 E	2002	5	5.18	Х		Х
		2004	10	4.86	Х	Х	Х
ECKFOE	54°28.00´N/9°52.00 E	2004	10	4.00	Х	Х	Х
ODER	54°08.00 N/14°10.00 E	2004	10	**	Х	Х	Х
RUDEN	54°11.20´N/13°46.00´E	2004	10	2.81	Х	Х	Х
North Sea							
		2002	30	0.34	Х		
KS 8	54°02.00 N/8°12.50 E	2003	20	0.82	Х	Х	Х
		2004	10	1.21	Х	Х	Х
		2002	10	1.67	Х		Х
KS 11	54°04.00 N/8°07.50 E	2003	10	1.10	Х	Х	Х
		2004	5	2.50	Х	Х	Х
BL 2	54°14.00 N/8°22.50 E	2002	10	0.57	Х		
BL 4	54°30.00´N/7°48.00 E	2002	30	0.21	Х		
UE 18	54°30.00´N/7°60.00 E	2002	50	< 0.1	Х		
UE 28	54°45.00 N/8°12.00 E	2002	50	0.1	Х		
ES 1	53°40.50´N/6°30.00´E	2003	20	0.06	Х		
Ti	54°22.50´N/7°38.70´E	2003	20	0.07	Х		
13							
UE 15	54°30.00´N/6°30.00´E	2003	20	0.23	Х		
SSL	54°54.90 N/8°10.10 E	2003	10	2.77	Х		
UE20	55°00.00 N/6°30.00 E	2003	20	0.37	Х		
L1	55°03.00′N/8°12.00′E	2003	20	0.13	Х		
WB 5	55°04.00 N/6°20.00 E	2003	20	0.46	Х		
UE 67	55°15.00 N/4°30.00 E	2003	20	0.12	Х		
UE 70	55°45.00 N/4°00.00 E	2003	20	0.07	Х		
WB 1	55°50 00 N/6°35 00 E	2003	20	0.03	Х		

**Table 33:** Overview over analysed sediments including sample location, year, total organic carbon (TOC) content and applied methodology. ECNI and  $CH_4/CH_2Cl_2$ -NICI could not be applied to samples with total PCA concentrations below ca. 50 ng/g dw due to insufficient sensitivity. Determination of formula and congener group profiles by ECNI became only possible with new instrumentation at the end of the project. Samples were re-analysed with ECNI, if a sufficient sample amount still was available (>5 g).

\* by 1200L mass spectrometer (Varian, Walnut Creek, USA); \*\* Data not available

33

11

18

Total number

**Table 34:** Total PCA concentrations obtained by EI-MS/MS as well as sPCAs and mPCAs levels determined by  $CH_4/CH_2Cl_2$ -NICI in sediments from the Baltic Sea. This method covers  $Cl_{3-10}$ -compounds, while conventional ECNI detects  $Cl_{5-10}$  PCA. Concentrations determined by ECNI are given in brackets for some samples to demonstrate that generally lower levels are found with this method.

Sample site	Total PCA <sup>a</sup>	Total PCA <sup>a</sup>	sPCAs <sup>a</sup>	mPCAs <sup>b</sup>	mPCAs <sup>c</sup>	s+mPCAs
	[ng/g dw]	[ng/g TOC]	ΣC <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>13</sub>	ΣC <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>15</sub>	ΣC <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>16</sub>	ΣC <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>15</sub>
				[ng/g	g dw]	
Baltic Sea						
710 (2001)	262	8.4	98	199	d	297
710 (2002)	377	7.1	128	303	d	431
710 (2004)	45	9.4	13 (15)	36 (23)	37	49 (38)
715 (2001)	116	4.0	48	91	d	139
715 (2004)	83	2.7	35 (22)	51 (43)	54	86 (65)
718 (2001)	141	3.7	21	48	d	69
718 (2004)	232	b	82 (53)	141(85)	149	223 (138)
721 (2001)	142	2.4	105	131	d	236
721 (2002)	108	2.1	91	153	d	244
721 (2004)	138	2.8	44 (27)	74 (72)	81	118 (99)
ECKFBU	158	3.9	29 (31)	70 (39)	77	99 (70)
ODER	75	b	18 (8)	42 (22)	43	60 (30)
RUDEN	136	4.8	25 (26)	58 (33)	61	83 (59)

<sup>a</sup> Quantification with sPCA standard of 55.5 % Cl; <sup>b</sup> Quantification with mPCA standard of 57 % Cl; <sup>c</sup> For some samples  $C_{16}$  was included to demonstrate that it contribute insignificantly to the total mPCA amount; <sup>d</sup>  $C_{16}$  not determinable.

Table 34 continued: Total PCA concentrations obtained by EI-MS/MS as well as sPCAs and mPCAs levels determined by CH4/CH2Cl2-NICI in sediments from the North Sea. This method covers Cl3-10-compounds, while conventional ECNI detects Cl5-10 PCA. Concentrations determined by ECNI are given in brackets for some samples to demonstrate that generally lower levels are found with this method.

Sample site	Total PCA <sup>a</sup>	Total PCA <sup>a</sup>	sPCAs <sup>a</sup>	mPCAs <sup>b</sup>	mPCAs	s+mPCAs
	[ng/g dw]	[ng/g TOC]	ΣC <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>13</sub>	ΣC <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>15</sub>	ΣC <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>16</sub>	ΣC <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>15</sub>
				[ng/g	g dw]	
North Sea						
KS 8 (2002)	14	4.1	с	с	с	с
KS 8 (2003)	145	18.2	30 (27)	70 (87)	76	100 (114)
KS 8 (2004)	267	22.2	62 (43)	145 (48)	149	207 (91)
KS 11 (2002)	112	6.7	72	132	b	204
KS 11 (2003)	98	8.9	18 (13)	54 (34)	58	72 (47)
KS 11 (2004)	355	14.2	79 (63)	250 (149)	275	329 (212)
BL 2	27	4.8	с	с	с	с
BL 4	8	3.7	с	с	с	с
UE 18	5	5.2	с	с	с	с
UE 28	9	9.1	с	с	с	с
ES 1	20	33.1	с	с	с	с
TI 13	15	21.4	с	С	с	с
UE 15	27	11.5	с	с	с	с
SSL	63	2.3	с	с	с	с
UE 20	25	6.9	с	с	с	с
L 1	16	12.3	С	С	с	с

<sup>a</sup> Quantification with sPCA standard of 55.5 % Cl; <sup>b</sup> Quantification with mPCA standard of 57 % Cl; <sup>c</sup>  $C_{16}$  not determinable; <sup>c</sup> Concentration <50 ng/g dw, too low for group specific quantification.



**Figure 20:** -specific survey of total PCA [ng/g] concentrations in sediments from the North and Baltic Sea (2001-2004). Quantification was carried out by EI-MS/MS (see **Table 34** for details).



**Figure 21:** Survey over site-specific sPCA and mPCA ( $C_{14-15}$ ) concentrations in sediments from the North and Baltic Sea in 2001-2004 obtained by CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-NICI-MS.

**Table 35:** Literature survey of reported PCA concentrations in sediments world-wide and comparison with additional samples analysed during this study by EI-MS/MS to increase the data base for comparison. All data are given in ng/g dry weight (dw). No TOC data were available for literature data

	Sampling site	Literature	sPCAs[n	ng/g dw]	
Harbour Hambu	ırg, Germany	1	1′	7	
Lake, Canada		2	4.5-	147	
Lake, Switzerla	nd	3	5	i	
River, USA		4	70	0	
Lake, Canada		4	1.6-2	257	
Harbour, Canad	la	5	6-290		
<b>River</b> Detroit		1	180	00	
Rivers, German	у	6	<5-	83	
River, Czech Re	epublic	7	5-1	81	
River, Spain		8	250-3	3'260	
	Sampling site	Literature	mPCAs[1	ng/g dw]	
Lake, Canada		1	68	8	
Harbour, Canad	la	9	29	0	
Rivers, German	у	6	<10-	370	
Sea, Australia		10	1'108-1	16'403	
Sam	pling site, this study	TOC [%]	Total PCAs [ng/g dw]*	Total PCAs [µg/g TOC]	
River/sea sedir	nents				
Seine estuary 1.	49°27.1 N/0°1.13 E. 03.2004	1.6	147	6.7	
Seine estuary 2,	49°27.6 N/0°3.3 E, 03.2004	0.07	84	120	
Seine estuary 3.	49°28.0 N/0°38.4 E, 03.2004	0.8	87	10.9	
Hamburg harbo	ur, 1, 12.2003	3.1	116	3.7	
Hamburg harbo	ur 2, 02.2004	3.0	102	3.4	
Hamburg harbo	ur 3, 12.2003	2.2	154	7.0	
Tromsø 1, 69°3	8.6 N/18°57.1 E, 10.2002	1.8	103	5.7	
Tromsø 2, 69°3	8.6 N/18°57.0 E, 10.2002	2.4	71	2.9	
Suspended par	ticulate matter				
Elbe, 08.2002		7.9	214	3.1	
North Sea 1. 51	°30.0´N/2°40.0´E -	5.7	181	3.2	
50°0.0 N/2°0.0	E. 07.2002				
North Sea 2, 54	°9.8 N/6°20.9 E, 08.2002	10.7	293	2.7	
Neckar 1	km 8, 5 August 2002	5.7	152	2.7	
	km 8, 2 September 2002	3.6			
	km 8. 25 November 2002	5.9			
	km 8. 16 December 2002	5.0			
Neckar 2	km 165, 7 August 2002	3.4	530	15.6	
Rhine	km 334, 3 August 2002	5.2	187	3.6	
-	km 334, 4 September 2002	1.9		- · ·	
	km 334, 18 December 2003	4.4			

1: Stern *et al.* (2000); 2: Muir *et al.* (2000b); 3: Schmid and Müller (1985); 4: Tomy *et al.* (1999a); 5: Marvin *et al.* (2003); 6: WHO (1996); Stejnarova *et al.* (2005); 8: Parera *et al.* (2004); 9: Muir *et al.* (2000); 10: Kemmlein *et al.* (2002). \*: relative to *trans*-chlordane.

#### 5.4.3.4 PCA formula group and congener profiles

Table 36 gives a survey over the relative formula and congener group compositions of the North and Baltic Sea sediments with total PCA concentrations > 50 ng/g dw. They were determined with  $CH_4/CH_2Cl_2$ -NICI, which is able to determine compounds with 3-10 Cl atoms. Moreover, these results were partly checked by ECNI-MS on a new instrument (Varian 1200L), which had a strongly improved sensitivity. The formula and congener group composition could be confirmed within the measuring uncertainty of the methodology (see chapter 5.3.3.3) but without the  $Cl_3$ - $Cl_4$ -compounds. In addition, the relative formula and congener group fractions were also determined for river sediments and suspended particulate matter. The results are summarised in Table 37. The findings can be summarised as follows:

- PCAs with C<sub>13</sub> and C<sub>14</sub> chain and 4 to 6 Cl atoms were the main components in the marine sediments with exception of C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>14</sub>Cl<sub>8</sub> for station 718 and C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>20</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub> for 710 (2001).
- C<sub>17</sub> chains were not detected in any sediment.
- sPCAs in marine sediments consisted to 50-87 % of  $C_{12}$  and  $C_{13}$ -compounds and mPCAs between 56-81 % of  $C_{14}$ -constituents. The content of  $C_{16}$  chains length was maximum 12 % in mPCAs.

Differences were found between marine and river sediments as well as suspended particulate matter (SPM).

- The chlorine content of sPCAs was lower in marine sediments (51-59 %) than in river sediments/SPM (58-63 %). Main compounds were C<sub>11</sub> and C<sub>12</sub> chains with 7-8 Cl. However, the chlorine content of mPCAs and their composition was comparable.
- The fraction of C<sub>11</sub> compounds was somewhat lower in marine sediments (19-34 %) than in river sediments/SPM (24-43 %). Also sediments from Czech rivers contained a higher amount of C<sub>11</sub> congeners (Steinarova *et al.*, 2005). Moreover, a C<sub>11</sub> amount around 40 % was reported for SPM from German rivers and creeks (Maulshagen *et al.*, 2003).

Formula and congener group profiles are scarce in the literature. Moreover, one has to be cautious with comparisons due to different applied methods (see chapter 5.3.3.4). $C_{12}$  and  $C_{13}$  chains dominated in sediments from Australia (Kemmlein *et al.*, 2002) and Lake Ontario (42-81 %, ECBR (2000)). Three of four sediments from Australia showed also higher  $C_{15}$  than  $C_{14}$  fractions and even  $C_{16-17}$  were detectable (Kemmlein *et al.*, 2002). Higher  $C_{13}$  fractions were reported from sites influenced by local sources (Marvin *et al.*, 2003). However, Štejnarova *et al.* (2005) found sediments dominated by  $C_{11}$  congeners.

Formula and congener profiles from selected sediments and from technical mixtures were also compared by principal component analysis, a chemometric procedure. It allows to identify similarities and changes due to e.g. degradation between the PCA composition in technical products and real samples. The following technical PCA mixtures were used as reference:

- sPCAs: Mixture with 55,5 % Cl content (Dr. Ehrenstorfer, Germany); Hordalub 17, 80 and 500 (Hoechst, Germany).
- mPCAs: Mixture with 57 % Cl (Dr. Ehrenstorfer, Germany); Hordaflex SP, Hordalub 80EM, Chlorparaffin 40fl and 45fl (Hoechst, Germany); Cloparin 50 (Caffaro, Italy).

Figure 17 summarises the comparison of technical product and sediment compositions on the basis of the two principal components, which explain 78 % of the total variance for sPCA and 89 % for mPCA. The following conclusions could be drawn for sPCA:

- The technical products **Hordalub 80** and the **sPCA mixture 55.5 % Cl** of Dr. Ehrenstorfer had a **very similar composition**, which deviated from other commercial PCAs and sediments (Group 1)
- Most of the sediments formed a separate cluster (group 2), which had a certain resemblance with the technical product Hordalub 17 despite ist lower chlorine content of 51 %. Smaller differences within the group can be explained by the varying dominance of C<sub>11</sub> to C<sub>13</sub> congeners (see also Table 36).

• Hordalub 500 did not fit into any group due to a high content of C<sub>11</sub> (47 %) and sample 721 due to a C<sub>13</sub> fraction of 78 %.

The picture was much more complex for mPCA:

- A certain similarity between Hordalub 80EM, Cloparin 50 and most of the selected sediments could be observed. Since only two main congener groups are present in mPCA (C<sub>14-15</sub>), changes in their concentration ratio will directly influence the position in the principal components plot.
- The technical products Chlorparaffin 45fl, Hordaflex SP and the mixture from Ehrenstorfer deviated much from sediments due to significantly different  $C_{14}/C_{15}$  ratios.

The similarity of the PCA patterns of some technical products with those in sediments might be an indication of ist major application. However, it cannot be excluded that fractionation, accumulation and degradation processes in sediments might result in a pattern similar to certain technical mixtures. Controlled degradation studies of technical PCA in activated sewage sludge could answer this question at least partially.

Moreover, the similarities and differences in the principal component plots do not mean, that a technical mixture is better suited as reference standard for quantification. Response factors are also influenced by the chlorine content within each congener group, which was not included in this comparison.

			Relative	Abunda	ance [%]			Main co	mponent
	C <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>11</sub>	C <sub>12</sub>	C <sub>13</sub>	C <sub>14</sub>	C <sub>15</sub>	C <sub>16</sub>	sPCA	mPCA
			sPCA			mP	CA		
Baltic Sea									
710 (2001)	6	10	45	40	65	35	*	$C_{12}Cl_6$	$C_{14}Cl_5$
710 (2002)	8	25	28	38	78	22	*	$C_{13}Cl_4$	$C_{14}Cl_4$
710 (2004)	15	20	25	39	66	28	6	$C_{13}Cl_4$	$C_{14}Cl_{4/5}$
715 (2001)	8	11	39	42	59	41	*	$C_{13}Cl_4$	$C_{14}Cl_5$
715 (2004)	13	20	28	39	61	30	9	$C_{13}Cl_4$	$C_{14}Cl_4$
718 (2001)	33	16	23	27	70	30	*	$C_{10}Cl_8$	$C_{14}Cl_4$
718 (2004)	13	21	29	38	61	30	9	$C_{13}Cl_4$	$C_{14}Cl_4$
721 (2001)	6	7	9	78	62	38	*	$C_{13}Cl_7$	$C_{14}Cl_6$
721 (2002)	7	35	27	31	70	30	*	$C_{13}Cl_4$	$C_{14}Cl_4$
721	13	19	27	42	57	32	12	$C_{13}Cl_4$	$C_{14}Cl_4$
ECKFBU	0	23	32	45	56	32	12	$C_{13}Cl_4$	$C_{14}Cl_4$
ODER	15	22	28	36	65	28	7	$C_{13}Cl_4$	$C_{14}Cl_4$
RUDEN	12	21	28	38	58	33	10	$C_{13}Cl_4$	$C_{14}Cl_5$
North Sea									
KS8 (2003)	8	17	28	47	57	32	11	$C_{13}Cl_4$	$C_{14}Cl_4$
KS 8 (2004)	16	23	28	36	65	28	7	$C_{13}Cl_4$	$C_{14}Cl_5$
KS 11 (2002)	10	25	30	34	81	19	*	$C_{13}Cl_4$	$C_{14}Cl_4$
KS 11 (2003)	14	22	25	40	62	28	10	$C_{13}Cl_4$	$C_{14}Cl_5$
KS 11 (2004)	0	20	32	48	59	28	12	$C_{13}Cl_5$	$C_{14}Cl_{4/5}$
Technical mixture	s								
Hordaflex SP					53	48	*		$C_{14}Cl_6$
Cloparin 50					69	31	*		$C_{14}Cl_6$
Hordalub 80 EM					55	44	*		$C_{14}Cl_5$
Chlorparaffin 40fl					67	33	*		$C_{14}Cl_4$
Chlorparaffin 45fl					31	69	*		$C_{15}Cl_5$
mPCA 57.0 % Cl <sup>a</sup>					80±4.2	20±4.1	*		$C_{14}Cl_6$
Hordalub 17	7	34	34	25			*	$C_{12}Cl_5$	
Hordalub 80	9	36	34	19			*	$C_{11}Cl_5$	
Hordalub 500	9	47	30	11			*	$C_{12}Cl_6$	
sPCA 55.5 % Cl <sup>a</sup>	9±0.3	39±2.3	33±2.2	19±0.5			*	$C_{11}Cl_5$	

**Table 36:** Relative abundances obtained by  $CH_4/CH_2Cl_2$ -NICI-MS of sPCA- and mPCA congener groups in selected sediments from the North and Baltic Sea and in technical PCA mixtures. No determination was possible for samples with a total PCA level <50 ng/g dw (see **Table 34** and 5.4.3.1 for explanation).

\* C<sub>16</sub> not determined; <sup>a</sup> five parallels.

	Molecul	ar weight	%	Cl		Relat	tive A	bund	lance		Main co	mponent
	sPCA	mPCA	sPCA	mPCA	<b>C</b> <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>11</sub>	C <sub>12</sub>	C <sub>13</sub>	C <sub>14</sub>	C <sub>15</sub>	sPCA	mPCA
River sedim	ents											
Seine 1	414	451	61	56	9	36	31	25	74	26	$C_{11}Cl_7$	$C_{14}Cl_7$
Seine 2	381	445	58	56	12	36	21	30	61	39	$C_{11}Cl_7$	$C_{14}Cl_7$
Seine 3	419	448	61	56	12	26	31	30	71	29	$C_{11}Cl_7$	$C_{14}Cl_7$
Hamburg 1	447	442	65	55	9	45	31	16	59	41	$C_{11}Cl_8$	$C_{14}Cl_7$
Hamburg 2	440	435	64	55	5	32	43	20	61	39	$C_{12}Cl_8$	$C_{14}Cl_6$
Hamburg 3	414	441	64	55	39	28	23	10	59	41	$C_{10}Cl_7$	$C_{14}Cl_7$
Tromsø 1	424	446	63	53	9	38	33	20	66	34	$C_{11}Cl_7$	$C_{14}Cl_7$
Tromsø 2	429	453	62	57	10	24	37	30	60	40	$C_{12}Cl_8$	$C_{14}Cl_7$
Suspended p	particulat	e matter										
Elbe	421	437	63	55	19	42	29	10	54	46	$C_{11}Cl_7$	$C_{15}Cl_6$
Neckar 1, kn	n 8											
5.8.2002	426	465	63	58	12	36	32	21	69	31	$C_{11}Cl_7$	$C_{14}Cl_8$
2.9.2002	423	460	63	57	12	39	32	17	67	33	$C_{11}Cl_7$	$C_{14}Cl_8$
25.11.2002	424	458	63	57	21	35	28	16	79	21	$C_{11}Cl_7$	$C_{14}Cl_{7/8}$
16.12.2002	433	465	63	58	10	34	32	23	74	26	$C_{12}Cl_8$	$C_{14}Cl_{7/8}$
Rhine, km 33	34											
6.8.2002	429	455	63	57	11	30	36	24	65	35	$C_{12}Cl_7$	$C_{14}Cl_7$
4.9.2002	421	460	62	57	10	29	34	27	60	40	C12Cl7	C14Cl7
18.12.2003	429	452	63	57	12	33	36	20	71	29	$C_{12}Cl_8$	$C_{14}Cl_7$

**Table 37:** Relative abundance of s- and mPCA formula and congener groups ( $C_{10-15}Cl_{5-10}$ ), calculated molecular weight, chlorine content and main components in sediments and suspended matter from rivers. Determination was carried out by HRGC-ECNI-LRMS.



**Figure 22:** Principal component plots of the factor analysis of the congener group composition of sPCA (A) and mPCA (B) in technical mixtures from European producers and sediments from the North and Baltic Sea (years 2001-2002). Results were obtained by  $CH_4/CH_2Cl_2$ -NICI-MS. Two groups with related patterns are marked for sPCAs.

#### 5.4.3.5 Chlordane concentrations in sediments

Table 38 shows the results for the chlordane representatives *cis/trans*-nonachlor and *cis/trans*-chlordane. Moreover, heptachlor was determined, but was only present in two samples. Figure 18 visualises the levels at most of the sampling points. Quantification was carried out by ECNI-MS on the Hewlett-Packard system. For quality control, some samples from 2003 and 2004 were analysed by ECNI on two mass spectrometers (Varian 1200L and Hewlett-Packard MS Engine) as well as by EI-MS/MS with an ion trap MS. In general, results were well comparable within 10 % with exceptions of up to 20 % mainly for the EI-MS/MS technique.

The following conclusion can be drawn:

- *trans*-Nonachlor was most dominant in the majority of sediments. This was not the case for literature data. However, a further control of the reference standards did not reveal any systematic error, and similar results were obtained for all three measuring techniques. Therefore, the results presented here are considered correct.
- *cis/trans*-Nonachlor concentrations were about ten times higher in the Baltic Sea (0.12-0.46 ng/g dw) than in the North Sea (0.001-0.34 ng/g dw). No reason can be given.
- *cis/trans*-Chlordane levels were partly higher in the North Sea than in the Baltic Sea, when compared on a wet weight basis. It was vice versa expressed on TOC content.
- Concentrations of *cis*-and of *trans*-chlordane were comparable.
- Very little information about chlordane concentrations in sediments is available in the literature. Overall, chlordane concentrations in the sediments analysed in this project were within the same order of magnitude as those published.
- Strandberg *et al.* (1998b) found in sediments from the northern Baltic Sea somewhat higher concentrations of *trans*-nonachlor (0.006-0.13 ng/g dw) compared to cisnonachlor (0.03-0.05 ng/g dw) and of cis-chlordane (0.09-0.19 ng/g dw) relative to *trans*-chlordane. Heptachlor was not detectable.

Sum levels of the four isomers were 0.02-0.2 ng/g dw in sediments from New Zealand (Simpson *et al.*, 1996). Heptachlor was non-detectable. Mekong sediments from Vietnam contained 0.02-0.34 ng/g dw of *trans*-nonachlor, < 0.02-0.14 ng/g dw of *trans*-chlordane and 0.02 to 0.36 ng/g dw of *cis*-chlordane (Hung *et al.*, 2004).

	trans-Nonachlor	cis-Nonachlor	trans-Chlordane	cis-Chlordane	Heptachlor
Sample	ng/g dw	ng/g dw	ng/g dw	ng/g dw	ng/g dw
	(ng/g TOC)	(ng/g TOC)	(ng/g TOC)	(ng/g TOC)	(ng/g TOC)
Baltic Sea					
710 (2001)	0.35 (14)	0.02 (<1)	0.04 (1)	0.03 (1)	< 0.030
710 (2002)	na	0.01 (<1)	0.04 (1)	0.07 (1)	< 0.030
710 (2004)	0.12 (25)	0.002 (<1)	0.006 (1)	0.008 (2)	< 0.030
715 (2001)	0.14 (4)	0.02 (<1)	0.05 (2)	0.04 (1)	< 0.030
715 (2004)	0.42 (14)	0.021 (1)	0.042 (1)	0.031 (1)	< 0.030
718 (2001)	0.20(7)	0.02 (<1)	0.06 (2)	0.03 (1)	< 0.030
718 (2004)	0.30 <sup>a</sup>	0.019	0.047	0.041	< 0.030
721 (2002)	na	0.03 (<1)	0.06(1)	0.06(1)	< 0.030
721 (2004)	0.30 (8)	0.029(1)	0.135 (3)	0.126 (3)	0.064 (1)
ECKFBU (2004)	0.44 (11)	0.019 (<1)	0.036 (1)	0.035 (1)	< 0.030
ODER (2004)	0.36 <sup>a</sup>	0.017	0.059	0.060	0.042
RUDEN (2004)	0.33 (12)	0.006 (<1)	0.006 (<1)	0.016(1)	< 0.030
North Sea					
KS 8 (2002)	na	< 0.002	0.002 (1)	0.007 (2)	< 0.030
KS8 (2003)	0.30 (36)	0.008 (1)	0.016 (2)	0.019 (2)	< 0.030
KS8 (2004)	0.34 (34)	0.006 (<1)	0.021 (2)	0.018 (2)	< 0.030
KS 11 (2002)	na	0.007 (<1)	0.04 (2)	0.08 (5)	< 0.030
KS11 (2003)	0.28 (26)	0.005 (<1)	0.013 (1)	0.010(1)	< 0.030
KS11 (2004)	na	0.012 (<1)	0.027 (1)	0.035 (1)	< 0.030
BL 2 (2002)	na	0.004 (<1)	0.008 (1)	0.01 (2)	< 0.030
BL 4 (2002)	na	< 0.002	0.001 (1)	< 0.007	< 0.030
UE 18 (2002)	na	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.007	< 0.030
UE 28 (2002)	na	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.007	< 0.030
ES 1 (2003)	0.01 (22)	< 0.002	0.01 (16)	0.01 (16)	< 0.030
TI 13 (2003)	0.006 (9)	< 0.002	0.01 (14)	0.008 (11)	< 0.030
UE 15 (2003)	0.006 (2)	< 0.002	0.03 (11)	0.01 (4)	< 0.030
UE20 (2003)	0.01 (3)	0.002 (1)	0.04 (10)	0.01 (4)	< 0.030
L1 (2003)	0.06 (48)	< 0.002	0.005 (4)	< 0.007	< 0.030
WB 5 (2003)	0.03 (6)	< 0.002	0.006(1)	0.01 (2)	< 0.030
UE 67 (2003)	0.001 (6)	< 0.002	0.11 (87)	0.03 (22)	< 0.030
UE 70 (2003)	0.001 (10)	< 0.002	0.10 (142)	< 0.007	< 0.030

**Table 38:** Concentrations of chlordanes [ng/g dry weight respective in ng/g TOC] for sediments from the North and Baltic Sea. The internal standard 4,5-DCCD was not added to the samples of 2002. Therefore, *trans*-nonachlor, which elutes in the fractions F1 and F2 was not quantifiable.

na: not analysed; <sup>a</sup> TOC not available



**Figure 23:** Concentrations of chlordanes in sediments from the Baltic and North Sea (ECNI-MS) at selected sampling sites in 2001-2004.

# 5.4.4 PCAs in water

Only two sea water samples were analysed due to the expected very low concentrations. Samples of 100 l were taken at the stations T9a (55°0,00`N/8°15,0`O, Lister Tief) und Cuxhaven (53°52,0`N/8°44,5`O) by the Federal Maritime and Hydrographic Agency in Hamburg (Germany, Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie (BSH)) in September 2002.

100 l of water were extracted at the BSH with 1000 ml of n-pentane. Prefractionation was carried out on silica gel. Fraction 1 was eluted with dichloromethane/n-hexane 1+1 (v/v) and fraction 2 with acetone.

No PCAs could be detected by EI-MS/MS at a detection limit of 0.4 ng/l water, which corresponds to an absolute detection limit of 0.2 ng for the most abundant fragmentation. The determination of PCAs in surface water in Germany in 1987 and 1994 showed a remarked decrease of concentrations (with the reservation concerning the reliability of data given in chapter 5.3.3.4) as Table 39 shows (WHO, 1996). 50-190 ng/l were detected in 1994 compared to several thousands of ng/l in 1987. However, no information about blank controls are presented, which is a very critical factor.

Since then, a further decrease in the PCA-concentrations in surface water can be assumed due to the partly ban of the use of sPCA. Moreover, a further dilution takes place in the Sea. Therefore, despite the currently very low detection limit of 0.4 ng/l no PCA was found sea water.

River, Location	1987 [ng/l]		1994	[ng/l]
	sPCAs	C <sub>14-18</sub>	sPCAs	C <sub>14-17</sub>
Lech near Augsburg			50	<50
Lech near Gersthofen, upstream PCA manufacturer	500	4'500	80	90
Lech near Langweid, downstream of PCA manufacturer	600	4'000	100	190
Lech near Rain			120	170
Donau near Marxheim, upstream concluence of river Lech	1'200	4'000	60	<60
Donau near Marxheim, upstream concluence of river Lech	1'200	20'000	60	70

Table 39: Concentrations of sPCAs und mPCAs in surface water in Germany (WHO, 1996).

# 5.5 References

Andersson, Ö.; Linder, C-E.; Olsson, M.; Reutergårdh, L.; Uvemo, U-B.; Wideqvist, U. (1988) *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 17, 139-148.

Arnold, S.F.; Klotz, D.M.; Collins, B.M.; Vonier, P.M.; Guilette Jr, L.J.; McLachlan, J.A. (1996) *Science* 272, 1489-1492.

Barnett, J.R.; Wyman-Dorough, H. (1974) J. Agric. Food Chem. 22, 612-619.

Bidleman, T.F.; Patton, G.W.; Walla, M.D.; Hargrave, B.T.; Vass, W.P.; Erickson, P.; Scott, W.; Gregor D.J. (1989) *Arctic* 42, 307-313.

Borgen, A. R.; Schlabach, M.; Kallenborn, R.; Fjeld, E. (2000) Organohalogen Compd. 47, 272-275.

Borgen, A. R.; Schlabach, M.; Kallenborn, R.; Fjeld, E. (2001) Organohalogen Compd. 52, 75-79.

BSH (2002) Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie (BSH) Report: Bund-Länder-Messprogramm (BLMP) In Meeresumwelt 1997 – 1998; BSH, Hamburg.

Bucher, J. R.; Alison, R. H.; Montgomery, C. A.; Huff, J., Haseman, J. K.; Farnell, D.; Thompson, R.; Prejean, D. (1997) *Fundam. Appl. Toxicol. 9*, 454-468.

Bussart, J.E.; Schor, A. (1948) Soap Sanitary Chem. 2411, 126-128.

Coelhan, M. (1999) Anal. Chem. 71, 4498-4505.

Dearth, M.A.; Hites R.A. (1991) Environ Sci. Technol. 25, 245-254.

Dearth, M.A.; Hites R.A. (1991b) Environ Sci. Technol. 25, 1125-1128.

Drouillard, K. G.; Tomy, G. T.; Muir, D. C. G.; Friesen, K. J. (1998) *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 17, 1252-1260 and 1261-1267.

ECBR (2000) European Chemicals Bureau Report: European Union Risk Assessment Report for Chloroalkanes, C<sub>10</sub>-C<sub>13</sub>. Available from <u>http://ecb</u>.jrc.it/existing-chemicals.

European Community (2001) Decision No. 2455/2001/EC. Off. J. Eur. Communities L331, 1-5.

European Community (2002) Directive 2002/45/EC. Off. J. Eur. Communities L177, 1-21.

Evenset, A.; Christensen, G.; Kallenborn, R.; Skotvold, T. (2002) Report: *The "Bjørnøya Case"*; Akvaplan-Niva: Tromsø, Norway.

Evenset, A.; Christensen, G. N.; Skotvold, T.; Fjeld, E.; Schlabach, M.; Wartena, E.; Gregor, D. (2004) *Sci. Total Environ. 318*, 125-141.

Evenset, A.; Christensen, G. N.; Kallenborn, R. (2005) Environ. Pollut. 136, 419-430.

Falandysz J.; Kurunthachalam, K.; Tanabe, S.; Tatsukawa, R. (1994) *Ambio 23*, 288-293.

Falandysz, J.; Strandberg, L.; Puzyn, T.; Gucia, M.; Rappe, C. (2000) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 35, 4163-4169.

Farrar, D. G. (2000) Organohalogen Compd. 47, 129-130.

Froescheis, O.; Ballschmiter, K. (1998) Fresenius J. Anal. Chem. 361, 784-790

GDCh (1996) Advisory Committee on Existing Chemicals of Environmental Relevance. *Chlorinated Paraffins*. Stuttgart, Germany, Hirzel ISBN 3-7776-0694-4

Gjøs, N.; Gustavsen, K. O. (1982) Anal. Chem. 54, 1316-1318.

Hargrave, B.T.; Harding, G.C.; Vass, W.P.; Erickson, P.E.; Fowler, B.R.; Scott, V. (1992) *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 22, 41-54.

HELCOM (2002) Report: Guidance Document on Short Chained Chlorinated Paraffins (SCCP); European Union.

Hung, M. N.; Kajiwara, N.; Kunisue, T.; Subramanian, A.; Iwata, H.; Tanabe, S.; Hung, V. P.; Cach, T. B. (2004) *Organohalogen Compd.* 66, 3664-3669.

Hüttig, J.; Oehme, M. (2005) J. Environ. Monit. 7, 319-324.

Jansson, B; Andersson, R; Asplund, L; Litzen, K; Nylund, K; Sellström, U; Uvemo, U; Wahlberg, C; Wideqvist, U; Odsjö, T, Olsson, M (1993) *Environ. Toxico.l Chem. 12*, 1163–1174

Johnson-Logan, L.R.; Broshears, R.E.; Klaine, S.J. (1992) Environ. Sci. Contam. 26, 2234-2239.

Karl, H.; Lehmann, I.; Oetjen, K. (1998) Chemosphere 36, 2819-2832.

Karlsson, H.; Oehme, M.; Klungsøyr J. (1997) Chemosphere 34, 951-963.

Karlsson, H. (1999) Isomer and enantiomer selective ultra trace analysis of chlordane in biota including isolation and structure elucidation of single isomers Thesis, University of Basel, Shaker, Aachen, 1999.

Karlsson, H.; Oehme, M.; Skopp, S.; Burkow, I.C. (2000) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 34, 2126-2130.

Kearns, C.W.; Ingle, L.; Metcalf, R.L. (1945) J. Econ. Entomol. 38, 661-668.

Kemmlein, S.; Hermeneit, A.; Rotard, W. (2002) Organohalogen Compd. 59, 279-282.

Marvin, C. H.; Painter, S.; Tomy, G. T.; Stern, G. A.; Braekevelt, E.; Muir, D. C. G. (2003) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 37, 4561-4568.

Maulshagen, A.; Hamm, S.; Petersen, M. E.; Elsholz, O.; Fengler, S.; Seel, P.; (2003) *Organohalogen Compd.* 62, 371-374.

McLachlan, J.A.; Arnold, S.F.; Klotz, D.M.; Collins, B.M.; Voiner, P.M.; Guilette, L.J. (1997) Science 275, 405-406.

Muir, D.C.G.; Norstrom, R.J.; Simon, M. (1988) Environ. Sci. Technol. 22, 1071-1079.

Muir, D. C. G.; Bennie, D; Tomy, G.T.; Stern, G. A. (1998) SCCPs – an updated assessment based on recent environmental measurements and bioaccumulation results. Unpublished report to Environment Canada, Commercial Chemicals Evaluation Branch. National Water Research Institute Burlington, ON, Canada.

Muir, D. C. G.; Stern, G. A.; Tomy, G. T. (2000) In: *The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry*; Paasivirta, J., Ed.; Springer: Heidelberg, Berlin, New York, Vol. 3, pp 203-236.

Muir, D. C. G.; Bennie, D. T.; Teixeira, C.; Fisk, A. T.; Tomy Gregg, T.; Stern Gary, A.; Whittle, M. (2000b) In: Persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic substances; Patreas, M., Ed.; ACS Books: Washington D.C., Vol. 2, pp 184-202.

Nicholls, C. R.; Allchin, C. R.; Law, R. J. (2001) Environ. Pollut. 114, 415-430.

Oehme, M.; Manø, S. (1984) Fresenius J. Anal. Chem. 319, 141-146.

Oehme, M. (1998) Practical introduction to GC-MS analysis with quadrupoles. Hüthig, Heidelberg, ISBN 3-7785-2601-4.

OSPAR Commission (2001) Short Chain Chlorinated Paraffins, No. 141, London, ISBN 0-946956-77-4.

OSPAR Commission (2002) Hazardous Substances; The OSPAR List of Substances of Possible Concern, London, Reference Number 2002-17.

Otto, M. (1997) Chemometrie: Statistik und Computereinsatz in der Analytik; Wiley-VCH, Weinheim ISBN 3-527-28849-X.

Parera, J.; Santos, F. J.; Galceran, M. T. (2004) J. Chromatogr. A 1046, 19-26.

Reth, M.; Oehme, M. (2004) Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 378, 1741-1747.

Reth, M.; Oehme M. (2005) Chemosphere 58, 847-854.

Reth, M.; Zencak, Z.; Oehme, M. (2005) J. Chromatogr. A 1081, 225-231.

Schmid, P. P.; Müller, M. D. (1985) J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem. 68, 427-430.

Sijm, D. T. H. M.; Sinnige, T. L. (1995) Chemosphere 31, 4427-4435.

Simpson, C.D.; Wilcock, R.J.; Smith, T.J.; Wilkins, A.L. (1995) Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 55, 149-153.

Simpson, C. D.; Wilkins, A. L.; Langdon, A. G.; Wilcock, R. (1996) *J. Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 32, 499-503.

Stejnarova, P.; Coelhan, M.; Kostrhounova, R.; Parlar, H.; Holoubek, I. (2005) *Chemosphere* 58, 253-262.

Stern, G. A.; Tomy, G. (2000) Organohalogen Compd. 47, 135-138.

Strandberg, B.; Strandberg, L.; van Bavel, B.; Bergqvist, P. A.; Broman, D.; Falandysz, J.; Näf, C.; Papakosta, O.; Rolff, C.; Rappe, C. (1998) *Sci. Total Environ.* 215, 69-83.

Strandberg, B.; van Bavel, B.; Bergqvist, P.-A.; Broman, D.; Ishaq, R.; Naef, C.; Pettersen, H.; Rappe, C. (1998b) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 32, 1754-1759.

Tashiro, S.; Matsumura, F. (1978) Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 7, 113-127.

Thompson, R. (2000) Organohalogen Compd. 47, 139-140.

Tomy, G. T.; Stern, G. A. (1999) Anal. Chem. 71, 4860-4865.

Tomy, G. T.; Stern, G. A.; Muir, D. C. G.; Fisk, A. T.; Cymbalisty, C. D.; Westmore, J. B. (1997) *Anal. Chem.* 69, 2762-2771.

Tomy, G. T.; Stern, G. A.; Lockhart, W. L.; Muir, D. C. G. (1999a) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 33, 2858-2863.

Tomy, G. T.; Westmore, J. B.; Stern, G. A.; Muir, D. C. G.; Fisk, A. T. (1999b) Anal. Chem. 71, 446-451.

Tomy, G. T.; Muir, D. C. G.; Stern, G. A.; Westmore, J. B. (2000) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 34, 1615-1619.

Voorspoels, S.; Covaci, A.; Maervoet, J.; De Meester, I.; Schepens, P. (2004) Mar. Pollut. Bull. 49, 393-404.

WHO, World Health Organization (1984) Environmental Health Criteria 34, 1-65.

WHO, World Health Organization (1996) *Report: Environmental Health Criteria* 181 – Chlorinated Paraffins; WHO, Genf, ISBN 92-4-157-181-0.

Zencak, Z.; Reth, M.; Oehme, M. (2003) Anal. Chem. 75, 2487-2492.

Zencak, Z.; Reth, M.; Oehme, M. (2004) Anal. Chem. 76, 1957-1962.

Zencak, Z.; Borgen, A.; Reth, M.; Oehme, M. (2005) J. Chromatogr. A 1067, 295-301.

Zhu, J.; Norstrom, R.; Muir, D. C. G.; Ferron, L. A. (1995) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 29, 267-271.

# 5.6 Appendix 1: Methodology

# 5.6.1 Employed PCA reference standards

PCA reference mixtures could only be purchased from Ehrenstorfer (Augsburg, Germany). Further references were supplied from the state laboratory of Basel-Stadt, from a manufacturer (Caffaro, Italien) and from LCG (Teddington, UK). Table 40 summarises the available reference mixtures and their composition.

Name	Company	Chain length	Chlorine content
sPCA mixtures			
Hordalub 17	Hoechst	C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>13</sub>	49 %
Hordalub 80	Hoechst	C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>13</sub>	56 %
Hordalub 500	Hoechst	C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>13</sub>	62 %
Cereclor 60 L	ICI	C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>13</sub>	59 %
Cereclor 70 L	ICI	C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>13</sub>	69 %
Standard solutions			
Chloroparaffin	Ehrenstorfer	C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>13</sub>	51.5 %
Chloroparaffin	Chloroparaffin Ehrenstorfer		63 %
Chloroparaffin	Ehrenstorfer	C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>13</sub>	55.5 %
mPCA mixtures			
Cloparin 50	Caffaro	C <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>17</sub>	51 %
Cloparin 51 PL	Caffaro	?	51 %
Cloparol 49 ST	Caffaro	?	49 %
Chlorparaffin 40 fl.	Hoechst	C <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>17</sub>	41 %
Chlorparaffin 45 fl.	Hoechst	C <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>17</sub>	45 %
Hordalub 80 EM	Hoechst	C <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>17</sub>	49 %
Hordaflex SP	Hoechst	C <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>17</sub>	56 %

**Table 40:** Composition and source of the sPCA and mPCA reference mixtures employed for quantification or for composition characterisation.

Cereclor	ICI	C <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>17</sub>	52 %
Cereclor	ICI	C <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>17</sub>	53 % <sup>a</sup>
Cereclor	ICI	C <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>17</sub>	53 % <sup>a</sup>
Cereclor	ICI	C <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>17</sub>	53 % <sup>a</sup>
Cereclor	ICI	C <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>17</sub>	54 % <sup>a</sup>
Cereclor	ICI	C <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>17</sub>	55 % <sup>a</sup>
Cereclor	ICI	C <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>17</sub>	55 % <sup>a</sup>
mPCAs	Ehrenstorfer	C <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>17</sub>	47 %
mPCAs	Ehrenstorfer	C <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>17</sub>	52 %
mPCAs	Ehrenstorfer	C <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>17</sub>	57 %

<sup>a</sup> calculated chlorine content based on ECNI results, no data available from manufacturer. ICI: Imperial Chemical Industries (England)

# 5.6.2 Reference standards for chlordane

The reference compounds listed in Table 41 were used for method development, validation and quantification of chlordanes.

**Table 41:** Manufacturer and concentrations chlordane solutions used for quantification. The purity was>99 % except for MC8 and 4,5-dichlorchlordene.

Compound	Manufacturer	Concentration
trans-Chlordane	Promochem	$8.08 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{l}^*$
cis-Chlordane	Promochem	$4.98 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{l}^*$
trans-Nonachlor	Promochem	43.18 ng/ $\mu$ l <sup>*</sup>
cis-Nonachlor	Promochem	44.32 ng/ $\mu$ l*
cis-Heptachlorepoxide	Ehrenstorfer	$10 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{l}^*$
trans-Heptachlorepoxide	Ehrenstorfer	$10 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{l}^*$
Heptachlor	Promochem	188.4 ng/ $\mu$ l <sup>*</sup>
Oxychlordane	Ehrenstorfer	$10 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{l}^*$
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>10</sub> - <i>trans</i> -Chlordane	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	100 ng/µl**
MC8	Karlsson (2000)	$1 \text{ ng/ } \mu \text{l}^*$
4,5-Dichlorochlorden	29	$1 \text{ ng/ } \mu \text{l}^*$
Octachloronaphthalene	Ehrenstorfer	$10 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{l}^*$
Tetrachloronaphthalene	Ehrenstorfer	$10 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{l}^*$

\* in cyclohexane, \*\* in *n*-nonane

#### 5.6.3 Clean-up procedure for fish (PCAs and chlordanes)

Up to 7 g of the pooled fish liver samples were mixed with the tenfold quantity of water-free sodium sulphate (dried at 600 °C overnight) in a mortar. The free-flowing powder was transferred to a glass column (length 30 cm, ID 2.0 cm). 10 ng of the internal standards  ${}^{13}C_{10}$ -*trans*-chlordane dissolved in 10 µl of cyclohexane were added to the top of the column. Elution was carried out by drop wise addition of 250 ml of *n*-hexane/dichloromethane (1+1, v/v). The eluate was completely evaporated to dryness with a Turbo Vap (Zymark, Hopkinton, USA).

Lipid removal was carried out with a glass column (length 30.0 cm, ID 2.0 cm) filled from bottom to top as follows: 1 g of water-free sodium sulphate, 40 g of a mixture of silica gel/conc. sulphuric acid (44 % (weight) of conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and 1 g of water-free sulphate again. The was conditioned with 60 ml sodium system of *n*-hexane/dichloromethane (1+1, v/v). A pressure of 96.5 hPa was applied with a membrane pump (Elite 801, Hagen, Köln, Germany, flow 1.3 l/min). The sample was transferred to the top of the column and eluted under pressure with totally 130 ml of *n*-hexane/dichloromethane (1 + 1, v/v). The solution was concentrated to ca. 150 µl with a Turbo Vap, 10 ml of *n*-hexane were added twice followed by a volume reduction to ca. 200 µl.

Interfering compounds were removed by column chromatography on a glass column (length 30 cm, ID 1.5 cm) filled with (bottom to top): 1 g of water-free sodium sulphate, 16 g of Florisil<sup>®</sup> (deactivated with 1.5 % water, 60-100 mesh, Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland) and 1 g of water-free sodium sulphate. Conditioning was carried out with 30 ml of *n*-hexane. After transfer of the sample, the pre-fraction was eluted with 60 ml of *n*-hexane followed by 7 ml of dichloromethane. The fraction containing PCA was eluted with 60 ml of dichloromethane and concentrated to ca. 100  $\mu$ l with a Turbo Vap. 20 ml of cyclohexane were added and the volume reduced again to 100  $\mu$ l. The sample was ready for quantification after addition of 10 ng of the recovery standard  $\epsilon$ -HCH (dissolved in 10  $\mu$ l of cyclohexane).

# 5.6.4 Clean-up procedure for sediments (PCAs and chlordanes)

Sediments were dried at room temperature for 10 days (open-air, fume hood) and sieved with 2 mm mesh size. Depending on the TOC content, 2-50 g sediment were taken, and 10  $\mu$ l of a solution containing <sup>13</sup>C<sub>10</sub>-*trans*-chlordane and octachloronaphthalene in cyclohexane (concentration of 1 ng/ $\mu$ l each) were added as internal standards. The dried sediment was filled into a pre-cleaned Soxhlet thimble (heated to 300 °C for 6 h, made from glass fibres, 30 mm diameter, 100 mm length, Schleicher&Schüll, Germany). Elemental sulphur was removed with activated copper powder (230 mesh, Merck, Germany; activated with concentrated nitric acid) during the Soxhlet extraction. Soxhlet

extraction was carried out overnight with 200 ml of *n*-hexane/dichloromethane (1+1, v/v). The extract was concentrated to ca. 200 µl with a Turbo Vap (Zymark, USA), 10 ml of *n*-hexane were added twice followed by a volume reduction to ca. 200 µl.

Sample clean-up was carried out on a glass column (length 30 cm, ID 1.3 cm) filled from bottom to top with: 1 g of water-free sodium sulphate, 16 g of Florisil<sup>®</sup> (60-100 mesh, Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland, deactivated with 1.5 % of water) and 1 g of water-free sodium sulphate again.

Conditioning was carried out with 30 ml of *n*-hexane. After transfer of the sample, the pre-fraction was eluted with 60 ml of *n*-hexane followed by 5 ml of dichloromethane. The fraction containing CP was eluted with 55 ml of dichloromethane and concentrated to ca. 200  $\mu$ l with a Turbo Vap. 10 ml of cyclohexane were added twice and the volume reduced between to 100  $\mu$ l. The sample was ready for quantification after volume reduction to 100  $\mu$ l and addition of 10 ng of the recovery standard  $\epsilon$ -HCH (dissolved in 10  $\mu$ l of cyclohexane).

Sediments with a higher TOC-content (> 1%) and Baltic Sea sediments in general required a further clean-up step directly after extraction. A column filled with silica gel/conc. sulphuric acid was employed analogue to biota to remove matrix compounds and a yellow to greenish colour. Only 20 g of the mixture silica gel/conc. sulphuric acid (44 % weight) were used and eluted with 70 ml of *n*-hexane/dichloromethane (1+1, v/v).

# 5.6.5 Quantification of PCAs by ECNI-LRMS

Gas chromatographic separations were carried out on an HP 5890II gas chromatograph (Hewlett Packard, Palo Alto, USA) equipped with an Hewlett Packard 7673 auto sampler. A fused silica capillary was employed of 15 m length and 0.25 mm ID coated with a 0.25  $\mu$ m film of DB5-MS (5 % phenyl-95 %-methylsiloxane, J&W Scientific, Folsom, USA). The injected volume was 1.5  $\mu$ l in the splitless mode (2 min splitless time). The injector temperature was 275 °C. He was used as carrier gas (99,999 % purity, Carbagas, Switzerland) at a head pressure of 68.9 kPa (10 psi). The following

temperature programme was employed: 100 °C isothermal for 2 min, with 15 °C/min to 280 °C, isothermal for 8 min or alternatively 100 °C isothermal for 2 min, with 10 °C/min to 260 °C, isothermal for 10 min.

An HP 5989B mass spectrometer was applied in the ECNI mode (Hewlett Packard, Palo Alto, USA). Regular performance optimisation was carried out with perfluorotributylamine selecting the mass fragments m/z 283.0, 414.0 and 453.0. The temperature of the transfer line was 280 °C, of the ion source 200 °C and of the quadrupole 100 °C. Methane was used as reagent gas (99,995 %, Carbagas, Switzerland) at a pressure of 1-1.6 hPa (0.9-1.1 Torr). Table 42 and Table 43 summarise the selected masses in the selected ion monitoring mode. The most abundant chlorine isotope signal was selected for quantification and the second one for confirmation.

Formula and congener patterns were determined on a CP-3800 (Varian, Walnut Creek, USA) gas chromatograph. A fused silica capillary was employed of 15 m length and 0.25 mm ID coated with a 0.25 µm film of DB5-MS (5 %-phenyl-95 %-methylsiloxane, J&W Scientific, Folsom, USA). The injected volume was 2.0 µl in the splitless mode (3 min splitless time) using an autosampler (Combi Pal autosampler, CTC Analytics, Switzerland). The injector temperature was 275 °C. He was used as carrier gas (99,999 % purity, Carbagas, Switzerland) at a head pressure of 68.9 kPa (10 psi). The following temperature programme was employed: 100 C isothermal for 2 min, with 10 °C/min to 260 °C, isothermal for 10 min.

	Short chain	CPs	Medium chain CPs				
sPCA congener	Most abundant isotope (100 %)	Second abundant isotope	mPCA congener	Most abundant isotope (100 %)	Second abundant isotope		
$C_{10}H_{18}Cl_4$	243.1 (X)	245.1 (X+2, 96 %)	$C_{14}H_{26}Cl_4$	299.1 (X)	301.1 (X+2, 96 %)		
$C_{10}H_{17}Cl_5$	279.0 (X+2)	277.0 (X, 78 %)	$C_{14}H_{25}Cl_5$	335.1 (X+2)	333.1 (X, 78 %)		
$C_{10}H_{16}Cl_6$	312.9 (X+2)	314.9 (X+4, 64 %) 64 %	$C_{14}H_{24}Cl_6$	369.0 (X+2)	371.0 (X+4, 64 %)		
$C_{10}H_{15}Cl_7$	346.9 (X+2)	348.9 (X+4, 80 %)	$C_{14}H_{23}Cl_7$	403.0 (X+2)	405.0 (X+4, 80 %)		
$C_{10}H_{14}Cl_8$	380.9 (X+2)	382.9 (X+4, 96 %)	$C_{14}H_{22}Cl_8$	436.9 (X+2)	438.9 (X+4, 96 %)		
$C_{10}H_{13}Cl_9$	416.8 (X+4)	414.8 (X+2, 89 %)	$C_{14}H_{21}Cl_9$	472.9 (X+4)	470.9 (X+2, 89 %)		
$C_{10}H_{12}Cl_{10}$	450.8 (X+4)	448.8 (X+2, 78 %)	$C_{14}H_{20}Cl_{10}$	506.9 (X+4)	504.9 (X+2, 78 %)		
$C_{11}H_{20}Cl_4$	257.1(X)	259.1 (X+2, 96 %)	$C_{15}H_{28}Cl_4$	313.1 (X)	315.1 (X+2, 96 %)		
$C_{11}H_{19}Cl_5$	293.0 (X+2)	291.0 (X, 78 %)	$C_{15}H_{27}Cl_5$	349.1 (X+2)	347.1 (X, 78 %)		
$C_{11}H_{18}Cl_6$	327.0 (X+2)	329.0 (X+4, 64 %)	$C_{15}H_{26}Cl_6$	383.0 (X+2)	385.0 (X+4, 64 %)		
$C_{11}H_{17}Cl_7$	360.9 (X+2)	362.9 (X+4, 80 %)	$C_{15}H_{25}Cl_7$	417.0 (X+2)	419.0 (X+4, 80 %)		
$C_{11}H_{16}Cl_8$	394.9 (X+2)	396.9 (X+4, 96 %)	$C_{15}H_{24}Cl_8$	451.0 (X+2)	453.0 (X+4, 96 %)		
$C_{11}H_{15}Cl_9$	430.9 (X+4)	428.9 (X+2, 89 %)	$C_{15}H_{23}Cl_9$	486.9 (X+4)	484.9 (X+2, 89 %)		
$C_{11}H_{14}Cl_{10}$	464.8 (X+4)	462.8 (X+2, 78 %)	$C_{15}H_{22}Cl_{10}$	520.9 (X+4)	518.9 (X+2, 78 %)		
$C_{12}H_{22}Cl_4$	271.1 (X)	273.1 (X+2, 96 %)	$C_{16}H_{30}Cl_4$	327.1 (X)	329.1 (X+2, 96 %)		
$C_{12}H_{21}Cl_5$	307.0 (X+2)	305.1 (X, 78 %)	$C_{16}H_{29}Cl_5$	363.1 (X+2)	361.1 (X, 78 %)		
$C_{12}H_{20}Cl_6$	341.0 (X+2)	343.0 (X+4, 64 %)	$C_{16}H_{28}Cl_6$	397.0 (X+2)	399.0 (X+4, 64 %)		
$C_{12}H_{19}Cl_7$	374.9 (X+2)	376.9 (X+4, 80 %)	$C_{16}H_{27}Cl_7$	431.0 (X+2)	433.0 (X+4, 80 %)		
$C_{12}H_{18}Cl_8$	408.9 (X+2)	410.9 (X+4, 96 %)	$C_{16}H_{26}Cl_8$	465.0 (X+2)	467.0 (X+4, 96 %)		
$C_{12}H_{17}Cl_9$	444.9 (X+4)	442.9 (X+2, 89 %)	$C_{16}H_{25}Cl_9$	500.9 (X+4)	498.9 (X+2, 89 %)		
$C_{12}H_{16}Cl_{10}$	478.8 (X+4)	476.8 (X+2, 78 %)	$C_{16}H_{24}Cl_{10}$	534.9 (X+4)	532.9 (X+2, 78 %)		
$C_{13}H_{24}Cl_4$	285.1 (X)	287.1 (X+2, 96 %)	$C_{17}H_{32}Cl_4$	341.1 (X)	343.1 (X+2, 96 %)		
$C_{13}H_{23}Cl_5$	321.1 (X+2)	319.1 (X, 78 %)	$C_{17}H_{31}Cl_5$	377.1 (X+2)	375.1 (X, 78 %)		
$C_{13}H_{22}Cl_6$	355.0 (X+2)	357.0 (X+4, 64 %)	$C_{17}H_{30}Cl_{6}$	411.1 (X+2)	413.1 (X+4, 64 %)		
$C_{13}H_{21}Cl_7$	389.0 (X+2)	391.0 (X+4, 80 %)	$C_{17}H_{29}Cl_7$	445.0 (X+2)	447.0 (X+4, 80 %)		
$C_{13}H_{20}Cl_8$	422.9 (X+2)	424.9 (X+4, 96 %)	$C_{17}H_{28}Cl_8$	479.0 (X+2)	481.0 (X+4, 96 %)		
$C_{13}H_{19}Cl_9$	458.9 (X+4)	456.9 (X+2, 89 %)	$C_{17}H_{27}Cl_9$	514.9 (X+4)	512.9 (X+2, 89 %)		
$C_{13}H_{18}Cl_{10}$	492.9 (X+4)	490.9 (X+2, 78 %)	$C_{17}H_{26}Cl_{10}$	548.9 (X+4)	546.9 (X+2, 78 %)		

**Table 42:** Mass-to-charge ratios of the [M-Cl]<sup>-</sup> ions (abbreviated as X in the table) of the two most abundant isotope signals of sPCA and mPCA congeners used for quantification and identification in the ECNI-MS mode.

A triple quadrupole mass spectrometer was applied in the ECNI mode (1200L, Varian, Walnut Creek, USA). Regular performance optimisation of both quadrupoles was carried out. The temperature of the transfer line was 280 °C, of the ion source 200 °C and of the manifold 40 °C. Methane was used as reagent gas (99,995 %, Carbagas, Switzerland) at a pressure of 7.3 mbar (5.5 Torr). The dwell time was 0.25 ms per ion group. Table 42 and Table 43 summarised the selected masses in the selected ion monitoring mode.

**Table 43:** Mass-to-charge ratios of ion selected for the internal standards  $\varepsilon$ -HCH and  ${}^{13}C_{10}$ -*trans*-chlordane as well as for other polychlorinated compounds included during method development. The ECNI-MS mode was applied.

Compound	Ion	m/z	<i>m/z</i> most abundant isotope
α-НСН	[M-C1] <sup>-</sup>	253	255
ε-HCH	[M-C1] <sup>-</sup>	253	255
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>10</sub> - <i>trans</i> -Chlordane	[M] <sup>-</sup>	416	420
cis-Chlordane	[M] <sup>-</sup>	406	410
cis-Nonachlor	[M] <sup>-</sup>	440	444
Heptachlor	[M-2C1] <sup>-</sup>	299	302
Octachloronaphthalene	[M-2C1] <sup>-</sup>	332	334
p,p'-DDT	[M+H-3C1] <sup>-</sup>	248	248
PCB 153	$[M]^{-}$	358	360
Toxaphene #44	[M-C1] <sup>-</sup>	373	377
Toxaphene #62	[M-C1] <sup>-</sup>	373	377
trans-Chlordane	[M] <sup>-</sup>	406	410
trans-Nonachlor	[M] <sup>-</sup>	440	444

# 5.6.6 Quantification of PCAs by ECNI-HRMS

A comparison between ECNI-HRMS and ECNI-LRMS combined with the newly developed clean-up was carried out to ensure, that the latter has the necessary selectivity for PCA. The ECNI-HRMS measurements were carried out at the Norwegian Institute for Air Research (Kjeller, Norway). Results obtained by LRMS and HRMS were well comparable (see chapter 5.3.3.3).

Gas chromatographic separations were carried out with an HP 5890II gas chromatograph (Hewlett Packard, Palo Alto, USA) equipped with a split/splitless injector and a capillary of 25 m length and 0.20 mm ID coated with a 0.33  $\mu$ m film of HP-1 (100 % dimethylpolysiloxane, Hewlett Packard, Palo Alto, USA). A sample volume of 1  $\mu$ l was injected in the splitless mode (splitless time 2 min). The injector temperature was 260 °C. He (99,999 %) was used as carrier gas at an inlet pressure of 138 kPa. The temperature programme was as follows: 150 °C for 2 min, then with 7 °C/min to 260 °C, isothermal for 8 min and finally with 10 °C/min to 280 °C, isothermal for 13 min.

A VG Autospec (Micromass, Manchester, UK) mass spectrometer was employed in the ECNI-mode. Argon was applied as reagent gas at an ion source pressure of  $2 \cdot 10^{-5}$  mbar. The mass resolution was 12'000 at an acceleration voltage of 6 kV. The ion source temperature was set to 170 °C, the filament current to 0.3-1 mA and the electron energy to 25-40 eV. Perfluorokerosene was used for mass calibration. The most abundant isotope signals of the [M-Cl]<sup>-</sup> ions were recorded in the selected ion mode for PCAs, and [M]<sup>-</sup> or [M-4Cl-2H]<sup>-</sup> ions for  ${}^{13}C_{10}$ -trans-chlordane. The dwell time per ion was 50 ms.

# 5.6.7 Group pattern determination of PCAs by CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-NICI

Gas chromatographic separations was carried out on an HP 5890II gas chromatograph (Hewlett Packard, Palo Alto, USA), equipped with a Hewlett Packard 7673 auto sampler. A fused silica capillary was employed of 15 m length and 0.25 mm ID coated with a 0.25  $\mu$ m film of DB5-MS (5%-phenyl-95%-methylsiloxane, J&W Scientific, Folsom, USA). The injected volume was 1.5  $\mu$ l in the splitless mode (2 min splitless time). The injector temperature was 275 °C. He was used as carrier gas (99,999 % purity, Carbagas, Switzerland) at a head pressure of 68,9 kPa (10 psi). The following temperature programme was employed: 100 °C isothermal for 2 min, with 10 °C/min to 260 °C, isothermal for 10 min.

An HP 5989B mass spectrometer was applied in the ECNI mode (Hewlett Packard, Palo USA). Regular performance optimisation carried Alto. was out with perfluorotributylamine selecting the mass fragments m/z 283.0, 414.0 and 453.0. The temperature of the transfer line was 270 °C, of the ion source 200 °C and of the quadrupole 100 °C. Dichloromethane (99.8 %, Scharlau, Barcelona, Spain)was mixed with methane at a pressure ratio of 1+4 and a total reagent gas pressure of 200 Pa (1,5 Torr) using a modified reagent gas inlet (Zencak et al., 2003). The most abundant isotope masses of the  $[M+C1]^-$  adducts and of the internal standard  ${}^{13}C_{10}$ -trans-Chlordan were recorded in the selective ion mode (Zencak et al., 2003).

#### 5.6.8 Quantification of PCAs by EI-MS/MS

Gas chromatographic separations were carried out on a Star 3400 CX gas chromatograph (Varian, Wallnut Creek, USA) using a capillary of 5 m length and 0.25 mm ID coated with 0.25  $\mu$ m of DB35-MS (35 % phenyl-methylpolysiloxane, J&W Scientific, Folsom, USA). A restriction capillary of 9 cm length and 0.05 mm ID was connected to the outlet to reduce the flow into the ion trap mass spectrometer. The injector temperature was 250 °C. Helium (99,999 %, Carbagas, Switzerland) was used as carrier gas at a pressure of 68.9 kPa. The temperature programme was as follows: 100 °C for 1 min, then with 50 °C/min to 300 °C, isothermal for 4 min. Splitless injections (splitless time 1.5 min) of 2.5  $\mu$ l sample volume were carried with a Varian 8200 autosampler.

A Saturn 2000 (Varian, Wallnut Creek, USA) ion trap mass spectrometer was employed. The following EI-MS/MS conditions were applied: Electron energy 70 eV, filament current 70  $\mu$ A, scan range *m*/*z* 50-300, scan time 0.3 s, maximum ionisation time 25 ms, isolation time 5 ms and excitation time 20 ms. The selected ions to be fragmented as well as further parameters are given in Table 44. The precursor ion *m*/*z* 383 [M-Cl]<sup>+</sup> and the product ion *m*/*z* 276 [M-4Cl]<sup>+</sup> were selected for the internal standard <sup>13</sup>C<sub>10</sub>-*trans*-chlordane (excitation amplitude 0.85 V and excitation storage level *m*/*z* 169,0).

Additionally, a Varian triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Wallnut Creek, USA) was used. Gas chromatographic separations were carried out on a CP-3800 gas chromatograph (Varian, Wallnut Creek, USA) applying a capillary of 15 m length and 0.25 mm ID coated with a film of 0.25  $\mu$ m DB5-MS (5 % phenyl-methylpolysiloxane, J&W Scientific, Folsom, USA). An injector temperature of 275 °C and Helium as carrier gas (flow 2 ml/min) were used. The temperature programme was as follows: 100 °C for 1 min, then with 50 °C/min to 300 °C, isothermal for 4 min. Splitless injections (splitless time 1.5 min) of 2.5  $\mu$ l sample volume were carried with a Pal autosampler (CTC Analytics, Zwingen, Switzerland).

The following EI-MS/MS conditions were applied: Electron energy 70 eV, filament current 300  $\mu$ A, resolution of quadrupole 1 at 0.8 u and of quadrupole 3 at 1.2 u, Ar as collision gas at a pressure of 0.12-0.15 Pa (0.9-1.1 mTorr). The selected ions to be fragmented as well as further parameters are given in Table 44. The precursor ion m/z 383 [M-Cl]<sup>+</sup> and the product ion m/z 276 [M-4Cl]<sup>+</sup> were selected for the internal standard <sup>13</sup>C<sub>10</sub>-*trans*-chlordane (collision energy -21 V).

**Table 44:** Selected precursor and product ions for the determination of the total PCA content by EI-MS/MS with an ion trap and triple quadrupole mass spectrometer. Important instrument parameters and the masses for the internal standard  ${}^{13}C_{10}$ -trans-chlordane are also given.

		Ion trap		Triple quadrupole
Precursor ion (m/z)	Product ion ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> )	Excitation am- plitude (V)	Excitation "storage level" ( <i>m/z</i> )	Collision energy (V)
91 $[C_7H_7]^+$	$53 [C_4H_5]^+$	2,0	39,9	-16,0
$102 [C_5H_7Cl]^+$	$65 [C_5H_5]^+$	0,6	44,7	-10,0
$102 [C_5H_7Cl]^+$	$67 \left[ C_5 H_7 \right]^+$	*	*	-18,0
383 [M-Cl] <sup>+</sup>	276 [M-4Cl] <sup>+</sup>	0,85	169,0	-21,0

\*not detectable in the ion trap.

#### 5.6.9 Quantification of chlordanes by ECNI-LRMS

Gas chromatographic separations were carried out on an HP 5890II gas chromatograph (Hewlett Packard, Palo Alto, USA), equipped with a Hewlett Packard 7673 auto sampler. A fused silica capillary was employed of 15 m length and 0.25 mm ID coated

with a 025  $\mu$ m film of DB5-MS (5% phenyl-95 %-methylsiloxane, J&W Scientific, Folsom, USA). The injected volume was 1.5  $\mu$ l in the splitless mode (2 min splitless time). The injector temperature was 240 °C. He was used as carrier gas (99,999 % purity, Carbagas, Switzerland) at a head pressure of 68,9 kPa (10 psi). The following temperature programme was employed: 90 °C isothermal for 2 min, with 30 °C/min to 150 °C, then 4 °C/min to 250 °C, 2 min isothermal.

Also here an HP 5989B mass spectrometer was applied in the ECNI mode (Hewlett Packard, Palo Alto, USA). The temperature of the transfer line was 250 °C, of the ion source 200 °C and of the quadrupole 100 °C. Methane was used as reagent gas (99,995 %, Carbagas, Switzerland) at a pressure of 1-1.6 hPa (0.9-1.1 Torr).

Table 45 summarises the selected masses for chlordanes in the selected ion monitoring mode. The most abundant chlorine isotope signal was selected for quantification and the second one for confirmation. The metabolites oxy-chlordane, *trans-* and *cis-*hepta-chlorepoxide could not be completely separated on the selected capillary. *cis-*Hepta-chlorepoxide and oxy-chlordane co-eluted in front of *trans-*heptachlorepoxide. Quantification of cis-heptachlorepoxide was therefore carried out with the not disturbed but less abundant mass m/z 388 and of oxy-chlordane with m/z 424. The presence of the chlordanes MC5 and MC7 was confirmed by their retention relative to *cis-*chlordane (MC5: 0,982; MC7: 1,021, (Karlsson, 1999; Karlsson *et al.*, 2000)).

Compound	Quantification ion <i>m/z</i>	Identification ion <i>m/z</i>
trans-Chlordane	410 [M+4] <sup>-</sup>	408 [M+2]
cis-Chlordane	410 [M+4] <sup>-</sup>	408 [M+2]
trans-Nonachlor	444 [M+4] <sup>-</sup>	442 [M+2] <sup>-</sup>
cis-Nonachlor	444 [M+4] <sup>-</sup>	442 [M+2]
MC5	410 [M+4] <sup>-</sup>	408 [M+2]
MC7	410 [M+4] <sup>-</sup>	408 [M+2]
MC8	410 [M+4] <sup>-</sup>	408 [M+2]
Oxy-chlordane	354 [M+6-2Cl-2H] <sup>-</sup>	352 [M+4-2Cl- 2H] <sup>-</sup>
Oxy-chlordane	424 [M+2] <sup>-</sup>	422 [M] <sup>-</sup>
4,5- Dichlorochlordene	302 [M]	299 [M-2Cl-HCl]
Heptachlor	302 [M+2-2C1] <sup>-</sup>	300 [M-2C1] <sup>-</sup>
trans- Heptachlorepoxide	354 [M+2-Cl+H] <sup>-</sup>	352 [M-Cl+H] <sup>-</sup>
<i>cis-</i> Heptachlorepoxide	390 [M+4] <sup>-</sup>	388 [M+2]

**Table 45:** Mass-to-charge ratios of ions of single chlordane compounds used for quantification and identification in the ECNI-MS mode.

Alternatively, a CP 3800 gas chromatograph (Varian, Walnut Creek, USA) was employed for detection combined with a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (1200L, Varian, Walnut Creek, USA). The injected volume was 2  $\mu$ l in the splitless mode (2.5 min splitless time, Combi Pal autosampler, CTC Analytics, Switzerland). The injector temperature was 240 °C. He was used as carrier gas (99,999 % purity, Carbagas, Switzerland) at a head pressure of 68.9 kPa (10 psi). The following temperature programme was employed: 90 °C isothermal for 2 min, with 30 °C/min to 150 °C, then 4 °C/min to 210 °C and finally with 20 °C/min to 260 °C (5 min isothermal). Methane was used as reagent gas (99,995 %, Carbagas, Switzerland) at a pressure of 7.3 mbar (5.5 Torr). The dwell time was 0.5 s per ion group.

#### 5.6.10 Quantification of chlordanes by EI-MS/MS

The standard ECNI quantification was checked by an additionally developed EI-MS/MS method. Gas chromatographic separations were carried out on a CP-3800 gas

chromatograph (Varian, Wallnut Creek, USA) applying a capillary of 15 m length and 0.25 mm ID coated with a film of 0.25  $\mu$ m DB5-MS (5 % phenyl-methylpolysiloxane, J&W Scientific, Folsom, USA). An injector temperature of 240 °C and Helium as carrier gas (flow 2 ml/min, quality 5.0, Carbagas, Switzerland) were used. The temperature programme was as follows: 90 °C for 2 min, then with 15 °C/min to 260 °C, isothermal for 2 min. Splitless injections (splitless time 2 min) of 2.0  $\mu$ l sample volume were carried with a Pal autosampler (CTC Analytics, Zwingen, Switzerland).

The following EI-MS/MS conditions were applied: Electron energy 70 eV, filament current 150  $\mu$ A, resolution of quadrupole 1 at 0.8 u and of quadrupole 3 at 1.2 u, Ar as collision gas at a pressure of 0.12-0.15 Pa (0.9-1.1 mTorr). The selected ions to be fragmented as well as further parameters are given in Table 46. The precursor ion m/z 383 [M-Cl]<sup>+</sup> and the product ion m/z 276 [M-4Cl]<sup>+</sup> were selected for the internal standard <sup>13</sup>C<sub>10</sub>-*trans*-chlordane (collision energy -26 V). The dwell time was 50 ms per ion.

**Table 46:** Selected precursor and product ions for the determination of selected chlordanes by EI-MS/MS with a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer. Important instrument parameters and the masses for the internal standard  ${}^{13}C_{10}$ -*trans*-chlordane are also given.

Compound	Precursor ion $(m/z)$	Product ion $(m/z)$	Collision energy (V)
Oxychlordane	185	121	-17,5
trans-heptachlorepoxide	217	181	-22,5
Heptachlor, 4,5-DCCD	272	237	-22,5
cis- heptachlorepoxide	353 [M-Cl] <sup>+</sup>	265	-25,0
trans/cis-Chlordane	373 [M-Cl] <sup>+</sup>	266 [M-4Cl] <sup>+</sup>	-25,0
<sup>13</sup> C <sub>10</sub> - <i>trans</i> -Chlordane	383 [M-Cl] <sup>+</sup>	276 [M-4Cl] <sup>+</sup>	-26,0
trans/cis-Nonachlor	409 [M-Cl] <sup>+</sup>	$300 [M-4C1]^+$	-25,0
# 5.7 Appendix 2: Average molar mass and CI content of sample PCAs

Table 47 summarises the average molar mass and chlorine content of PCAs present in the biota OS1-OS15 and NS1-NS7 to enable a comparison with future data. Table 48 gives the same survey for sediment samples.

			sPC	As	mPCAs		
Capture location	Sample No.	Species	Average molar mass [g/mol]	Calculated Cl content [%]	Average molar mass [g/mol]	Calculated Cl content [%]	
B11	OS1	Cod	388	60	425	55	
B11	OS6	Cod	413	61	468	58	
B11	OS7	Cod	414	62	450	56	
B11	OS8	Cod	413	62	452	57	
B11	OS9	Cod	422	63	447	56	
B11	OS2	Flounder	420	61	431	56	
B11	OS3	Flounder	412	60	453	58	
B11	OS4	Flounder	412	62	447	57	
B01	OS10	Cod	426	62	456	57	
B01	OS11	Cod	419	62	456	57	
B01	OS12	Cod	413	61	440	55	
B01	OS13	Cod	415	62	447	56	
B01	OS15	Cod	415	62	439	55	
B01	OS5	Dab	382	62	411	53	
B01	OS14	Dab	414	61	454	57	
N01	NS1	Dab	397	59	443	57	
N04	NS2	Dab	392	60	433	56	
N04	NS3	Cod	394	61	445	57	
N06	NS4	Dab	374	61	-	-	
P01	NS5	Dab	394	61	436	56	
N04	NS6	Dab	392	59	426	54	
GB1	NS7	Flounder	405	60	447	56	
sPCA standard for quantification			$398 \pm 7$ *	$60 \pm 1^*$			
mPCA sta	andard for a	quantification			$436 \pm 2^{**}$	$56 \pm 1^{**}$	

**Table 47:** Average molar mass and average chlorine content of PCAs present in fish liver from the North and Baltic Sea and of the reference standards used for quantification according to Tomy *et al.* (1997). ECNI was applied for determination.

n = 7, \*\* n = 4

		sPC	As	mPCAs*		
Sample		calculated molecular weight [g/mol]	calculated chlorine content [%]	calculated molecular weight [g/mol]	calculated chlorine content [%]	
<b>2001</b> <sup>a</sup>						
710	Baltic Sea	379	55	394	49	
715	Baltic Sea	361	53	387	48	
718	Baltic Sea	358	56	381	48	
721	Baltic Sea	391	55	391	49	
2002 <sup>a</sup>						
710	Baltic Sea	358	54	373	47	
721	Baltic Sea	364	55	378	47	
KS	North Sea	348	53	365	46	
11						
2003 <sup>b</sup>						
KS 8	North Sea	345	53	400	50	
KS 11	North Sea	341	53	381	47	
2004 <sup>b</sup>						
710	Baltic Sea	337	53	391	49	
715	Baltic Sea	341	53	384	48	
718	Baltic Sea	338	53	377	46	
721	Baltic Sea	344	53	398	49	
ECKFBU	Baltic Sea	350	53	395	49	
ODER	Baltic Sea	337	53	373	46	
RUDEN	Baltic Sea	342	53	382	47	
KS 8	North Sea	334	53	366	45	
KS 11	North Sea	358	53	392	48	

**Table 48:**  $CH_4/CH_2Cl_2$ -NICI-MS determination of average molar mass and average chlorine content of PCAs present in sediments from the Baltic and North Sea and of the reference standards used for quantification (see Tomy *et al.* (1997)). This procedure required sediments with levels >50 ng/g dw.

\*molecular weight and chlorine content calculated on basis of the  $C_{14-15}Cl_{4-10}$ 

# 5.8 Appendix 3: Overview analysed samples and applied techniques

Table 49 and Table 50 summarises all available information about samples such as sampling site, sampling date, species, gender, pooled individuals and applied measuring techniques for both screening, final quantification as well as determination of formula and congeners group patterns.

**Table 49:** Survey over analysed fish samples (cod: *Gadus morhua*, flounder: *Platychtus flesus*, North Sea dab: *Limanda limanda*) from the North and Baltic Sea. EI-MS/MS was applied for screening and ECNI-MS for quantification of PCAs and chlordanes. Only sample NS1 was analysed by CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-NICI as part of method development.

Capture location	Coordinates	Species	Capture date	Gender	Sample No.	No. of livers	Total PCAs (EI-MS/MS)	s+mPCAs (ECNI-MS)	chlordanes (ECNI-MS)
B11	54°47 N/13°06 E	Cod	31.08.2002	ni	OS1	5	X	X	X
B11	54°51 N/14°01 E	Cod	01.09.2002	ni	OS6	1	Х	Х	
B11	54°51 N/14°01 E	Cod	01.09.2002	ni	OS7	1	Х	Х	
B11	54°51 N/14°01 E	Cod	01.09.2002	ni	OS8	2	Х	Х	Х
B11	54°51 N/14°01 E	Cod	01.09.2002	ni	OS9	1	Х	Х	Х
B11	54°46 N/13°18 E	Flounder	31.08.2002	f	OS2	1	Х	Х	Х
B11	54°44 N/13°10 E	Flounder	31.08.2002	f/m	OS3	2	Х	Х	Х
B11	54°45 N/13°20 E	Flounder	31.08.2002	f/m	OS4	2	Х	Х	Х
B01	54°31 N/10°39 E	Dab	03.09.2002	f	OS5	5	Х	Х	Х
B01	54°31 N/10°39 E	Cod	03.09.2002	ni	OS10	3		Х	Х
B01	54°31 N/10°39 E	Cod	03.09.2002	ni	OS11	2		Х	Х
B01	54°40'N/10°28'E	Cod	31.08.2003	ni	OS12	1		Х	Х
B01	54°40'N/10°28'E	Cod	31.08.2003	ni	OS13	1		Х	Х
B01	54°40'N/10°28'E	Cod	31.08.2003	ni	OS15	1		Х	Х
B01	54°40'N/10°28'E	Dab	31.08.2003	ni	OS14	1		Х	Х
N01	54°15′N/7°29′E	Dab	25.08.2002	f	NS1	5	Х	Х	Х
N04	54°30′N/2°16′E	Dab	26.08.2002	f	NS2	5	Х	Х	Х
N04	54°30′N/2°16′E	Dab	08.09.2003	ni	NS6	5		Х	Х
N04	54°43′N/2°07′E	Cod	26.08.2002	ni	NS3	5	Х	Х	Х
N06	56°18′N/2°04′W	Dab	27.08.2002	f	NS4	5	Х	Х	Х
P01	55°30′N/4°40′E	Dab	29.08.2002	f	NS5	5	Х	Х	Х
GB1	54°07 N/7°46 E	Flounder	30.08.2003	ni	NS7	1		Х	Х

f: female, m: male, ni: not identified

**Table 50:** Survey over analysed biota from the northern North Atlantic and Bear Island. Sampling sites: Cod (*Gadus morhua*) from NW Europe, Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) and seabirds (little auk: *Alle alle*, kittiwake: *Rissa tridactyla*, glaucous gull: *Larus hyperboreus*) from the Arctic Bear Island (Lake Ellasjøen). Information is also given about the applied methods for the determination of PCAs.

Origin	Coordinates	Species	Tissue	Capture	Gender	Size	Weight	Sample	<b>Total PCAs</b>	s+mPCAs
				date		[mm]	[g]	No.	(EI-MS/MS)	(ECNI-MS)
Lofot Islands	68°08'N/13°33'W	Cod	Liver	02.02.04	f	860	8500	A1	Х	Х
Lofot Islands	68°08'N/13°33'W	Cod	Liver	02.02.04	f	830	6500	A4	Х	Х
N Iceland	65°74'N/18°09'W	Cod	Liver	30.09.03	f	490	1019	A2	Х	Х
N Iceland	65°74'N/18°09'W	Cod	Liver	30.09.03	f	410	653	A5	Х	Х
S Iceland	63°28'N/20°15'W	Cod	Liver	06.11.03	ni	530	1490	A3	Х	Х
S Iceland	63°28'N/20°15'W	Cod	Liver	06.11.03	f	514	1275	A6	Х	Х
Bear Island	74°N/19°E	Arctic char	Liver	09.07.01	f	446	831	B1	Х	Х
			Muscle					B3	Х	Х
Bear Island	74°N/19°E	Arctic char	Liver	09.07.01	f	465	850	B2	Х	Х
			Muscle					B4	Х	Х
Bear Island	74°N/19°E	Little auk	Liver	08.07.01	m	121 <sup>a</sup>	173	C1	Х	Х
			Muscle					C3	Х	Х
Bear Island	74°N/19°E	Little auk	Liver	08.07.01	m	122 <sup>a</sup>	169	C2	Х	Х
			Muscle					C4	Х	Х
Bear Island	74°N/19°E	Kittiwake	Liver	08.07.01	m	330 <sup>a</sup>	458	D1	Х	Х
			Muscle					D3	Х	Х
Bear Island	74°N/19°E	Kittiwake	Liver	08.07.01	f	326 <sup>a</sup>	393	D2	Х	Х
			Muscle					D4	Х	Х
Bear Island	74°N/19°E	Glaucous	Liver	07.07.01	m	490 <sup>a</sup>	1921	E1	Х	
			Muscle					E3	Х	
Bear Island	74°N/19°E	Glaucous	Liver	07.07.01	f	439 <sup>a</sup>	1441	E2	Х	
			Muscle					E4	Х	

ni: not identified; f: male; m: male; <sup>a:</sup> length of wing span

# 5.9 Appendix 4: Publications and conference contributions

Most recent publications and presentations are given first:

Reth M., Ciric A., Christensen G.N., Heimstad E.S. and Oehme M. "Short- and mediumchain chlorinated paraffins in biota from the European Arctic - differences in homologue group patterns" *Sci. Total Environ.* **2005**, submitted.

Reth M., Kypke K., Schächtele J. and Oehme M. "Chlorinated paraffins in human milk from Germany analyzed by HRGC-EI-MS/MS" *Organohalogen Compd.* **2005**, 67, 1671-1673.

Reth M., Zencak Z. and Oehme M. "New quantification procedure for the analysis of chlorinated paraffins using electron capture negative ionization mass spectrometry" *J. Chromatogr. A* **2005**, 1081, 225-231.

Zencak Z., Borgen A.R., Reth M. and Oehme M. "Evaluation of four mass spectrometric methods for the analysis of polychlorinated *n*-alkanes" J. *Chromatogr. A.* **2005**, 1067, 295-301.

Reth M., Zencak Z. and Oehme M. "First study of congener group patterns and concentrations of short- and medium-chain chlorinated paraffins in fish from the North and Baltic Sea" *Chemosphere* **2005**, 58, 847-854.

Reth M., Ciric A., Evenset A., Heimstad E.S. and Oehme M. "Chlorinated paraffins in fishes and seabirds from northwest Europe and the Arctic island Bjørnøya" *Organohalogen Compd.* **2005**, 67, 1671-1673.

Hüttig, J. and Oehme, M. "Congener group patterns of short and medium chain chloroparaffins in marine sediments" *Organohalogen Compd.* **2005**, 67, 2041-2043

Hüttig, J. and Oehme, M. "Presence of chlorinated paraffins in sediments from the North and Baltic Sea" *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* **2005**, in press.

Hüttig, J. and Oehme, M. "Multivariate cluster analysis as a versatile tool for the quality assessment of short chain chloroparaffin quantification in environmental samples" *J. Environ. Monit.* **2005**, 7, 319-324.

Ciric, A. "Bestimmung von kurz- und mittelkettigen polychlorierten *n*-Alkanen in arktischen Fisch- und Seevogelproben" (Diploma Thesis) **2004**, University of Basel, Switzerland.

Zencak, Z. and Oehme, M. Chloride "Enhanced Atmospheric Pressure Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometry of Polychlorinated n-Alkanes" *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **2004**, 18, 2235-2240.

Hüttig, J.; Zencak, Z. and Oehme, M. "Levels of Chlorinated Paraffins in North and Baltic Sea Sediments" *Organohalogen Compd.* **2004**, 66, 1344-1349.

Reth, M.; Zencak, Z. and Oehme, M. "A new quantification procedure for the analysis of chlorinated paraffins using electron capture negative ionization mass spectrometry" *Organohalogen Compd.* **2004**, 66, 501-509.

Zencak, Z. and Oehme, M. "Analysis of chlorinated paraffins by chloride enhanced APCI-MS" *Organohalogen Compd.* **2004**, 66, 310-314.

Hüttig, J. and Oehme, M. "Determination of Short- and Medium-Chain Chlorinated Paraffins in North Sea" Conference DETECTA 04, 10-11 June, **2004**, Basel, Switzerland.

Reth, M. and Oehme, M. "Analysis of Short Chain Polychlorinated *n*-Alkanes in Biota" Conference DETECTA 04, 10-11 June, **2004**, Basel, Switzerland.

Zencak, Z. and Oehme, M. "Dichloromethane as NICI reagent gas for the selective detection of polychlorinated *n*-alkanes" Conference DETECTA 04, 10-11 June, **2004**, Basel, Switzerland.

Hüttig, J. and Oehme, M. "Determination of Short- and Medium-Chain Chlorinated Paraffins in North Sea" SETAC Europe 14<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting, 18-22 April, **2004**, Prague, Czech Republic.

Reth, M. and Oehme, M. "Limitations of low resolution mass spectrometry in the electron capture negative ionization mode for the analysis of short- and medium-chain chlorinated paraffins" *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2004**, 378, 1741-1747.

Zencak, Z.; Reth, M. and Oehme, M. "Determination of Total Polychlorinated *n*-Alkane Concentration in Biota by Electron Ionization-MS/MS" *Anal. Chem.* **2004**, 76, 1957-1962.

Hüttig, J. and Oehme, M. "Analysis of Short Chain Polychlorinated Paraffins in North Sea Sediments" Annual meeting of the Swiss Chemical Society, 9 October, **2003**, Lausanne, Switzerland.

Zencak, Z. and Oehme, M. "Quantification of polychlorinated n-Alkanes by ion trap EI-MS/MS" Annual meeting of the Swiss Chemical Society, 9 October, **2003**, Lausanne, Switzerland.

Reth, M. and Oehme, M. "Analysis of Short Chain Polychlorinated *n*-Alkanes in Biota" Annual meeting of the Swiss Chemical Society, 9 October, **2003**, Lausanne, Switzerland.

Zencak, Z.; Reth, M. and Oehme, M. "Dichloromethane-Enhanced Negative Ion Chemical Ionization of Polychlorinated n-Alkanes" Anal. Chem. **2003**, 75, 2487-2492.

Reth, M.; Zencak, Z. and Oehme, M. "Analysis of Short-Chain Polychlorinated n-Alkanes in Fish Samples by HRGC-NICI-LRMS" Organohalogen Compd. **2003**, 60, 444-447.

Zencak, Z. and Oehme, M. "Dichloromethane as NICI reagent gas for the selective detection of polychlorinated n-alkanes" Organohalogen Compd. **2003**, 60, 488-491.

Zencak, Z. and Oehme, M. "Fast ion trap EI-MS/MS detection of polychlorinated n-alkanes in biota samples" Organohalogen Compd. **2003**, 60, 440-443.

Reth, M. and Oehme, M. "Determination of Polychlorinated n-Alkanes in Biota Samples - Challenges and Problems" 3rd Workshop on Analytical Artefacts in Environmental Analysis and Related Areas (Artefacta), 16-17 June, **2003**, Munich, Germany.

Zencak, Z. and Oehme, M. "Dichloromethane as Reagent Gas for the selective Detection of Polychlorinated n-Alkanes by Negative Ion Chemical Ionization" Annual meeting of the Swiss Chemical Society for mass Spectrometry (SGMS), 14-15 November, **2002**, Beatenberg, Switzerland

Reth, M.; Zencak, Z. and Oehme, M. "Determination of Short-Chain Polychlorinated Paraffins in Fish Samples by HRGC-NICI-LRMS", "r+d" Conference, 15-18 October, **2002**, Basel, Switzerland.

Zencak, Z. and Oehme, M. "Dichloromethane Enhanced Negative Ion Chemical Ionization of Polychlorinated n-Alkanes", r+d" Conference, 15-18 October, **2002**, Basel, Switzerland.

Reth, M. "Entwicklung eines Aufarbeitungsverfahrens zur Bestimmung von kurzkettigen polychlorierten *n*-Alkanen in fetthaltigen Proben" (Diploma Thesis) **2002**, University of Basel, Switzerland.

# 6 Project 2: Identification of Organic Compounds in the North and Baltic Seas

Project number: FKZ 200 25 224/02; Period: 3.12.2002 – 31.10.2005 Dr. Norbert Theobald, Dr. Sieglinde Weigelt-Krenz and Dr. Anne-Christina Baaß Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie, Bernhard-Nocht-Str. 78, D-20359 Hamburg

# 6.1 Aim of the Project

The aim of the project "Identification of Organic Pollutants in the North and Baltic Seas" is to identify and quantify toxic organic substances in the marine environment of the North and Baltic Seas for which environmental data are insufficient or not available at all. The selection of compounds is based on the lists of substances identified for priority action under the OSPAR and HELCOM Conventions and the European Commission's Water Framework Directive. While implementing the European Water Framework Directive (EU WFD 2455/2001EU), it had been realised that no information was available on the occurrence of some of the compounds of the 33 priority pollutants listed in Annex X of the EU WFD. In particular, information on environmental concentrations in the coastal and marine waters was lacking. The sub-project carried out by Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie (BSH) was aimed at monitoring the concentrations of the following four compounds of the WFD list:

- Chlorpyrifos (-ethyl and –methyl)
- Endosulfan (I and II)
- Pentachlorophenol
- Trifluralin

In addition,

- Dicofol and
- Trichlorpyridinol

were added to the list of compounds to be surveyed at the beginning of the project; both are not listed by the WFD. Trichlorpyridinol is a potentially stable degradation product of Chlorpyrifos. Dicofol is included in two lists of the OSPAR Convention – the reference list of substances agreed at the  $3^{rd}$  North Sea Conference (e.g. Annex 1D to the Hague Declaration and the list of Potential Endocrine Disruptors – Part B).

In addition, dicofol, endosulfan, PCP and Trifluralin are in the OSPAR list of substances for priority action. For all, "Background documents" have been published by the OSPAR Commission. Endosulfan, PCP and trifluralin are in the list of substances identified as of concern by HELCOM (1992)

In order to obtain reliable monitoring data, analytical methods had to be developed and validated for ultratrace analysis with limits of detection in the low pg/L range for water, and in the ng/kg range for sediment and biota samples.

Because of the intermediate polarity of the target compounds, all three compartments – water, sediment and biota – had to be investigated in order to obtain a comprehensive overview of the occurrence of these pesticides in the marine environment.

To achieve that goal, representative sampling of water and sediment had to be carried out by the BSH in the North Sea and Baltic Sea. Samples were taken during routine monitoring surveys of the Bund/Länder-Messprogramm (BLMP). Biota (fish) sampling was carried out by Dr. M. Haarich during monitoring surveys of the Bundesforschungsanstalt für Fischerei (BfA-Fi).

# 6.2 Survey of Properties of the Target Compounds

#### 6.2.1 Chlorpyrifos-ethyl and –methyl



**Figure 24:** Structures of Chlorpyrifos-ethyl (A), –methyl (B) and their main metabolite 3,5,6-Trichloro-2-pyridinol (TCPy) (C)

**Chlorpyrifos-ethyl** (Figure 24) is one of the most widely used insecticides of about 100 manmade organophosphate insecticides. The substance is marketed under the trade names Dursban and Lorsban (pan-uk, 2005). It is used as a broad-spectrum insecticide for the treatment of grain, cotton and vegetable crops (Extoxnet Information About Chlorpyrifos, 1993). The compound was introduced in 1965 (Hayes, 1990) and is produced mainly by Dow AgroSciences (Indianapolis, USA). European manufacturers are Frunol (Unna, Germany) and Point Enterprises (Switzerland). About 1000 t is used annually in Europe (pan-uk, 2005).

Key physico-chemical properties determining the environmental behaviour of chlorpyrifos-ethyl and –methyl are listed in Table 51. The log  $K_{ow}$  value of 4.7 – 5.3 indicates an affinity of chlorpyrifos-ethyl to accumulate in sediments and tissues of marine organisms.

	Chlorpyrifos-ethyl	Chlorpyrifos-methyl	ТСР
CAS number	2921-88-2	5598-13-0	6515-38-4
Log K <sub>ow</sub>	4.7 (23 °C)-5.27 <sup>a, e</sup>	4.3 <sup>e</sup>	1.8 <sup>d</sup>
Log K <sub>OC</sub> [L/kg]	3.6-4.5 <sup>a, b</sup>		
Solubility in water [mg/L]	2 (25°C) <sup>b</sup>	$4^{\mathrm{f}}$	220 <sup>d</sup>
Vapour pressure [Pa]	1.87x10 <sup>-3</sup> (25°C) <sup>a, b</sup>	4.2x10-5 (25°C) <sup>f</sup>	$2.68 x 10^{-5} (25^{\circ} C)^{d}$
Atmospheric OH rate constant [cm <sup>3</sup> /molecule s]	9.17x10 <sup>-11</sup> (25°C) <sup>c</sup>		
Biodegradation	>month <sup>b</sup>		

 Table 51
 Physico-chemical properties of chlorpyrifos-ethyl and –methyl.

Log Kow: n-octanol-water partition coefficient, log KOC: soil-water partition coefficient Data from: <sup>a</sup>(Extoxnet Information about Chlorpyrifos, 1993), <sup>b</sup>(Barrett et al., 2000), <sup>c</sup>(<u>www.chemindustry.de</u>), <sup>d</sup>(Watson, 2002), <sup>e</sup>(Noble, 1993), <sup>f</sup>(<u>www.inchem.org</u>)

Chlorpyrifos-ethyl exhibits a high acute toxicity for mammals via inhalation or ingestion routes ( $LD_{50}$  value = 135 mg/kg bodyweight rat (Römpp, 1995)). For fish, aquatic invertebrates and other marine organisms, chlorpyrifos is highly toxic: cholinesterase inhibition was observed in acute toxicity tests of fish exposed to very low concentrations. The following publications give an overview of the effects on aquatic organisms: (Extoxnet Information about Chlorpyrifos, 1993), (datasheet on

chlorpyrifos) and (Barrett et al., 2000). An overview of the lowest values of prolonged acute toxicity of chlorpyrifos-ethyl for aquatic organisms is shown in Table 52. Up to now, no data is available on the chronic toxicity of chlorpyrifos to organisms in the marine environment which are exposed to low concentrations for several years.

Aquatic organism	Species	Value/period [d]	Conc. [µg/L]
Algae	Scenedemus subspicatus	NOEC/4	27.5
Crustacean	Mysidopsis bahia	NOEC/35	0.005
Fish	Pimephales promelas	NOEC/30	0.012

Table 52: Survey of lowest value of prolonged toxicity of chlorpyrifos-ethyl to aquatic organisms.

Data from: (Data sheet on chlorpyrifos-ethyl)

Environmental concentrations of chlorpyrifos-ethyl in different environmental samples are shown in Table 53. Concentrations on the order of of 0.4-0.9 ng/L have been found in rain water (TNO rapport, 2002). A detailed estimation of chlorpyrifos-ethyl deposition in surface and ground water is provided in a drinking water assessment by the environmental fate and effects division (Barrett, 1998). A study by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (Johnson et al., 1999) yields data on chlorpyrifos-ethyl concentrations in marine sediments and marine biota (Table 53).

Sample	Sampling location	Sampling date	Concentration [ng/L]/[µg/kg dry wt]	Limit of detection [µg/kg]
Rain water	Netherlands	2000/2001	$0.9/0.4^{a}$	-
Surface water	US	1995	130 <sup>c</sup>	4
Ground water	US	1998	36 <sup>c</sup>	4
Marine sediment	US	1994-1997	0.84-5.68 <sup>d</sup>	0.25
Mussel tissue	US	1994-1997	4.18-52.92 <sup>d</sup>	0.25

Table 53: Overview of chlorpyrifos-ethyl concentrations in water samples, sediments, and biota.

Data from: <sup>a</sup>(TNO rapport 2002), <sup>c</sup>(Barrett, 1998), <sup>d</sup>(Johnson et al., 1999)

The major route of dissipation appears to be a slow aerobic and anaerobic degradation and photolytic degradation in soil to 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol (TCPy)(Figure 24) as the main metabolite (Barrett et al. 2000; Simon, 2001; Yücel et al., 1999; Watson, 2002).

Precautions and restrictions are imposed by EPA in order to reduce potential hazards (Extoxnet Information about Chlorpyrifos, 1993).

**Chlorpyrifos-methyl** has the same skeletal structure as chlorpyrifos-ethyl but the two ethyl groups are replaced by two methyl groups (Figure 24). The compound is used to protect stored grain against insect infestation, which includes weevils, beetles, and moths. It was registered after 1984 and is manufactured and marketed by Dow under the trade name Reldan® (Bangs, 2000). Chlorpyrifos-methyl shows a moderate acute toxicity for mammals (LD<sub>50</sub>-value = 3000 mg/kg bodyweight rat (Römpp, 1995)).

#### 6.2.2 Dicofol



Figure 25: Structures of dicofol (A) and its main metabolite 4,4'-dichlorobenzophenone (B)

**Dicofol** – marketed under the trade name Kelthane® – is a member of the group of organochloride insecticides (Figure 25). There is only a single manufacturer of Dicofol in Europe, based in north-east Spain. The annual total production at this plant is 1500 t. 290 t of this volume is used in Western Europe as an acaricide for the treatment of fruit, vegetables, and crops (Background Document on Dicofol, 2002). Important physico-chemical properties of dicofol are listed in Table 54.

 Table 54: Physico-chemical properties of dicofol.

Parameter	
CAS number	115-32-2
Log Kow	$5.0^{\rm a}, 3.54^{\rm b}$
Solubility in water [mg/L]	1.2 (24°C) <sup>c</sup>
Biodegradation	> months <sup>a</sup>
Vapour pressure [Pa]	$1.6 \times 10^{-6}$ a
Half-life in water	pH 7: 4 d, pH 9: 0.02 d
Half-life in soil	pH 7: 30-35 d
Atmospheric half-life [d]	3.1 d <sup>a</sup>

Data from: <sup>a</sup>(Lerche et al., 2002), <sup>b</sup>(<u>www.inchem.org</u>), <sup>c</sup>(OSPAR Background Document on dicofol)

The high log  $K_{ow}$  value of 3.5 - 5.0 (see Table 54) indicates an affinity of dicofol to accumulate in sediments and biological tissues. The acute oral toxicity of dicofol is 690 mg/kg bodyweight rat (LD<sub>50</sub>-value) (Römpp, 1995). It has been classified by the World Health Organisation as a Class III "slightly hazardous" pesticide (pan-uk, 1999). Within the framework of this study, dicofol and its degradation product 4,4'-dichlorobenzophenone (Figure 25) were screened for teratogenic effects by Kamman (Kammann, 2004) (see Chapter 6.4.6.2): effects were found for dicofol at concentrations of 2-10 mg/L; no effects were observed for dichlorobenzophenone.

Table 55 gives an overview of acute dicofol toxicity to organisms in the marine environment. No data are available on chronic toxicity following exposure to low concentrations for several years, particularly with respect to marine aquatic organisms.

Aquatic organism	Value/period [d]	Conc. [µg/L]
Algae	LC50/acute	73.0
Crustacean	LC50/acute	80.0
Mollusc	LC50/acute	15.0
Fish	LC50/300	4.5

 Table 55: Survey of acute / chronic toxicity of dicofol to aquatic organisms.

Data from: (OSPAR Background document on dicofol)

Research and monitoring studies providing data on dicofol concentrations in water and sediment samples are listed in the Background Document on Dicofol from OSPAR (see Table 56). The document provides information on the accumulation in biota, which is reported to be between 8050 and 25000 (Background Document on Dicofol).

No information is currently available on the distribution of dicofol in the marine environment.

Sample	Sampling location	Sampling date	Concentration [ng/L; µg/kg]	Limit of detection
River water	US	1996	2.5 <sup>a</sup>	-
Sediment	US	1996	23.7 <sup>a</sup>	-
River water	Greece	1996	<0.1 <sup>a</sup>	-
Sediment	Greece	1996	2.2 <sup>a</sup>	-
Drinking water	US	-	>LOD, <loq <sup="">b</loq>	-
Groundwater	US	1982	0.2-1.8 <sup>b</sup>	-

Table 56: Overview of dicofol concentrations in the environment.

Data from: <sup>a</sup>(OSPAR Background document on Dicofol), <sup>b</sup>(Chemical fact sheet <u>www.speclab.com</u>)

In Europe, dicofol is used mainly in Mediterranean countries, e.g. Portugal, Spain, France, Italy, and Greece. In Belgium and the UK, it is registered and used in minor quantities of 200 and 1002 kg/a, respectively. In Germany, Denmark, the Netherlands, Sweden, Norway, Finland, and Switzerland, dicofol is not registered at present.

#### 6.2.3 Endosulfan I and II



**Figure 26:** Structures of endosulfan I or  $\alpha$  (A) and endosulfan II or  $\beta$  (B).

**Endosulfan** (trade names: e. g. Cyclodan and Endosol) is used as a contact insecticide for a wide variety of insects and mites. Endosulfan is a sulphite ester of a chlorinated cyclodienediol (Figure 26). The technical mixture contains two parts of endosulfan I-and one part of endosulfan II isomer. It is used mainly in temperate, subtropic, and tropic climatic zones. In Europe, it has been registered since 1956 (Schadstoffberatung Tübingen, 2001). World-wide production in 1992 was about 5000 to 10000 t. One of the major manufacturers is Bayer CropScience. 469 t of the compound was used in Europe in 1999, mainly in southern Europe (OSPAR Background Document on Endosulfan, 2002; BCMAF, 1999).

	Endosulfan I	Endosulfan II	Technical mixture (2:1)
CAS number	959-98-8	33213-65-9	115-29-7
Log Kow	4.74 (22°C; pH 5) <sup>a</sup>	4.79 (22°C; pH 5) <sup>a</sup>	3.8 <sup>b</sup>
Log K <sub>OC</sub> [L/kg]	3.48-5.30 <sup>a</sup>	See endosulfan I <sup>a</sup>	-
Solubility in water [mg/L]	0.41 <sup>a</sup> , 0.15 (22°C)	0.23 <sup>a</sup> , 0.06 (22°C)	0.33 (20°C)
Biodegradation	-	-	>month <sup>b</sup>
Vapour pressure [Pa]	1.9x10 <sup>-3</sup> (25°C) <sup>a</sup>	9.2x10 <sup>-3</sup> (25°C) <sup>a</sup>	3.6x10-5 <sup>b</sup>
Atmospheric half-life [d]	-	-	1.3 <sup>b</sup>

Table 57: Physico-chemical properties of endosulfan.

Data from: <sup>a</sup>(Background Document on Endosulfan, 2002), <sup>b</sup>(Lerche et al., 2002).

The German Gefahrstoffverordnung classifies endosulfan I and II as "toxic" ( $LD_{50}$  value: 80 mg/kg bodyweight rat (Römpp, 1995)). Endosulfan has a very high acute

toxicity to some aquatic organisms (see Table 58). Nothing is presently known about its chronic toxicity following several years' exposure to low concentrations, particularly with respect to marine aquatic organisms.

Table 58: Survey of acute / chronic toxicity of endosulfan to aquatic organisms.

Aquatic organism	Species	Value/period [d]	Conc. [µg/L]
Algae	Chlorella vulgaris	NOEC/5	10.0 <sup>a</sup>
Crustacean	Mysidopsis bahia	LC50/acute	0.04 <sup>b</sup>
Fish	Oncorhynchus mykiss	NOEC/28	0.001 <sup>a</sup>

Data from: <sup>a</sup> (Datasheet on Endosulfan), <sup>b</sup>(OSPAR Background document on Endosulfan)

The following studies provide an overview of the distribution of endosulfan and/or the long-time water quality trends in Europe and the US: (Serdar and Miller, 2002), (Muschal and Cooper), (WHO, 1994), (Johnson et al., 1999), (Background Document on Endosulfan). Endosulfan has been found in Arctic air and in the Bering Sea, i.e. it is a currently used pesticide which is widely distributed in the atmosphere and sea of the polar environment. Typical concentrations are shown in Table 59. Some samples from regions where endosulfan consumption is high (e. g. South Africa, US) were found to have extremely high endosulfan concentrations, with  $LC_{50}$  values for fish and crustaceans clearly exceeded. No information is presently available on endosulfan concentrations in the marine environment of northern Europe.

Sample	Sampling location	Sampling date	Concentration [ng/L] or [µg/kg dry wt]	Limit of detection [ng/L] or [µg/kg]
Creek water	US	1993	31 (I) <sup>a</sup> 13 (II) <sup>a</sup>	5 (I) <sup>a</sup> 5 (II) <sup>a</sup>
River water	US	1991-1997	$0.002-0.2^{b}$	0.002 <sup>b</sup>
Surface water	Canada	1980	11 <sup>c</sup>	-
Surface water	South Africa	1998	830-3160 <sup>d</sup>	100 <sup>d</sup>
Mussel tissue	US	1994-1997	1.6-7.9 (I) <sup>e</sup>	0.68 (I)
			1.5-6.3 (II) <sup>e</sup>	0.88 (II)
River sediment	US	1977	4780 <sup>c</sup>	-

 Table 59: Overview of endosulfan concentrations in the environment.

Data from: <sup>a</sup>(Serdar and Miller, 2002), <sup>b</sup>(Muschal and Cooper), <sup>c</sup>(WHO, 1994), <sup>d</sup>(Dalvie et al., 2003), <sup>e</sup>(Johnson et al., 1999)

According to the background paper on endosulfan published by the OSPAR Commission (OSPAR, 2002), endosulfan is used mainly in southern Europe (1999: 469.3 t/a), while the countries bordering the North Sea and Baltic Sea consumed only 38.1 t/a in 1999. In most north European countries, use of endosulfan was phased out in the mid-1990s. Only Belgium, France, and Switzerland reported consumption figures for 1999.

#### 6.2.4 Pentachlorophenol



Figure 27: Structure of Pentachlorophenol

Figure 27 shows the structure of pentachlorophenol (PCP). PCP production was banned in Europe in the early eighties. Nevertheless, 100 t of imported PCP was used as an algicide and bactericide in the European Union's timber and textile industry in 1997 (Eurochlor, 1997). Table 60: Physico-chemical properties of PCP.

Parameter	
CAS number	87-86-5
Log Kow	5.1 <sup>a</sup>
Log K <sub>oc</sub> [L/kg]	5.46 <sup>c</sup>
Solubility in water [mg/L]	20 <sup>b</sup>
Biodegradation	months <sup>a</sup>
Vapour pressure [Pa]	7.0x10 <sup>-3</sup> a
Atmospheric half-life [d]	19 <sup>a</sup>

Data from: <sup>a</sup>(Lerche et al. 2002), <sup>b</sup>(Gestis Stoffdatenbank); <sup>c</sup>(Data sheet on PCP)

The acute toxicity of PCP corresponds to the classification "toxic" according to the German Gefahrstoffverordnung ( $LD_{50}$  value =56 mg/kg bodyweight rat [Sigma-Aldrich, 2003)). Table 61 shows the toxicities of PCP to aquatic organisms. No information is available on the chronic toxicity to marine aquatic organisms following exposure to low concentrations for several years.

Table 61: Survey of acute / chronic toxicity of PCP to aquatic organisms.

Aquatic organism	Species	Value/period [d]	conc. [µg/L]
Algae	Selenastrum capricornutum	NOEC/4	5.0
Crustacean	Daphnia magna	NOEC/21	1.8
Fish	Salmo gairdneri	NOEC/28	1.8

Data from: (Data sheet on PCP)

In the past twenty years, several monitoring surveys for PCP have been carried out in the North Sea. Typical concentrations in water and sediment samples are shown in Table 62. All of these studies show considerable contamination by PCP. However, most data are quite old and are not suitable for a current evaluation.

Sample	Sampling location	Sampling date	Concentration [ng/L]/[µg/kg dry wt]	Limit of detection [ng/L]/[µg/kg]
Sea water	North Sea; estuaries	1983-1997	6-100 <sup>a</sup>	-
Sea water	German Bight	1988	$0.1 - 6.4^{d}$	
Marine sediment	North Sea; estuaries	1991-1994	26.5(max. value) <sup>a</sup>	<10
River water	Rhine	1999	30 <sup>b</sup>	-
River sediment	Netherlands	1980	0.2-1.5 <sup>c</sup>	0.1

Table 62: Overview of PCP concentrations in the environment.

Data from: <sup>a</sup>(Eurochlor, 1997); <sup>b</sup>(RIWA, 2002); <sup>C</sup>(Wegman, 1983), <sup>d</sup>(Hühnerfuß et al., 1990)

#### 6.2.5 Trifluralin



Figure 28: Structure of Trifluralin.

**Trifluralin** (Figure 28) is a dinitroaniline derivative which is used as a selective herbicide. It has a low water solubility and a high affinity for soil. The substance is marketed under different trade names (e. g. TREFLAN (Trifluralin 480 g/L)) for presowing treatment of grasses and dicotyledonous weeds (Extoxnet Information on Trifluralin, 1996; Dow AgroScience, 2005). It was first registered in the US in 1963 (Pesticide News, 2001). In the European Union, trifluralin is manufactured at a single production facility (Manerbio, northern Italy). The production volume is about 6000 t per year. and consumption in the European Union is 3200 t (OSPAR Background Document on trifluralin, 2004). Trifluralin is subject to rapid photodegradation in air but is persistent in sediment. Under aerobic as well as anaerobic conditions, several metabolites of trifluralin have been observed, which points to its degradability in the environment.

Parameter	
CAS number	1582-09-8
Log K <sub>OW</sub>	5.3 <sup>a</sup>
Log K <sub>oc</sub> [L/kg]	3.8-4.1 <sup>a</sup>
Solubility in water [mg/L]	0.19 <sup>a</sup>
Biodegradation	months <sup>b</sup>
Vapour pressure [Pa]	9.5x10 <sup>-3</sup> a
Atmospheric half-life [d]	0.22 <sup>a</sup>

 Table 63: Physico-chemical properties of trifluralin.

Data from: <sup>a</sup> (OSPAR Background Document on Trifluralin, 2004); <sup>b</sup>(Lerche et al. 2002)

Acute toxicity for mammals is relatively low (LD<sub>50</sub>-value = 10000 mg/kg bodyweight rat (Römpp, 1995)). In contrast, the acute ecotoxicity effects on maritime unicellular algae are high (Walsh, 1972). NOEC values for different types of aquatic organisms vary on the order of 1-100  $\mu$ g/L (see Table 64). No information is presently available on the chronic toxicity of trifluralin to organisms in the marine environment.

 Table 64: Survey of acute / chronic toxicity of trifluralin to aquatic organisms.

Aquatic organism	Species	Value/period [d]	Conc. [µg/L]
algae	Chlorococcum sp.	EC50/-	2.5 <sup>b</sup>
crustacean	Daphnia magna	NOEC/64	2.4 <sup>a</sup>
fish	Pimephales promelas	NOEC/35 spinal cord deformation	0.3 <sup>a</sup>

Data from: <sup>a</sup>(Data sheet on Trifluralin); <sup>b</sup>(Walsh, 1972)

Unlike data on trifluralin concentrations in surface, ground and drinking water, information about levels in sea water is scarce. Analyses of samples from the North and Baltic Seas in 1997-1999 showed trifluralin concentrations of <0.02-0.05 ng/L (North Sea) and <0.02-0.06 ng/L (Baltic Sea) (Meeresumweltdatenbank (MUDAB)). The limit of quantification was 0.02 ng/L. The distribution of trifluralin is relatively uniform, with samples from the open sea occasionally having slightly higher concentrations than those from the coastal waters. In contrast to the low concentrations found in the North and Baltic Seas, concentrations in the North Pacific Ocean were 100 times higher. In 1993, Chernyak found a trifluralin concentration of 1.15 ng/L in that area (limit of

quantification: 0.01 ng/L) (Chernyak et al., 1996). Although trifluralin is subjected to rapid atmospheric and photochemical degradation, the authors attributed the observed trifluralin concentrations to long-range atmospheric transport. No data on trifluralin concentrations in sediments from the North and Baltic Seas are available.

Trifluralin is a licensed product, which is used as a herbicide in most European countries. Approximately 3200 t is used annually in the EU, the most important users being France (1600 t/a) and the UK (657 t/a) (OSPAR background document, 2004). In 1995, 100 to 200 t of the product was used in Germany.

# 6.3 Applied Methodology

#### 6.3.1 State of the art of analysis

As the target compounds have been commercially available for a long time, several analytical methods for their determination are described in literature. Most methods are based on GC procedures with different detectors, such as ECD, NPD and MS. MS has been used both with electron impact ionisation (EI) and negative chemical ionisation (NCI). A selected list of relevant recent publications has been compiled in Table 65.

Compound	Detection method	Matrix	LOD	Source
Chlorpyrifos	MS (EI)	sediment	1 µg/kg dw	(Yim et al., 2002)
	MS (NCI)	water	1-10 µg/L	(Liapis et al., 2000)
Dicofol	MS (NCI)	water	1-10 µg/L	(Liapis et al., 2000)
Endosulfan I	ECD	water	0.0185 µg/L	(Lipidoki, 2003)
and II	MS (NCI)	water	1-10 µg/L	(Liapis et al., 2000)
PCP	ECD	sediment	0.1 µg/kg	(Wegman et al., 1983)
Trifluralin	ECD	sediment	1 μg/kg	(Lee et al., 1983)
	MS (NCI)	water	1-10 µg/L	(Liapis et al., 2000)

Table 65: Overview of detection methods for the target compounds

Liapis (Liapis et al., 2000) described the simultaneous determination of chlorpyrifosethyl and –methyl, dicofol, endosulfan I and II, and trifluralin in water samples after solid phase extraction (SPE). The detection was done by GC-MS in EI and NCI mode. This procedure was considered a suitable basis for the method used in the present study.

Although the analysis of dicofol by GC techniques has been described in several publications, there are indications that this may be problematic.

PCP and TCPy are too polar for a direct and sensitive GC analysis. Because of the high polarity of the PCP hydroxyl group, gaschromatographic separation of the underivatized substance is problematic (strong tailing; losses by adsorption in the injector), rendering a reproducible interpretation of the peaks, and thus quantification, impossible. Therefore, PCPy is generally analysed after derivatisation. However, this makes the analyses labour-intensive and more susceptible to errors. Alternatively, methods using HPLC techniques which do not require a derivatisation step generally have a lower sensitivity and selectivity. Both approaches were tested in this survey.

#### 6.3.2 Method development

The limits of detection in published methods generally were much too high for this study. Considering the low concentrations at which organic pollutants are present in marine matrices, the planned limits of quantification (LOQs) were 30 pg/L for water, 50 ng/kg dw for sediment, and 0.5  $\mu$ g/kg ww for biota samples (project proposal). In the course of the project, these targets were lowered further because observed concentrations in the North Sea and Baltic Sea very often were below these limits.

Chlorpyrifos (-ethyl, -methyl), endosulfan (I, II), and trifluralin were analysed by a commonly used procedure, as planned.

Dicofol proved to be fairly unstable under various conditions and, therefore, had to be treated and analysed separately.

Because of the common phenolic substructure of PCP and TCPy, the methodology for PCP and TCPy was developed in a common procedure but separate from the other substances.

The extraction principles were similar for all compounds. While solid phase extraction (SPE) with a polymer resin was used for water samples, microwave assisted extraction (MAE) was used for sediment and biota samples (6.3.2.1 and 6.6.3 to 6.6.5).

#### 6.3.2.1 Methods for Chlorpyrifos, Endosulfan and Trifluralin

#### **GC-MS** analysis

A common GC-MS analysis method is used for all three matrices (water, sediment and biota). Experimental details are provided in the appendix under 6.2.

GC analysis using a standard non-polar capillary column was unproblematic and yielded baseline separation of all compounds. MS analysis was performed both in EI and NCI mode. The parameters for GC and MS are summarised in Table 82 (chapter 6.6.2).

Deuterated analogues of trifluralin, chlorpyrifos and endosulfan I were used as internal standards for quantification.

As has been demonstrated in the chapter on validation (6.3.3), the NCI method was more sensitive with all compounds, and was consequently used to analyse all samples. Apart from the inherently high sensitivity of the NCI method for pure standard solutions, it was found to have additional advantages in real samples with matrix underground. Due to its high selectivity, all MS traces showed very clean baselines with little chemical noise. Examples of this are shown in Figure 29.



**Figure 29:** Typical GC-NCI-MS traces of trifluralin, chlorpyrifos-ethyl, and endosulfan I for standard solutions and real samples (Retention times may differ because analysis occurred over a long time period)

#### Analytical procedure for water samples

The principles of the analytical procedure for sea water samples are summarised in Figure 30; experimental details are described in the appendix (6.6.3).



Figure 30: Overall scheme for the analysis of sea water for chlorpyrifos, endosulfan, and trifluralin

As shown under "Validation" (6.3.3), the procedure yields reliable results and a sensitivity which is better than required in the project plan. Owing to the clean base line of the chromatograms, sensitivity can be further increased by injecting larger volumes into the GC-MS. This was demonstrated for water extracts by increasing the injection volume from 2  $\mu$ l to 10  $\mu$ l, which yielded an increase in sensitivity by a factor of about 4.

### Analytical procedure for sediment samples

The optimised method for the analysis of sediment samples consists of microwave assisted extraction (MAE) and a silica gel clean-up as described in Appendix 6.6.4. The scheme in Figure 31 summarises the procedure.



Figure 31: Overall scheme for the analysis of sediments for chlorpyrifos, endosulfan, and trifluralin

#### Analytical procedure for biota samples

After optimisation of the method, sample preparation was carried out via MAE, gel permeation chromatography (GPC), and neutral aluminium oxide clean-up. The procedure is summarised in Figure 32, experimental details are presented in Appendix 6.6.5.



Figure 32: Overall scheme for the analysis of biota samples for chlorpyrifos, endosulfan, and trifluralin

#### 6.3.2.2 Methods for Dicofol

As dicofol was found to be thermally unstable during gaschromatographic separation, the compound had to be injected "on column" at low temperature. Mass spectrometric detection of dicofol was carried out in EI mode. An HPLC-MS/MS method proved to be unsuitable for dicofol because the yield of ions was too low in the ESI mode. Experimental details are presented in Appendix 6.7.

#### 6.3.2.3 Methods for Pentachlorophenol and Trichloropyridinol

PCP and TCPy are susceptible to adsorption in the GC system because of their polar phenolic substructure. Direct GC analysis was only possible at high concentrations (>1ng). Therefore, two alternative approaches were applied during the study.

First, an HPLC-MS method was developed in order to overcome thermal stress during the analysis. This was successful in principle. A reversed phase HPLC gradient separation yielded well-defined, non-tailing peaks both for PCP and TCPy, and ESI MS showed M-1 ions in the negative ionisation mode with a good ion yield (Figure 52, Appendix 6.6.6.1). Unfortunately, it was not possible to fragment the pseudo-molecular ion; the high selectivity of MS-MS thus could not be used, but only the less selective single-stage MS in SIM mode. Consequently, this method was applicable only to water samples, where matrix disturbances are much less pronounced than in sediment or biota samples. But even with water samples only a moderate sensitivity could be obtained.

The second approach used a derivatisation step with heptafluorobutyric acid anhydrate (HFBAA) to form the HFBA esters and a GC-MS analysis in the NCI mode. This technique was used for sediments and biota. Only PCP could be derivatised and analysed by this method.

#### HPLC-MS method for water samples

The principles of the analytical procedure for sea water samples are summarised in Figure 33; experimental details are provided in the Annex (6.6.6).



Figure 33: Overall scheme for the analysis of sea water for PCP and TCPy

# 6.3.3 Method validation and quality control

### 6.3.3.1 Linearity

Figure 34 shows the calibration curves for the GC-NCI-MS analysis of chlorpyrifos, endosulfan, and trifluralin. They all show good linearity in the low concentration range, which applies to nearly all marine samples of this study.





Figure 34: Calibration curves of chlorpyrifos, endosulfan, and trifluralin

#### 6.3.3.2 Blank values

#### Water samples

Chlorpyrifos-ethyl and –methyl, trifluralin, and endosulfan II showed no blank values above the limits of detection (LOD). In the internal standard (ISTD)  $D_4$ -endosulfan I traces of non-deuterated endosulfan I were found. Thus a blank value is caused in high concentrated solutions of ISTD (e. g. 4 ng/L). In lower concentrated ISTD solutions, (e. g. 0.4 ng/L) the amount of endosulfan I is below the limit of detection whereby the blank value is deleted.

	Trifluralin	Chlorpyrifos- methyl	Chlorpyrifos- ethyl	Endosulfan I	Endosulfan II
SPE system <sup>a</sup>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<></td></lod<>	<loq< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></loq<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Sea water <sup>b</sup>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Internal Standard <sup>a</sup> (0.4 ng/L water)	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>

Table 66: Blank values in water samples

<sup>a</sup>: Average of 4 measurements; <sup>b</sup>: Average of 2 measurements

#### Sediment samples

No problems were encountered with blank values in sediment samples.

	Trifluralin	Chlorpyrifos- methyl	Chlorpyrifos- ethyl	Endosulfan I	Endosulfan II
Blank value of the system <sup>a</sup>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Silica sand <sup>b</sup>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Internal Standard <sup>b</sup> (0.1 µg/kg dw)	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>

Table 67: Blank values in sediment samples

<sup>a</sup>: Average of 2 measurements containing blank values from solvents, rotary evaporator, silica gel column, filtration unit; <sup>b</sup>: Average of 3 measurements

#### **Biota samples**

No blank problems were observed with biota samples and the work-up procedure.

Table 68: Blank values in biota samples

	Trifluralin	Chlorpyrifos -methyl	Chlorpyrifos -ethyl	Endosulfan I	Endosulfan II
Blank value of the system <sup>a</sup>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Internal Standard <sup>b</sup> (1.3 µg/kg dw Fish liver matrix)	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>

<sup>a</sup>: Average of 2 measurements containing blank values from solvents, rotary evaporator, columns (GPC, aluminium oxide, silica gel), filtration unit; <sup>b</sup>: Average of 3 measurements

#### 6.3.3.3 Recoveries

#### Water samples

Table 69 shows the relative recoveries of the analytes (average 5 spike experiments with a concentration of 4 ng/L) in sea water samples. At a range of 72 to 120 %, they may be considered good.

Table 69: Relative recoveries of the analytes in sea water samples

Analyte	Recovery [%]
Chlorpyrifos-ethyl	85
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	90
Endosulfan I	85
Endosulfan II	90
Trifluralin	72
Dicofol	
PCP	105
ТСРу	120

In Table 70. the absolute recoveries of the analytes during sample work-up are summarised for the sea water analysis. As expected, no significant losses are observed.

Analyte	Recovery [%]
Chlorpyrifos-ethyl	94
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	109
Endosulfan I	88
Endosulfan II	99
Trifluralin	81

**Table 70:** Absolute recoveries of the analytes; addition of ISTD after extraction and elution [%] in sea water samples

#### **Sediment samples**

Relative and absolute recoveries of the analytes in sediment samples are shown in Table 71 and Table 72, respectively. Both relative and absolute recoveries are sufficiently high. The standard deviations are satisfactory.

**Table 71:** Relative recoveries of the analytes (spike experiments with a concentration of 0.2  $\mu$ g/kg (one experiment) and 4  $\mu$ g/kg (average of 5 experiments) in sediment samples

Analyte	Recovery [%] 0.2 µg/kg	Recovery [%] (M±STD) 4 µg/kg
Chlorpyrifos-ethyl	118	85±12
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	63	86±12
Endosulfan I	82	93±3
Endosulfan II	111	87±11
Trifluralin	87	94±9

**Table 72:** Absolute recoveries [%] of the ISTD referred to  $D_6$ -Chlorpyrifos-methyl as recovery standard (7 experiments; concentration of ISTD 0.2  $\mu$ g/kg) in sediment samples; addition of the recovery standard (0.004 ng/ $\mu$ L) after extraction and elution

Analyte	Recovery [%] (M±STD)
D <sub>10</sub> -Chlorpyrifos-ethyl	74±11
D <sub>4</sub> -Endosulfan I	80±11
D <sub>14</sub> -Trifluralin	74±10

#### **Biota samples**

Relative and absolute recoveries of the analytes in fish liver show a wider range and larger variation (see Table 73 and Table 74) than the two other matrices. This is caused by interfering effects of matrix during the analysis and problems observed with the GPC clean-up column. The absolute recovery of  $D_{14}$ -Trifluralin is low because separation from the lipids via GPC is difficult and, therefore, a large amount of trifluralin is lost during separation. Quantification nevertheless is reliable because the relative recovery of trifluralin – referred to  $D_{14}$ -Trifluralin – is good (Table 73), and both have a chemically identical behaviour.

Analyte	<i>Lima lim</i> spike: 3.2 µg/kg lipid	<i>Lima lim</i> spike: 211 µg/kg lipid	<i>Gadu mor</i> spike: 44 µg/kg lipid	<i>Gadu mor</i> spike: 3 μg/kg lipid	<i>Plat fle</i> spike: 0.8 μg/kg lipid
Chlorpyrifos- ethyl	143	105	109	117	132
Chlorpyrifos- methyl	87	114	80	68	80
Endosulfan I	105	90	61	111	104
Endosulfan II	147	(500)	57	145	127
Trifluralin	129	106	111	110	100

Table 73: Relative recoveries [%]	of the analytes in biota samples *
-----------------------------------	------------------------------------

\* Lima lim: Limanda limanda, dab; Gadu mor: Gadua morhua, cod Plat fle:Platichtys flesus, flounder

**Table 74:** Absolute recoveries [%] of the ISTD referred to  $D_6$ -Chlorpyrifos-methyl as recovery standard in biota samples; addition of the recovery standard (0.004 ng/µL) after extraction and clean-up.

Analyte	Recovery [%] Lima lim (2 experiments) (M±STD)	Recovery [%] Gadu mor (one experiment)	Recovery [%] <i>Plat fle</i> (one experiment)
D <sub>10</sub> -Chlorpyrifos-ethyl	79±3	58	20
D <sub>4</sub> -Endosulfan I	65±5	68	27
D <sub>14</sub> -Trifluralin	20±22	60	54

#### 6.3.3.4 Limits of quantification

Table 75 to Table 77 show the limits of quantification (LOQ) of the analytes in the different matrices. LOQ are calculated for a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of 9; the limits of detection (LOD) are calculated for an S/N ratio of 3.

Analyte	Limit of Quantification [pg/L]
Chlorpyrifos-ethyl	10
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	10
Endosulfan I	20
Endosulfan II	20
Trifluralin	7
Dicofol	1000
PCP	200
ТСРу	400

Table 75: Limits of quantification of the analytes [pg/L] in sea water samples

As shown in Table 76, the LOQs for sediments were improved during the project by increasing the amount of sediment sample and increasing the polarity of the extraction solvent. In this way, different samples may have partly different LOQs.

Table 76: Comparison of LOQs of the analytes [ng/g dw] in sediment samples for different sample
amounts (20 and 40 g of sediment) and different solvents (Solvent: A: acetone/hexane 50/50; B: acetone/
hexane 75/25, MAE: 10 min, 100°C, 700 W)

Analyte	LOQ (20 g sediment) [ng/g] A <sup>a</sup>	LOQ (40 g sediment) [ng/g] A <sup>b</sup>	LOQ (40 g sediment) [ng/g] B <sup>c</sup>
Trifluralin	0.005	0.003	0.0006
Chlorpyrifos- methyl	0.034	0.025	0.023
Chlorpyrifos- ethyl	0.017	0.009	0.007
Endosulfan I	0.024	0.010	0.011
Endosulfan II	0.061	0.030	0.014

<sup>a</sup>GA371, GA387, GA402 and GA405; <sup>b</sup>GA419; <sup>c</sup>GA421.

The LOQs for biota vary depending on the particular fish species (Table 77) and are due primarily to different amounts of lipid and matrix background levels in the three fish species studied.
Analyte	LOQ [µg/kg lw] Lima limanda	LOQ [µg/kg lw] Gadua morhua	LOQ [µg/kg lw] Platichtys flesus
Chlorpyrifos-ethyl	0.6	3.4	0.6
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	3.6	3.0	0.6
Endosulfan I	0.4	4.8	2.1
Endosulfan II	1.1	6.9	1.1
Trifluralin	0.1	2.1	0.6

**Table 77:** Limits of quantification (LOQ=threefold LOD) /detection (LOD=threefold peak-to-peak noise) of the analytes  $[\mu g/kg \text{ lipid}]$  in fish liver samples.

## 6.3.3.5 Laboratory Performance Study

In 2004, the PCP method involving HPLC-MS analysis was checked through participation in a QUASIMEME laboratory performance study for PCP in sea water. The result showed excellent agreement with the assigned value (Z score: -0.42), which underlines the accuracy of the method developed.

# 6.4 Results and Discussion

## 6.4.1 Chlorpyrifos

The analytical method that has been developed and validated (6.3.3) is characterised by its high sensitivity, a prerequisite to the determination of pollutants in marine matrices. Table 78 summarises the LODs and LOQs achieved in the different matrices. The values obtained are on the order of the concentrations of classical pollutants like HCH, PCBs, DDT-metabolites or PAH which are presently observed in the open marine environment.

**Table 78:** Limits of detection (LOD) at a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of 3:1 and limits of quantification (LOQ, S/N 9:1) for Chlorpyrifos

	LOD	LOQ	Sample amount
Water			
Chlorpyrifos-ethyl	3 pg/L	10 pg/L	10 L
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	3 pg/L	10 pg/L	10 L

	LOD	LOO	Sample amount
Sediment			<b>F</b>
Chlorpyrifos-ethyl	3 ng/kg	7 ng/kg	40 g
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	8 ng/kg	25 ng/kg	40 g
Biota			
Chlorpyrifos-ethyl	0.2 µg/kg	0.6 µg/kg	3 g
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	1.2 µg/kg	3.6 µg/kg	3 g

## 6.4.1.1 Water

Chlorpyrifos was determined in sea water samples of the North Sea and Baltic Sea which had been collected during 7 cruises in 2003 to 2005 (Table 85 and Table 86, Appendix 6.6.8)

Chlorpyrifos-methyl was below the LOD in nearly all samples.

Chlorpyrifos-ethyl was found in most samples at concentrations of < 10 to 110 pg/L with a median of 26 pg/L. More than 95 % of the values were above the LOD. The geographical distribution of concentrations is shown in Figure 35 and Figure 36.

In the German Bight, clear and steady gradients were not found in all surveys. For example, a gradient extending from the coasts to the open sea was observed in May 2003, whereas the distribution in May and July 2004 was found to be quite uniform. Although slightly higher concentrations occurred in the river Elbe at Stade (85 to 180 pg/L), indicating an input of chlorpyrifos-ethyl to the German Bight, this had no major effect on the distribution pattern in the marine waters. Other sources will have to be considered as an explanation for the "background level" of 10 to 30 pg/L in the southern North Sea and the higher levels found sporadically at the western border of the German Bight. The cause may be either atmospheric deposition or inputs from the river Rhine/Schelde or the UK.



**Figure 35:** Chlorpyrifos concentrations [pg/L] in surface water (5 m) in the North Sea (July 2004) and Baltic Sea (June 2004)

The findings of the survey in July 2003, which covered the entire North Sea, are in line with those of the German Bight survey. Concentrations in the southern North Sea were significantly higher than in the northern part.

Concentrations in the western Baltic Sea were similar to those in the German Bight (Figure 35). The values at most stations ranged from 19 to 33 pg/L. However, concentrations of 78 and 102 pg/L were found in the Flensburg Fjord. These elevated levels are attributable to the slow water exchange in this bight and intensive agricultural activities in the area.

In 2004, some samples were taken in the Greenland Sea – along the  $75^{\circ}$  latitude – in order to investigate possible long-range transports and determine background concentrations. However, no chlorpyrifos above the LOD was found in these samples.



No seasonal influence on chlorpyrifos levels was observed in the investigations. During two cruises in February 2004 and January 2005, median concentrations of 39 and 13 pg/L, respectively, were found.

## 6.4.1.2 Sediment

Chlorpyrifos was determined in 30 surface sediment samples from the North Sea and Baltic Sea which had been collected during 6 cruises in 2003 to 2005 (Table 87,

Appendix 6.6.8) Concentrations of chlorpyrifos-methyl were below the LOD in all sediment samples from the German Bight and western Baltic Sea.

Chlorpyrifos-ethyl was found at concentrations from < 10 to 33 ng/kg dw with a median of 9 ng/kg dw. About 43 % of the determinations were < LOD. This rendered the interpretation of data more difficult.

The geographical distribution of the insecticide in the North Sea and Baltic Sea is shown in Figure 37. In the German Bight, the substance was determined only at stations characterised by higher silt levels and TOC values above 3 mg/g. Remarkably, values as low as 5 to 14 ng/kg dw were even found at KS 11, the station which normally has the highest contamination level. The limited amount of data does not, however, allow a statistically valid interpretation of the geographical distribution in terms of spatial gradients or input structures.

Concentrations in the western Baltic Sea were even lower than in the German Bight.



Figure 37 : Chlorpyrifos-ethyl concentrations [ng/kg] in surface sediments (0-2 cm)

The estimated "enrichment" of chlorpyrifos-ethyl in sediment as compared to the water phase is less than 1000. This means that sediment is not a major sink for this compound and will not be a good monitoring matrix. Concentrations in sediment are low in comparison with classical pollutants. At KS 11, for example, HCH isomers ranged from 0.01 to 0.2  $\mu$ g/kg. The more lipophilic DDD and CB153 ranged from 1 to 5  $\mu$ g/kg dw. PAHs like, e.g., BaP showed sediment concentrations of 40 to 240  $\mu$ g/kg dw.

## 6.4.1.3 Biota

25 liver samples of three different fish species (dab (*Limanda limanda*), cod (*Gadua morhua*) and flounder (*Platichtys flesus*)) were examined, which had been collected during two cruises in the North Sea and western Baltic Sea in 2001 and 2002. (Table 88, Appendix 6.6.8)

Chlorpyrifos-methyl was below the LOD in most samples.

Chlorpyrifos-ethyl in most samples was found at concentrations from 0.1 to 3.4  $\mu$ g/kg wet weight (0.3 to 13  $\mu$ g/kg lipid weight), with a median of 0.6  $\mu$ g/kg ww (2.77  $\mu$ g/kg lw). The geographical distribution of the samples and their concentrations are shown in Figure 38. Variability of the data may be considered normal. Because of the limited data set presently available it is not possible to evaluate the results under the aspect of regional patterns, species differences, or time variability. Therefore, it is not possible presently to comment on the significance of the higher values found in the western Baltic Sea.

The estimated "enrichment" of chlorpyrifos-ethyl in biota compared to the water phase is approximately  $10^4$  to  $10^5$ .

The chlorpyrifos values observed are on the same order as classical pollutants like HCH and HCB; they are, however, below the levels of the very lipophilic DDT and PCB group. In 2000, typical HCH concentrations in the German Bight ranged from 0.2 to 0.6  $\mu$ g/kg ww; HCB had a median of 0.84  $\mu$ g/kg ww, and the sum of DDTs had a median of 3.7  $\mu$ g/kg ww (BSH, 2005).



Figure 38: Concentrations of chlorpyrifos-ethyl in fish liver; upper fig. in  $\mu g/kg$  wet weight, lower fig. in  $\mu g/kg$  lipid

## 6.4.1.4 Discussion

This is the first time that chlorpyrifos has been detected in the marine environment, including concentrations above the LOQ. Although samples from the marine environment of the North Sea and Baltic Sea contained no chlorpyrifos-methyl, the homologue ester chlorpyrifos-ethyl was identified in most water and biota samples from those areas. Positive findings were less frequent in sediment samples. The concentrations found in water and sediments were very low, with a median of 26 pg/L in water samples, and 9 ng/kg dw in sediments. Considerable bioaccumulation was observed in fish liver. The concentrations found in the different matrices are shown in Figure 39.



Figure 39: Summary of chlorpyrifos-ethyl concentrations in different marine matrices; min – max range and median values

Based on these data, the enrichment from water to sediment is calculated at about 350, that from water to biota (fish liver) at about 14000 (wet weight) and 62000 (lipid weight). The relatively low enrichment is in accordance with the moderate log  $K_{OW}$  value of 3.6 - 4.5.

The distribution pattern and low concentrations observed in water from the North Sea and Baltic Sea is best explained by a general low-level background burden with a few minor local sources. Concentrations in the river Elbe – generally the most important source of pollutant input to the German Bight - are relatively low, and thus also the influence on chlorpyrifos contamination is low.

A comparison of these findings with literature data is difficult because LOD in most studies are much higher (>ng/L) than in this survey, and chlorpyrifos is mostly reported to be below the LOD.

It was found in several studies (e.g. Dabrowski et al., 2002) that concentrations of chlorpyrifos in the lower ng/L range for water and low  $\mu$ g/kg range for sediments occurred in river runoff following application of the pesticide. These studies were carried out mainly in areas with intensive use of the insecticide – in the US, China, and southern Europe.

Atmospheric transport and deposition is well documented. Apart from atmospheric transport after spraying applications, volatilisation and escape to the atmosphere has been suggested (Nhan et al., 2002). Strong evidence for the importance of atmospheric long-range transport is provided by Gabarino et al. (2002), who observed high concentrations of chlorpyrifos (70 – 80 ng/L) in arctic snow in Alaska.

The fate of chlorpyrifos in the marine environment was investigated by Nhan et al. (2002) and Kale et al. (1999) in <sup>14</sup>C-chlorpyrifos experiments in microcosms. Considerable degradation was observed in the marine environment, with TCPy the main degradation product. Although rapid sorption onto sediment was observed, only 1-2 % of the initial amount of <sup>14</sup>C-chlorpyrifos was detected in sediment by the end of the experiment. Accumulation in fauna and flora reached maxima of 5.8 and 2.2 %, respectively. The balance of radioactivity suggests that the main loss of the insecticide from the system occurred through volatilisation and escape to the air. The persistence half-life in the microcosms was calculated at 5 days. Similar half-lifes of 3.5 and 20 days in pond water are reported by Racke (1992).

Sorption to sediments did not lead to significant enrichment in the sediments investigated. Therefore, sediments should not be the primary monitoring matrix. This is

somewhat contradictory to the well-described high affinity of chlorpyrifos to soil (Wauchope et al., 1992, Dabrowski et al., 2002, Racke, 1992).

Concentrations in sea water were found to be well below the levels of acute toxicity reported for aquatic organisms, which range from 3 to 806  $\mu$ g/L (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1986). However, Owen et al. (2002) reported inhibition of hemolymph acetylcholinesterase activity in a tropical scallop with chlorpyrifos levels as low as 0.1 to 10 ng/L, which is closer to the observed concentrations.

Compared to the EQS value of 30 ng/L, as proposed for evaluating inland and transitional waters for the WFD, the observed sea water concentrations for chlorpyrifos are quite low.

Considering the short half-life and volatility of the substance and the low levels of local sources, it is remarkable that chlorpyrifos has been detected in the marine environment of the North and Baltic Seas at all. In water, concentrations of chlorpyrifos-ethyl are higher than those of the classical lipophilic pollutants such as HCB, DDT, PCB or PAHs, but below HCH concentrations. In sediments and biota, concentrations are below the levels of classical pollutants.

Unfortunately, it has not been possible to obtain detailed production or consumption data for Germany or Europe. An evaluation of the findings regarding impacts on the marine environment is difficult as long as figures on chlorpyrifos consumption in the states bordering the North Sea and Baltic Sea are not available for comparison with substance levels found in the sea. According to pan-uk (2005), in Europe about 1000 t is used annually. Thus, chlorpyrifos does not play the same important role in Europe as it does in the US, where an estimated 10000 t is used annually (EPA at www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/op/chlorpyrifos/summary.htm).

As a consequence of the positive findings, the BSH will determine chlorpyrifos on a voluntary basis during the next two years in order to support the results of this study. However, because of the low absolute concentrations it is not considered necessary to include chlorpyrifos as a routine parameter in the standard monitoring program.

# 6.4.2 Trichloropyridinol (TCPy)

#### 6.4.2.1 Water

The analytical method which has been developed for the determination of trichloropyridinol is characterised by a moderate sensitivity, making it suitable for first screening in sea water. An LOD of 0.1 ng/L and LOQ is 0.4 ng/L has been achieved. A full validation of the method was not performed because no positive findings were obtained with the screened samples.

Trichlorpyridinol was analysed in sea water samples from the North Sea which were taken during 3 cruises in 2003 to 2004. TCPy was below 0.1 ng/L (LOD) in all samples.

### 6.4.2.2 Discussion

TCPy is the principal metabolite of chlorpyrifos, but it also is a metabolite of the herbicide triclopyr (Petty et al., 2001).

The half-lives of TCPy in water range from 4 to 8.8 days, in sediments from 3.8 to 13.3 days (Petty et al., 2001). According to a US EPA review (1989), it adsorbs weakly to soil particles and appears to be moderately mobile and persistent in soils.

Considering the low concentrations of chlorpyrifos observed and the moderate environmental persistence reported for TCPy, it is no surprise that no TCPy was found in the sea water samples analysed. In view of these findings, it was decided that no project priority should be given to a further improvement of the method and lowering of the LOQ to detect ultra-trace levels of TCPy or to the development of a sensitive analytical procedure for sediment and biota samples.

## 6.4.3 Endosulfan

The analytical method which has been developed and validated (3.3) is characterised by its high sensitivity, which is necessary for the determination of pollutants in marine matrices. Table 79 summarises the LODs and LOQs achieved in the different matrices.

	LOD	100	
	LOD	LOQ	Sample amount
Water			
Endosulfan I	7 pg/L	20 pg/L	10 L
Endosulfan II	7 pg/L	20 pg/L	10 L
Sediment			
Endosulfan I	4 ng/kg	11 ng/kg	40 g
Endosulfan II	4 ng/kg	14 ng/kg	40 g
Biota			
Endosulfan I	0.1	0.4 µg/kg	3 g
Endosulfan II	0.4	1.1 µg/kg	3 g

**Table 79:** Limits of detection (LOD) at a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of 3:1 and limits of quantification (LOQ, S/N 9:1) for endosulfan

The values obtained are comparable to those of classical pollutants like HCH, PCBs, DDT-metabolites or PAH, which are presently observed in the open marine environment.

## 6.4.3.1 Water

Endosulfan was determined in sea water samples from the North Sea and Baltic Sea which had been collected during 7 cruises in 2003 to 2005 (Table 89 to Table 91, Appendix 6.6.8).

Endosulfan II was below the LOQ in nearly all samples. Endosulfan I was found in most samples at concentrations of < 7 to 50 pg/L with a median of 25 pg/L. About one third of the data was below the LOQ. The geographical distribution of the concentrations is shown in Figure 40 and Figure 41.

In the German Bight, concentrations were within a fairly narrow range of <10 to 50 pg/L; no clear gradients were observed in any of the surveys. Although slightly higher concentrations were found occasionally in the river Elbe at Stade (May 2004: 50 pg/L), indicating endosulfan input to the German Bight via this river, this had no appreciable influence on endosulfan distribution in the marine waters. Other sources must be considered to explain the "background level" of 10 to 20 pg/L in the southern North Sea



and the German Bight. This may be either atmospheric deposition or inputs from the rivers Rhine/Schelde or the UK.

**Figure 40:** Endosulfan concentrations [pg/L] in surface water (5 m) in the North Sea (July 2004) and Baltic Sea (June 2004)

In the western Baltic Sea, concentrations were in a similar range as in the German Bight. Mainly endosulfan I was detected. Values at most stations ranged from 20 to 30 pg/L. However, a concentration of 62 pg/L was measured in the Flensburg Fjord. This elevated level can be explained by slow water exchange in this bight, and possibly by agricultural activities in the area.

The results of the survey in July 2003, which covered the entire North Sea, were similar to those of the German Bight survey. Concentrations in the southern and central North Sea tend to be higher than in the northern North Sea.

In 2004, a few samples were taken in the Greenland Sea – along the  $75^{\circ}$  latitude – in order to investigate possible long-range transports and determine background concentrations. No endosulfan above the LOD was detected in these samples. However, the LOD was considered too high for such remote areas.



Figure 41: Endosulfan I concentrations [pg/L] in surface water (5 m) in 2003

## 6.4.3.2 Sediment

Endosulfan was determined in 30 surface sediment samples from the North Sea and Baltic Sea which had been collected during 6 cruises in 2003 to 2005 (Table 42 and Table 43, Appendix 6.8). While concentrations of Endosulfan II were below the LOD in

all sediment samples from the German Bight, it was found at some stations in the western Baltic Sea.

Endosulfan I was determined at concentrations between <10 and 200 ng/kg dw with a median of 20.5 ng/kg. As about 60 % of the determinations were < LOD, the data can only be interpreted to a limited extent.

The spatial distribution in the German Bight and western Baltic Sea is shown in Figure 42. In the German Bight, Endosulfan I was only detected at stations having higher silt levels and TOC values above 3 mg/g. Surprisingly, even at station KS 11 which usually has the highest contamination levels, the measured values were 21 ng/kg dw or less. The limited data set does not allow a statistically relevant interpretation of the geographical distribution with respect to spatial gradients or input structures.



Figure 42: Endosulfan I concentrations [ng/kg dw] in surface sediments (0-2 cm)

In the western Baltic Sea, concentrations were higher than in the German Bight, and there were more locations with positive findings. Whether this is due to the generally higher TOC values in the Baltic or to local/regional input sources cannot be ascertained on the basis of the limited data available. However, it is remarkable that in the vicinity of stations having elevated endosulfan concentrations in water (4.3.1), endosulfan levels in sediments were rather high as well (station in the Eckernförde Bight). Here, even endosulfan II was detected.

# 6.4.3.3 Biota

25 liver samples from three different fish species (Dab (*Limanda limanda*), cod (*Gadus morhua*) and flounder (*Platichtys flesus*)), which had been collected during two cruises in the North Sea and western Baltic Sea in 2001 and 2002, were analysed. (see Table 94, Appendix 6.6.8)

Endosulfan I was found in most samples in the range of 0.1 to 1.4  $\mu$ g/kg ww (0.26 to 7  $\mu$ g/kg lw) with a median of 0.44  $\mu$ g/kg ww (1.9  $\mu$ g/kg lw). The geographical distribution of the samples and their concentrations are shown in Figure 43. The high variability of the data may be considered normal. All samples from the Baltic Sea were below the LOD. Because of the limited data set presently available, it is difficult to interpret the results with respect to possible regional patterns, species differences or time variability. The concentrations of endosulfan II were always lower than those of endosulfan I, and often below the LOD.





Figure 43: Concentrations of endosulfan I in fish liver; upper fig. in  $\mu g/kg$  wet weight, lower fig. in  $\mu g/kg$  lipid weight

## 6.4.3.4 Discussion

This is the first time that endosulfan was detected in the North Sea and Baltic Sea, and concentrations above the LOQ were found. While endosulfan II levels were generally lower than endosulfan I levels and often were not detectable in marine samples from the North Sea and Baltic Sea, the isomer endosulfan I was identified in many water samples and some sediment and biota samples. However, concentrations were very low, with a median of 25 pg/L in water samples and 20.5 ng/kg dw in sediments. Median concentrations of 0.44  $\mu$ g/kg ww and 1.9  $\mu$ g/kg lipid were found in fish liver.

Comparisons with literature data are difficult because the LODs in most studies were far higher (>ng/L) than in this survey and endosulfan is mostly reported to be below LOD.



**Figure 44:** Summary of endosulfan I concentrations in different marine matrices; min – max range and median values

The observed low concentrations and distribution patterns are best explained by a general low-level background burden with minor local sources.

Concentrations in the river Elbe – generally the most important source of pollutant input to the German Bight - are low, often below the LOQ. Therefore, a distinct gradient was not found in the German Bight.

Considering the low concentrations of local sources, it is remarkable that endosulfan was detected at all in the North Sea and Baltic Sea. In water, endosulfan concentrations were well above those of the classical lipophilic pollutants like HCB, DDT, PCB or PAHs but below HCH concentrations.

Atmospheric transport and deposition is well documented (Carrera et al, 2002; Chernyak et al, 1996). Quaghebeur et al. (2004) reported endosulfan in rain water in Belgium in the range of 1 to 224 ng/L; in the time from 1997 to 2001, they observed a clear downward trend in concentrations.

Sorption to sediments does not lead to a significant enrichment in the sediments investigated. The estimated "enrichment" of endosulfan in sediment as compared to the water phase is about 820 (Figure 44). This means that sediment is not a major sink for this compound and will not be a good monitoring matrix. The low values are remarkable but can be explained by the intermediate polarity (log  $K_{OW}$  3.8 – 4.7) and a relatively rapid degradation (e.g. Helm et al., 2002 : half-life of endosulfan I : 0.046 – 0.14 y).

Compared to the classical pollutants, concentrations in sediment are low. At KS 11, for example, HCH isomer concentrations range from 10 to 200 ng/kg. Levels of the more lipophilic DDD and CB153 range from 1000 to 5000 ng/kg. PAH like, e.g., BaP shows sediment concentrations of 40 to 240  $\mu$ g/kg (BSH, 2005).

The bioaccumulation potential of endosulfan becomes apparent when comparing concentrations in the three compartments investigated (Figure 44). The estimated "enrichment" of endosulfan in biota as compared to the water phase is about 17600 based on wet weight, and 76000 based on lipid weight.

The observed endosulfan levels are comparable to those of classical pollutants like HCH and HCB, but are below the concentrations of the more lipophilic DDT and PCB group. Typical HCH concentrations in the German Bight ranged from 0.2 to 0.6  $\mu$ g/kg ww in 2000; HCB had a median of 0.84  $\mu$ g/kg ww, and the sum of DDTs had a median of 3.7  $\mu$ g/kg ww (BSH, 2005).

The results from the North and Baltic Seas are well explained by known endosulfan use in Europe. According to the background paper on endosulfan issued by the OSPAR Commission (OSPAR, 2002), endosulfan is used mainly in the south of Europe (1999: 469.3 t/a), while in 1999 only 38.1 t/a was used in the countries bordering the North Sea and Baltic Sea. In most north European countries, endosulfan has not been used any more since the mid-1990s. Only Belgium, France, and Switzerland reported applications in 1999. Measured concentrations in sea water were well below the level of acute toxicity reported for aquatic organisms; the lowest NOEC was reported to be 1 ng/L (see Chapter 6.2.3). The observed sea water concentrations of endosulfan are about ten times lower than the WFD EQS of 0.5 ng/L.

In view of the positive findings, the BSH will determine endosulfan levels on a voluntary basis during the next two years in order to support the results of this study. However, because of the low absolute concentrations, it is recommended not to include endosulfan as a routine parameter in the standard monitoring program.

### 6.4.4 Trifluralin

The analytical method which has been developed and validated (3.2 and 3.3) is characterised by a very high sensitivity, which is a prerequisite to the determination of pollutants in marine matrices. Table 80 summarises the LODs and LOQs achieved in the different matrices.

	LOD	LOQ	Sample amount
Water	2 pg/L	7 pg/L	10 L
Sediment	0.4 ng/kg dw	1 ng/kg dw	40 g
Biota	$0.03 \ \mu g/kg \ lw$	0.1 µg/kg lw	3 g

**Table 80:** Limits of detection (LOD) at a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of 3:1 and limits of quantification (LOQ, S/N 10:1) for Trifluralin

The values obtained are on the order of the levels of classical pollutants like HCH, PCBs, DDT-metabolites or PAH presently observed in the open marine environment.

# 6.4.4.1 Water

Trifluralin was determined in sea water samples from the North Sea and Baltic Sea which had been collected during 7 cruises in 2003 to 2005 (Table 95 and Table 96, Appendix 6.8).

Trifluralin was found in most samples at concentrations from < 7 to 500 pg/L. A strong seasonal variation was observed, with a maximum in winter.

In summer, very low concentrations in a range of <5 to 100 pg/L with a median of 15 pg/L were observed in the German Bight and North Sea. The geographical distribution of the concentrations is shown in Figure 45 to Figure 47. In the German Bight, most concentrations were within a fairly narrow range from 10 to 30 pg/L. No clear and steady gradients were found during the surveys. A rather even distribution slightly above the LOQ was generally found in the southern part of the North Sea. One cause of this distribution is the absence of significant local inputs, e.g. by the river Elbe. Trifluralin levels in the river Elbe at Stade were only found to be slightly higher (30 to 81 pg/L); this input to the German Bight has a major impact on the distribution in marine waters. Other sources must be considered to explain the "background level" of 10 to 20 pg/L in the southern North Sea and the occasionally higher levels at the western border of the German Bight. This may either be due to atmospheric deposition or inputs from the rivers Rhine/Schelde or the UK.



**Figure 45:** Trifluralin concentrations [pg/L] in surface water (5 m) in the North Sea (July 2004) and Baltic Sea (June 2004)

The results of the survey in July 2003, which covered the entire North Sea, were in line with findings in the German Bight. Concentrations in the southern North Sea were significantly higher than in the northern part, where hardly any trifluralin was found.



Figure 46: Trifluralin concentrations [pg/L] in surface water (5 m) in 2003

In 2004, some samples were taken in the Greenland Sea to check for possible longrange transports and investigate background concentrations. No trifluralin above the LOD was detected in these samples.

In winter, concentrations in the German Bight were an order of magnitude higher than in summer, and were in the range from 100 to 580 pg/L with a median of 180 pg/L. The



geographical distribution (Figure 47) shows the highest concentrations not in the coastal area along the Elbe plume but at the western edge of the German Bight.

Figure 47: Trifluralin concentrations [pg/L] in surface water (5 m) in summer and winter

5

6

In the western Baltic Sea, summer concentrations resembled those in the German Bight. The values at most stations ranged from 7 to 46 pg/L. The highest concentrations were observed in the Lübeck Bight and Flensburg Fjord, where concentrations of 21 and 46 pg/L, respectively, were found. These elevated levels can be explained by slow water exchange in this bight and high agricultural activities in the area.

7

8

9

#### 6.4.4.2 Sediment

4

Trifluralin was identified in 30 surface sediment samples from the North Sea and Baltic Sea which were collected during 6 cruises in 2003 to 2005 (Table 97, Appendix 6.8). The geographical distribution is shown in Figure 48. Concentrations were very low in all sediment samples from the German Bight and western Baltic Sea. They varied

between < 1 and 22 ng/kg dw with a median of 10 ng/kg. Because of the very low LOD, trifluralin was detected in 83 % of the samples. Remarkably, even station KS 11, which normally has the highest pollution levels, showed low values of only 5 to 15 ng/kg dw. Values in the central German Bight were slightly higher. This is in line with the distribution that has been observed occasionally in the water phase. However, the data do not yet allow a statistically valid interpretation of the geographical distribution with respect to spatial gradients or input structures.



Figure 48: Trifluralin concentrations [ng/kg dw] in surface sediments (0-2 cm), single or median values according to Table 97

In the western Baltic Sea, concentrations are on the same order as in the German Bight, with positive findings at a larger number of stations because TOC values are generally higher at these stations than in the German Bight, where sandy sediments are more common.

#### 6.4.4.3 Biota

25 liver samples from three different fish species (dab (*Limanda limanda*), cod (*Gadus morhua*) and flounder (*Platichtys flesus*)) collected during two cruises in the North Sea and western Baltic Sea in 2001 and 2002 were investigated. (Table 98, Appendix 6.8) Trifluralin was found in most samples in the range from 0.1 to 1.74  $\mu$ g/kg ww (0.28 to 8.86  $\mu$ g/kg lw), with a median of 0.42  $\mu$ g/kg ww (1.7  $\mu$ g/kg lw). The geographical distribution of the samples and its concentrations are shown in Figure 49.



**Figure 49:** Trifluralin concentrations in fish liver; upper Fig. in  $\mu g/kg$  wet weight, lower Fig. in  $\mu g/kg$  lipid weight

Data variability was found to be in the normal range. Samples from the Baltic Sea had lower levels than North Sea samples. However, the limited data set currently available

does not allow an evaluation of the results with respect to regional patterns, species differences, or time variability.

## 6.4.4.4 Discussion

As trifluralin analyses were made with a very low LOQ, the substance was detectable in most samples of water, sediment and fish liver from the North Sea and Baltic Sea. Concentrations were very low in summer, with a median of 15 pg/L in water samples, 10 ng/kg dw in sediments, and 0.42  $\mu$ /kg ww in fish liver samples. In winter, water concentrations were about 10 times higher, which is due to preferred use of the herbicide as a preseed agent in winter.



**Figure 50:** Summary of trifluralin concentrations in different marine matrices; min – max range and median values

Comparisons with literature data are difficult because LODs in most studies are far higher (>ng/L) than in the present survey, and trifluralin is mostly found to be below the LOD. The concentrations reported in this survey compare well with data from the same laboratory (BSH) which, however, had been obtained by a different analysis technique and with a higher LOD (liquid/liquid extraction and analysis by GC-MS in EI mode). Concentrations of 30 pg/L or lower had been obtained in those analyses.

These findings allow the conclusion that trifluralin is stable enough to be present in the marine environment of the North Sea and Baltic Sea. Concentrations are highest during and after the main application season in winter. The 10 times lower concentrations in summer indicate a moderately fast degradation of trifluralin in the (marine) environment. The distribution pattern is best explained by a low, diffuse contamination level (e.g. by atmospheric deposition) with minor local input sources.

Concentrations in the river Elbe - generally the most important input source of pollutants to the German Bight - are relatively low, which means that this source of trifluralin contamination is less important.

In summer, the trifluralin levels in water exceed those of the classical lipophilic pollutants, e.g. HCB, DDT, or PCB, but are lower than HCH levels. Concentrations in winter, however, are higher than the HCH levels. Compared to other herbicides – e.g. atrazine, diuron or isoproturon – trifluralin concentrations are lower by a factor of about 10 (BSH, 2005).

Atmospheric transport and deposition is well documented. Apart from atmospheric transport following spraying applications, also volatilisation and escape to the atmosphere has been suggested as a transport path (Alegria et al. 1999, Rice et al. 1997, Waite et al 1995).

Sorption to sediment had not led to significant enrichment in the sediments investigated. The estimated "enrichment" of trifluralin in sediment as compared to the water phase is about 700. Sediment thus is no major sink for this herbicide. The low values are remarkable considering the relatively high log  $K_{OW}$  of 5.3 and the reported persistence in soil. (OSPAR, Background document on trifluralin, 2004). The limited accumulation may be due to rather rapid (photo)degradation.

Compared to classical pollutants, concentrations of trifluralin in sediment are low. At the station KS 11, for example, HCH isomers range between 10 and 200 ng/kg. The more lipophilic DDD and CB153 range from 1000 to 5000 ng/kg. PAH, e.g. BaP, have levels of 40 to 240  $\mu$ g/kg in sediment.

The bioaccumulation potential of trifluralin becomes apparent when comparing concentrations in the three compartments investigated (Figure 50). The enrichment factor calculated for the median between water and fish liver is 28000 based on wet weight, and 113000 based on lipid weight.

The observed trifluralin values are comparable to those of HCH and HCB but lower than the concentrations of DDT-metabolites and PCBs. Typical HCH concentrations in the German Bight ranged from 0.2 to 0.6  $\mu$ g/kg ww in 2000; HCB had a median of 0.84  $\mu$ g/kg ww, and the sum of DDTs had a median of 3.7  $\mu$ g/kg ww (BSH, 2005).

Trifluralin is a licensed product used as a herbicide in most European countries (see chapter 6.2.5). Approximately 3200 t is used annually in the EU, the largest users being France (1600 t/a) and the UK (657 t/a) (OSPAR Background document, 2004). In 1995, consumption in Germany was between 100 and 200 t. This is a plausible explanation for the presence of trifluralin in the North Sea and Baltic Sea, with water concentrations peaking in winter.

The concentrations observed in sea water are well below the level of acute toxicity reported for aquatic organisms, which is in the low  $\mu g/L$  range (chapter 2.5). Compared to the WFD EQS value of 30 ng/L, the trifluralin concentrations detected in sea water are considerably lower.

In view of the positive findings, the BSH will determine trifluralin on a voluntary basis during the next two years in order to support the results of this study. Especially the seasonal variation and geographical distribution will be investigated in more detail. Thereafter, it will be decided whether trifluralin should be included as a routine parameter in the standard monitoring program.

## 6.4.5 Pentachlorophenol (PCP)

An HPLC-MS method was developed to analyse water samples for PCP (chapter 3.3), which proved to be simple and had a sensitivity that was sufficient for first screening. An LOQ of 0.2 ng/L was achieved for a 10 L water sample. However, this method was

not selective enough for sediment and biota samples because of the higher matrix underground of these marine matrices. Therefore, a GC-NCI-MS method with a derivatisation step was developed. This method had a good sensitivity and, in principle, was also suitable for water samples. However, due to lack of time and technical problems the procedure could not be applied to environmental samples within this project. For the same reason, validation of the method had not been completed with all matrices by the end of the project.

## 6.4.5.1 Water

Pentachlorophenol was determined in sea water samples from the North Sea and Baltic Sea which had been collected during 4 cruises in 2003 to 2004.

Pentachlorophenol was detected in the river Elbe and at some coastal stations in the German Bight. At most stations in the open North Sea and Baltic Sea it was below the LOQ of 0.2 ng/L. The river Elbe clearly continues to be an input source for PCP, at concentrations ranging from 0.86 to 1.4 ng/L.

In 2004, some samples were taken in the Greenland Sea in order to investigate possible long range transports and determine background concentrations. No PCP above the LOD was detected in these samples.

In the western Baltic Sea, samples were taken in 2004 but the analysis could not be completed in the course of the project.

### 6.4.5.2 Sediment and Biota

The planned analysis of sediment and biota samples could not be completed due to technical problems with the microwave extractor, the GPC pre-separation, and time constraints. In a preliminary test analysis, no PCP was detected.

#### 6.4.5.3 Discussion

Current PCP levels in the German Bight are far below those of 1988, which ranged from 0.1 to 6.4 ng/L (Hühnerfuss et al. 1990). Low levels (0.1 ng/L) were observed in the

outer German Bight, which are comparable to present values. However, concentrations in the coastal areas were much higher than today, which proves that pollutant loads in rivers (especially the Elbe) have decreased considerably during the past years.

Whether other input sources to the North Sea are also relevant presently cannot be derived from the data because most values were below the detection limit; no spatial distribution pattern could thus be generated for the North Sea. However, high mean PCP concentrations of 6 to 100 ng/L, which had been found in estuarine regions in 1983 – 1997 [Eurochlor, 1997] are no longer observed.

Nevertheless, the river Elbe still is an input source of PCP to the North Sea.

In the river Rhine, PCP has been detected occasionally in the past 5 years. The maximum value, found at Lobith, was 130 ng/L, and the mean value was 30 ng/L (RIWA, 2004). In general, values were below the LOD of 10 ng/L, though.

Detailed data on manufacturing and use of PCP and derivatives have been summarised in the OSPAR "Background document on PCP" (OSPAR, 2001). Nevertheless, it is difficult to derive thereof detailed input data. Today the predominant use of PCP containing chemicals is in the treatment of wood. In 1996 almost 90 % of the total EU consumption of PCP was in France, Portugal and Spain (total of ca. 20 - 400 t).

Measured concentrations in sea water are well below the level of toxicity reported for aquatic organisms; NOEC are reported in the low  $\mu$ g/L range (s. Chapter 2.4). The observed concentrations of PCP are well below the WFD EQS of 200 ng/L.

Based on these positive findings, the BSH will determine pentachlorophenol on a voluntary basis during the next two years in order to support the results of this study. The method should be improved for that purpose, and the LOQ should be lowered to 0.05 ng/L. However, because of the low absolute concentrations, it is not considered necessary to include pentachlorophenol as a routine parameter in the standard monitoring program.

#### 6.4.6 Dicofol

The analysis of dicofol turned out to be quite problematic because of its thermal and chemical instability. It was difficult to control the GC-MS response even with the use of GC injectors, which are generally considered optimal for thermolabile compounds (on column and PTV injectors). The degradation led to dichlorobenzophenone (DBP). It is not unlikely that many of the methods described in literature in fact detected DBP formed in-situ during GC analysis.

Unfortunately, dicofol was found to have a poor ESI-MS sensitivity in HPLC-MS analysis. The approach of using HPLC-MS to reduce thermal stress during the analysis thus was not successful.

Nevertheless, it was possible to develop a GC-MS method having a moderate sensitivity, which was suitable for first screening of sea water. The LODs and LOQs achieved were 0.3 and 1 ng/L, respectively. (The sensitivity is considered "moderate" in comparison with the LOD that is normally used for marine matrices at the BSH. Compared to literature data it may be considered good). A full validation of the method was not performed because no positive findings were obtained in sample screening.

#### 6.4.6.1 Water

Dicofol was determined in sea water samples from the North Sea which had been collected during 2 cruises in 2003 and 2004  $^{-1}$ . Dicofol was below the LOD (0.3 ng/L) in all samples.

Because of the instability of dicofol observed during the development of the analytical method, it was considered useful to investigate the stability of the pesticide in natural waters. For that purpose, sea water was spiked with dicofol and its degradation was observed for four weeks. Figure 51 shows the temporal trend of dicofol and of its

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Altogether, samples were taken during 4 cruises. However, samples from the first cruise had been eluted with acetone, which later was found to enhance degradation of dicofol to DBP – with a half-life of 45 min. at room temperature! Samples from the 4<sup>th</sup> cruise were not analysed because of the negative findings for the two other cruises.

degradation product DBP. Rapid degradation of dicofol is observed at room temperature, with a half-life of 15 hours. DBP is formed at the same rate and is evidently more stable in the water phase.



Figure 51: Degradation of dicofol in sea water at room temperature

Therefore, DCB was analysed in selected water samples from the river Elbe and the German Bight and was in fact detected at concentrations of <0.2 to 3.8 ng/L; maximum concentrations were found in the river Elbe, at Stade.

#### 6.4.6.2 Toxicity screening of dicofol and dichlorobenzophenone

Dicofol and dichlorobenzophenone were tested for teratogenic effects in a fish egg test (DIN 3815-6T6) (expanded to include teratogenic effects)) in order to estimate their toxicity for marine organisms. The tests were performed at Bundesforschungsanstalt für Fischerei, Hamburg, by U. Kammann (2004).

In the tests, the influence of the substances on the embryonic development of zebra danio (*Danio rerio*) was determined (Vobach, 2002). No effects on fish egg development were observed with the metabolite 4,4'-dichlorbenzophenone at

concentrations up to 100 mg/L. In contrast, Dicofol was found to have a significant influence on embryonic development at concentrations of 2-10 mg/L (Table 81).

**Table 81:** Influence of dicofol on the embryonic development of *Danio rerio*; number of eggs 60, limit ofsignificance 10 % (Kammann, BFA, 2004)

Effect	EC50 [mg/L]
Lethal	11
Non-lethal	2.1
Variances on vertebral column	7.3
Formation of oedema	5.3
Coagulation	11

Endpoints of the investigation were coagulation and lethal variances in the embryo (somits, caudal ablation, spontaneous motion, cardioplegia) as well as non-lethal variances (vertebral column, oedema, pigments, pigments of eyes, disposition of eyes).

A literature survey yielded no indication of any other toxicity risk of DCB; it is not considered toxic.

### 6.4.6.3 Discussion

Dicofol was not detectable in sea water from the North Sea and Baltic Sea (LOD: 0.3 ng/L). Degradation experiments in sea water showed a half-life of less than a day. Therefore, no further investigations in sediments or biota were carried out. Also LOD lowering to the lower pg/L range was not considered to be of priority interest in the project.

Walsh and Hites (1979) showed that the degradation of dicofol in water depends on the pH and increases under neutral and basic pH conditions. As sea water has a basic pH value between 8 and 8.5, this is supported by the quick degradation observed in sea water and the fact that up to now no occurrence of dicofol in marine waters has been reported in literature.

In the river Elbe – generally the most important source of pollutant input to the German Bight – no dicofol was detected but its degradation product DCB was present at 3.8 ng/L. When interpreting this result it has to be considered that DCB is not a specific indicator of dicofol, because other compounds, e.g. DDT, also degrade to DCB. Due to this lack of specificity and its non-toxicity, the determination of DCB was not continued.

The LOD for dicofol is well below the toxic level for aquatic organisms, which is in the range of  $\mu$ g/L (Table 55, chapt. 2.2)

In Germany, dicofol has been prohibited since 1995. It is not registered in most North Sea and Baltic Sea countries - Denmark, the Netherlands, Sweden, Norway, and Finland – and in Switzerland. Therefore, the lack of positive findings in the North Sea and Baltic Sea is not unexpected. The main use of dicofol in Europe (ca. 290 t) is reported for Spain (100-150 t), France (14 t), Portugal (4.8 t), and the UK (1 t). Dicofol therefore may be considered to be of lower priority concern for the North Sea and Baltic Sea (unless inputs of Russia, Poland or the Baltic states are of importance). The detection limits in this study were not low enough to detect inputs resulting from atmospheric deposition of long-range transports.

There remains an uncertainty because no data on the occurrence of dicofol in sediments and biota could be gained. However, in view of the low stability of dicofol in sea water, it is considered unlikely that elevated concentrations will be found in these matrices.

# 6.5 References

Alegria, HA; Shaw, TJ, Environ. Sci. Technol. 1999, 33, 850-856: Rain Deposition of Pesticides in Coastal Waters of the South Atlantic Bight.

Barron, MG; Plakas, SM; Wilga, PC; Ball, T, Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. Vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 1469-1476. 1993. "Absorption, tissue distribution and metabolism of chlorpyrifos in channel catfish following waterborne exposure".

BCMAF (British Columbia, Ministry of Agriculture and Food) *Floriculture Factsheet*, **1999** 1-4.

Bangs, G. Human Health Risk Assessment, EPA (Unites States Environmental Protection Agency) **2000**, Washington D. C.

Barrett, M. R. Drinking Water Assessment, 1998.

Barrett, M.; Nelson, H.; Rabert, W.; Spatz, D. *Reregistration Eligibility Science Chapter for Chlorpyrifos* **2000**, www.epa.gov/pesticides/op/chlorpyrifos.htm.

BSH (Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie, **2005**, Messprogramm Meeresumwelt: Zustandsbericht 1999-2002 für Nordsee und Ostsee:

BSH, Berichte des Bundesamtes für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie Nr. 38/2005, Nordseezustand 2003

Carrera,-G.; Fernandez,-P.; Grimalt,-J.O.; Ventura,-M.; Camarero,-L.; Catalan,-J.; Nickus,-U.; Thies,-H.; Psenner,-R., Environmental-Science-and-Technology [Environ-Sci-Technol] 2002 vol. 36, no. 12, pp. 2581-2588: Atmospheric Deposition of Organochlorine Compounds to Remote High Mountain Lakes of Europe.

Chernyak, S.M., Rice,C.P., McConnell,L.L., Mar.Poll.Bull., 1996, 32, 410-419: Evidence of Currently-Used Pesticides in Air, Ice, Fog, Seawater and Surface Microlayer in the Bering and Chukchi Seas.

Dabrowski, J.M., Peall, S.K.C., Niekerk, A.V., Reinecke, A.J., Day, J.A., and Schulz, R., 2002, Water Research 36, 4975-4984.

Dalvie, M. A.; Cairneross, E.; Solomon, A.; London, L. Environ. Health 2003, 2 (1).

Datasheet on Chlorpyrifos, http://www.cefic.org/existing/WFD.htm

Datasheet on Endosulfan, http://www.cefic.org/existing/WFD.htm

Datasheet on Pentachlorophenol, http://www.cefic.org/existing/WFD.htm

Datasheet on Trifluralin, http://www.cefic.org/existing/WFD.htm

Eurochlor, marine risk assessment pentachlorphenol (1997); www.eurochlor.org, 1-5

Extoxnet Information on Chlorpyrifos; Extoxnet (Extension Toxicology Network) Pesticide Information Profile, Oregon State University, 1996, http://extoxnet.orst.edu/pips/chlorpyr.htm

Extoxnet Information on Trifluralin; Extoxnet (Extension Toxicology Network) Pesticide Information Profiles, Oregon State University, 06/1996, <u>http://extoxnet.orst.edu/pips/triflura.htm</u>

Garbarino, JR; Snyder-Conn, E; Leiker, TJ; Hoffman, GL; Contaminants in Arctic Snow Collected over Northwest Alaskan Sea Ice; Water, Air, & Soil Pollution [Water, Air, Soil Pollut.]. Vol. 139, no. 1-4, pp. 183-214. Sep 2002.

Hayes, W. J.; Laws, E. R. In: *Handbook of Pesticide Toxicology*, Academic Press: New York, 1990; Vol. 3.

Helm, P.A., Diamond, M.L., Semkin, R., Strachan, W.M.J., Teixeira, C., and Gregor, D.; Environ.Sci.Technol, 2002, 36, 996-1003,: A Mass Balance Model Describing Multiyear fate of Organochlorine Compounds in a High Artic Lake.

Hühnerfuss H.; Dannhauer H.; Ludwig P. Dt. Hydrogr. Z. 1990, 43, 253-272.

Johnson, W. E.; O'Connor, T. P.; Cantillo, A. Y.; Lauenstein, G. G. NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration), 1999, Spatial Distribution of Chlorpyrifos and Endosulfan in USA Coastal Waters and the Great Lakes.

Kale, SPRaghu K; Sherkhane, PD; Murthy, NBK; Symposium on Marine Pollution, Monaco, 5-9 Oct 1998 ; International Atomic Energy Agency, Monaco: fate of <sup>14</sup>Clabelled compounds in marine environment.

Kammann, U. Bundesforschungsanstalt für Fischerei, 2004 unpublished results.

Lipidoki, O. S.; Awofolu, R. O. Journal of Chromatography A 2003, 983, 225-236.

Lee, H.-B.; Chau, A. S. Y. J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem. 1983, 66 (6), 1322-1326.

Lerche, D.; van de Plassche, E.; Schwegler, A., Balk, F. *Chemosphere* **2002**, *47*, 617-630.

Muschal, M.; Cooper, B. Department of Land and Water Conservation, NSW.

Nhan, DD; Carvalho, FP; Nam, BQ, Environmental Technology [Environ. Technol.]. Vol. 23, no. 11, pp. 1229-1234. Nov 2002.: fate of super(14)C-chlorpyrifos in the tropical estuarine environment.

Noble, A. J. Chromatogr. 1993, 642, 3-14.

Owen, R; Buxton, L; Sarkis, S; Toaspern, M; Knap, A; Depledge, M, Marine Pollution Bulletin [Mar. Pollut. Bull.]. Vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1010-1017. Oct. 2002: An evaluation of hemolymph cholinesterase activities in the tropical scallop, Euvola (Pecten) ziczac, for the rapid assessment of pesticide exposure.

OSPAR Commission, Background Document on Dicofol, 2002, (update 2004) ISBN 0-946956-97-9, http://www.ospar.org.

OSPAR Commission, Background Document on Endosulfan, 2002, (update 2004) ISBN 0-946956-98-7, http://www.ospar.org.

OSPAR Commission, Background Document on Trifluralin, 2004, ISBN 1-904426-37-99, 1-30, http://www.ospar.org.

OSPAR Commission, Background Document on Pentachlorophenol, 2001, ISBN 0-946956-74 X, 1-31. (update 2004), http://www.ospar.org.

Pan-uk, <u>www.pan-uk.org</u>

Pesticide News Pan uk 2001, 52, 20-21.

Petty, DG; Skogerboe, JG; Getsinger, KD\*; Foster, DR; Houtman, BA; Fairchild, JF; Anderson, LW, Pest Management Science [Pest Manage. Sci.]. Vol. 57, no. 9, pp. 764-775. Sep 2001. The aquatic fate of triclopyr in whole-pond treatments.

Quaghebeur, D., De Smet, B., DeWulf, E., and Steurbaut, W., J.Environ.Mon.2004, 6, 182-190: Pesticides in rainwater in Flanders, Belgium: results from the monitoring program 1997-2001.

Racke, K. D. The environmental fate of chlorpyrifos. Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 131: 1-151, 1992.5-52.
Rice, CP; Chernyak, SM, Chemosphere. 1997, Vol. 35, 867-878.

RIWA Verein der Flusswasserwerke Jahresbericht 1999-2000 B. V. Drukkerij De Eendracht, Schiedam, Jülich, W. F. B., Beemsterboer, P.; Renout, A. C.

RIWA, 2004: RIWA– Jahresbericht 2001 – 2002, Sektion Rhein.

Römpp, CD Römpp Chemie Lexikon-Version 1.0 Georg Thieme Verlag: Stuttgart, New York, 1995.

Schadstoffberatung Tübingen, 2001.

Serdar, D.; Era-Miller, B. Washington State Department of Ecology Pesticide Monitoring in the Mission Creek Basin, Chelan County, Publication No. 02-03-022, **2002**, 1-35.

Sigma-Aldrich, Sicherheitsdatenblatt gemäß 91/155/EWG, 2003.

Simon, K. Study of DowAgroSciences 2001, GH-C 5268, 1-14.

TNO rapport 2002/606, Atmosferische depositie van pesticiden, PAK en PCB in Nederland, Oct 2002.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Ambient Water Quality criteria for Chlorpyrifos-1986. Washington, DC, 1986. 5-51.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; Registration Standard (2<sup>nd</sup> Round Review) for the Registration of Pesticide Products Containing Chlorpyrifos, Washington, DC, 1989, 5-44.

Vobach, M.; Kammann, U. Jahresbericht der Bundesforschungsanstalt für Fischerei 2002, 67-72.

Walsh, G. E. Hyacinth Control Journal 1972, 10, 45-48.

Walsh, P.R.; Hites, R.A.; Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol., 22(3), 305-311, (1979) Dicofol solubility and hydrolysis in water.

Waite, DT; Grover, R; Westcott, ND; Irvine, DG; Kerr, LA; Sommerstad, H, Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 1995, 14, 1171-1175.

Watson, P. Dow AgroSciences 2002, 1-15.

Wauchope, R. D., Buttler, T. M., Hornsby A. G., Augustijn-Beckers, P. W. M. and Burt, J. P. SCS/ARS/CES Pesticide properties database for environmental decisionmaking. Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 123: 1-157, 1992.5-20.

Wegman, R. C. C.; van den Broek, H. H. Water Res. 1983, 17, 227-230.

WHO (World Health Organisation), Endosulfan (Environmental Health Criteria 40, 1984), International Program in Chemical Safety.

Yim, U. H.; Oh, J. R.; Hong, S. H.; Li, D. H.; Shim, W. J.; Choi, H. K.; Kim, E. S.; Shim, J. H. *Ocean and Polar Research* **2002**, *24* (*4*), 391-398.

Yücel, Ü.; Ylim, M.; Gözek, K.; Helling, C. S.; Sarýkaya, Y. J. *Environ. Sci. Health* **1999**, *B34*(1), 75-95.

#### 6.6 Appendix

#### 6.6.1 Reagents and solvents

The reagents and solvents were of the highest purity available. The following solvents were used: acetone (Merck, SupraSolv®), dichloromethane, *n*-hexane (Baker, Ultra resianalyzed) methanol (Baker, Hplc-analyzed). Deionized water was obtained from an ultra-filtration system (Millipore milli-Q academic A10, 18.2 M $\Omega$ cm).

All glassware was washed twice with acetone, dried, and then washed twice with *n*-hexane. After that the glassware was heated at  $180^{\circ}$ C for 5 h.

### 6.6.2 GC-MS method for the determination of Chlorpyrifos, Endosulfan and Trifluralin

All analyses were carried out with a *Trace GC* capillary gas chromatograph coupled to a *Trace MS* mass spectrometer (both Thermo Finnigan). Analyses were conducted with the following parameters:

Column:	ZB-5 from Phenomenex (5 % Phenyl-, 95 % dimethylpolysiloxan) 30 m x 0.25 i. d. x 0.25 μm; 1 m precolumn ZB-5
Carrier gas:	Helium 1.5 mL/min
Oven temperature:	40 °C, 1 min isotherm; linear temperature gradient (5.5 °C/min to 320 °C; then 10 min isotherm)
Injector:	PTV splitless (Inlet temperature: 50 °C; split flow: 30 ml/Min; splitless time: 1 Min; injection phases: injection: 40 kPa, 50 °C, 50 mL/Min, 0.01 Min; evaporation: 140 kPa, 14.5 °C/s, 60 °C, 0.5 Min; transfer: 210 kPa, 14.5 °C/Min, 280 °C, 10 Min)
Interface temperature:	280 °C
Detection mode:	NCI
Reagent gas:	Methane
Source temperature:	130 °C (NCI)
Emission current:	150 μΑ
Dwell time per mass:	50 ms

Table 82 sh	nows the	relevant N	AS ]	parameters	for the	target	compound	s and	their	internal
standards (1	ISTD).									

**Table 82:** Parameters of the target substances: molecular weight (MW), retention time (RT; GC conditions see above) and masses used for analysis in SIM mode (m/z)

Target-substances/		DÆ	GC-MS (m/z)			
Internal standards	IVI VV	RT	EI-Modus	NCI-Modus		
D <sub>14</sub> -Trifluralin	349	24.77	267, 315	349, 319		
Trifluralin	335	24.93	264, 306	335, 305		
Chlorpyriphos-methyl	321	28.83	288, 286	212, 141		
D <sub>10</sub> -Chlorpyriphos-ethyl	359	30.46	326, 324	322		
Chlorpyriphos-ethyl	349	30.59	315, 199	313		
D <sub>4</sub> -Endosulfan I	408	32.75	237, 272	376, 410		
Endosulfan I	404	32.82	241, 265	406, 372		
Endosulfan II	404	34.73	241, 265	406, 370		

### 6.6.3 Procedure for the analysis of Chlorpyrifos, Endosulfan and Trifluralin in water

Water samples were taken at 5 m water depth using a 10 L glass bowl. Prior to solid phase extraction (SPE), the samples were acidified to pH 2.5-3.0 (25 % hydrochloric acid, p. a.).  $D_{14}$ -Trifluralin,  $D_{10}$ -Chlorpyrifos-ethyl, and  $D_4$ -Endosulfan I (Dr. Ehrensdorfer GmbH) were added as internal standards (e.g. 0.4 ng/L). The seawater was pumped through a column filled with 2 g Chromafix HR-P resin (Macherey and Nagel, Düren) in a custom made extraction system. The loaded columns were dried and stored in the dark at 8°C until elution. All target substances were eluted with 100 ml dichloromethane in the opposite direction of sampling. After solvent change to hexane, the extracts were concentrated to 300 µl and analysed by GC-MS (see 6.6.2).

## 6.6.4 Procedure for the analysis of Chlorpyrifos, Endosulfan and Trifluralin in sediment

The sediments were dried at room temperature for 72 h and ground (centrifugal force ball mill (Retsch) 15 min, 50 rmp). Before microwave assisted extraction (MAE), D<sub>14</sub>-Trifluralin, D<sub>10</sub>-Chlorpyrifos-ethyl and D<sub>4</sub>-Endosulfan I were added as internal standards (0.1  $\mu$ g/kg) to 40 g sediment. The sediment was mixed with 0.5 g activated copper powder to remove sulphur. Hot extraction was accomplished in a microwave system (µPrep-A, MLS, 10 min; 100°C) using acetone/n-hexane (75/25 v/v) as solvent. During extraction the sediment was stirred. After cooling, the solution was separated from the sediment by filtration (filtration unit 30 mL from sartorius; glass microfibre filter (whatman, 25 mm GF/A; heated at 500°C for 3 h)). The extraction was carried out twice. Both extracts were combined and the solvent was changed to n-hexane. The extracts were cleaned up on silica gel (silica gel 60, Merck, Darmstadt; heated for 2 h at 150°C. deactivated with 6 % w/w water). Elution was done with nhexane/dichloromethane (50/50 v/v) and pure dichloromethane. The solvent of both fractions was changed to n-hexane, and  $D_6$ -chlorpyrifos-methyl was added as recovery standard (0.1 µg/kg). The first fraction generally contained all target compounds. It was concentrated to  $300 \,\mu$ l and analysed by GC-MS (see 6.6.2).

### 6.6.5 Procedure for the analysis of Chlorpyrifos, Endosulfan and Trifluralin in fish liver

After preparation, the liver samples were stored at -20°C. The tissue was thawed at room temperature before analysis. All liver tissue (ca. 1-4 g ww) was used for sample preparation. Before freeze drying at 1.3 mbar for 48 h (Steris, Lyovac GT2) D<sub>14</sub>-Trifluralin, D<sub>10</sub>-Chlorpyrifos-ethyl and D<sub>4</sub>-Endosulfan I were added as internal standards (1.3  $\mu$ g/kg ww). Hot extraction was accomplished in a microwave oven (10 min; 100°C) using acetone/*n*-hexane (75/25 v/v) as solvent. During the extraction the samples were stirred. After cooling the solution was separated from the tissue by filtration (filtration unit 30 mL from sartorius; glass microfibre filter (whatman, 25 mm GF/A; heated at 500°C for 3 h)). The extraction was carried out twice. Both extracts were combined and the solvent removed in a smooth nitrogen stream and the lipid content (extractable with *n*-hexane/acetone) was determined gravimetrically. To remove lipids from the sample, the extracts were cleaned by gel permeation chromatography (GPC; Biobeads, column: r = 2 cm; h = 14 cm; p = 2 bar, flow = 4 mL/min). After solvent change to hexane, the extracts were cleaned up on neutral aluminium oxide (Merck, Darmstadt; heated for 5 h at 500°C, desactivated with 19 % w/w water). Elution was done with hexane/dichloromethane (50/50 v/v) and pure dichloromethane. The solvent of both fractions was changed to *n*-hexane, and D<sub>6</sub>-Chlorpyrifos-methyl was added as recovery standard. The first fraction contained all target compounds. It was concentrated to 300 µl and analysed by GC-MS (see 6.6.2).

#### 6.6.6 Procedure for the analysis of Pentachlorophenol and Trichloropyridinol in water

Sea water samples were taken using a 10 L sampler (glass bowl) and were acidified with 25 % hydrochloric acid (p. a.) to pH 2.5-3.0. <sup>13</sup>C-pentachlorophenol was added as internal standard. The sample was pumped through a column filled with 2 g Chromafix HR-P resin (Macherey and Nagel) in a custom made extraction system. After drying in a gentle nitrogen stream, the loaded columns were stored in the dark at 8°C until elution. PCP and TCPy were eluted with methanol (100 mL). The solvent volume was reduced to 300  $\mu$ L and the extract analysed by HPLC-MS.

#### 6.6.6.1 HPLC-MS analysis

A combination of an Agilent 1100 HPLC system and a SCIEX API 2000 triple quadropole MS was used for HPLC-MS analysis; the parameters were as follows:

Column:	Synergi 4 $\mu$ Hydro-RP (Phenomenex; C18 phase with polar endcapping) 75 x 2.00 mm
HPLC system:	Agilent 1100

Runtime [min]	Flow rate [µL/min]	A [%]	B [%]
-15	170	85	15
0.1	170	85	15
4.0	170	70	30
16.0	220	50	50
25.0	220	30	70
39.0	220	5	95
48	220	5	95

HPL	C-G	radien	t Pro	gram:
-----	-----	--------	-------	-------

Eluent A:	Water, 10 mM ammonium acetate, 10 mM acetic acid
Eluent B:	Methanol, 10 mM ammonium acetate, 10 mM acetic acid
Oven:	23 °C
MS system:	API 2000 (Applied Biosystems), ESI source, negative mode, MRM

Table 83 summarises the MS parameters for the target compounds and their internal standard (ISTD) labelled with <sup>13</sup>C.

**Table 83:** Parameters of the target substances: molecular weight (MW), retention time (RT; LC-MS conditions see above) and masses used for analysis in SIM mode (m/z)

Substance	MW [g/mol]	RT [min]	Quantifier [[m/z]	Qualifier [m/z}
Pentachlorophenol	264	33.6	264.8	266.8
<sup>13</sup> C-Pentachlorophenol	270	33.5	270.8	272.8
ТСРу	197	23.9	195.9	197.9



Figure 52: HPLC-MS Chromatogram (SIM) of a standard solution of TCPy and PCP

#### 6.6.7 Procedure for the analysis of Dicofol in water

Extraction see chapter 6.6.3.

#### 6.6.7.1 GC-EI method for Dicofol

Because of its low thermal stability, dicofol could not be analysed by the NCI method described in chapter 6.2. Dicofol was analysed using an "on-column" injector. The analyses were carried out with a capillary gas chromatograph coupled to a *MD800* mass spectrometer (Thermo Electron). Separations were conducted as follows:

Column:	HP-5 (Cross-linked 5 % Phenyl ME Polysiloxane) 30 m x 0.25 i. d. x 0.5 $\mu m$
Pre-column:	HP-retention gap (uncoated, deactivated), ID 0.53 $\mu m$ ZB-5
Carrier gas:	Helium 1.5 mL/min
Oven:	50 °C/ 0.1 min isotherm; linear temperature gradient (10 °C/min to 300 °C); 10 min isotherm)
Injector:	on-column injection
Detector:	MS from Thermo Electron MD800, EI source
Source temperature:	200°C (EI)
Interface temperature:	250°C

Table 84 shows the GC-MS parameters for Dicofol.

**Table 84:** Parameters of Dicofol: molecular weight (MW), retention time (RT; GC conditions see above) and masses used for analysis in SIM mode (m/z)

Substance	MW [g/mol]	RT [min]	m/z
Dicofol	368	20.65	253, 251, 139

#### 6.6.8 Tables

Cruise Station	GA402 May 03	GA405 Jul 03	WH261 Feb 04	GA419 May 04	GA425 Jul 04	GA432 Jan 05
T30/BL2/EIDER	0.02	0.082		0.013	0.02	
T9/LTIEF	0.08	0.011		0.007	0.041	
T4/NSB2	0.03			0.022	0.021	
STADE	0.18	0.085		0.129		
T27	0.11					
T36	0.04					
T34	0.01					
MEDEM				0.047		
NSGR2				0.02		
SYLT1				0.013		0.005
SYLT2				0.018	0.026	
ENTE3				0.011		
NEFB				0.046	0.023	0.017
UFSDB				0.04	0.018	
AMRU2				0.018		
BRIFF				0.018	0.036	
ELBE1					0.026	
NSB3					0.028	
ENTE1					<lod< td=""><td></td></lod<>	
URST1						0.013
URST5						0.013
HELG						0.008
11		0.028				
12		0.036				
13		0.026				
14		0.078				
29		0.046				
33		0.015				
40		0.014				
46		0.009				
51		0.007				
WH/37			0.052			
WH/39			0.035			
WH/42			0.031			
WH/43			0.057			
WH/49			0.032			
WH/47			0.07			
WH/44			0.102			
WH/50			0.043			

 Table 85: Chlorpyrifos-ethyl concentrations in sea water [ng/L] in the North Sea

Cruise Station	GA402 May 03	GA405 Jul 03	WH261 Feb 04	GA419 May 04	GA425 Jul 04	GA432 Jan 05
WH/52			0.097			
WH/54			0.027			
WH/56			0.026			
WH/58			0.013			
Min	0.01	0.007	0.013	0.007	0.018	0.005
Max *	0.11	0.082	0.102	0.047	0.041	0.017
Median *	0.035	0.027	0.039	0.018	0.026	0.013
Mean *	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.01

\* Values from Stade (Elbe) are not taken into account

Table 86: Chlorpyrifos-ethyl concentrations in sea water [ng/L] in the Baltic Sea

Cruise	GA421
Station	Jun 04
710	0.019
704	0.078
701	0.102
706	0.033
717	0.015
715	0.025
718	0.028
719	<lod< td=""></lod<>
720	0.033
ODER	0.033
Min	0.015
Max	0.102
Median	0.033
Mean	0.04

Cruise	GA349	GA402	GA405	GA419	Median	
Station	May 00	May 03	Jul 03	May 04		
North Sea						
KS11	0.006	0.005	<lod< td=""><td>0.014</td><td>0.006</td><td></td></lod<>	0.014	0.006	
KS8		<lod< td=""><td></td><td>0.041</td><td>0.041</td><td></td></lod<>		0.041	0.041	
WB1	0.008				0.008	
WB5		0.012	<lod< td=""><td>0.014</td><td>0.013</td><td></td></lod<>	0.014	0.013	
SSL		0.03			0.03	
UE20		<lod< td=""><td></td><td>0.021</td><td>0.021</td><td></td></lod<>		0.021	0.021	
ES1		0.004			0.004	
UE67		<lod< td=""><td></td><td></td><td><lod< td=""><td></td></lod<></td></lod<>			<lod< td=""><td></td></lod<>	
UE70				<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td></td></lod<>	
BL2				0.038	0.038	
BL4				<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td></td></lod<>	
Min	0.006	0.004		0.014	0.004	
Max	0.008	0.03		0.041	0.041	
Median	0.007	0.0085		0.021	0.017	
Mean	0.01	0.01		0.03	0.02	
Cruise	GA371	GA387	GA421			
Station	Aug 01	Aug 02	Jun 04		Median	
Baltic Sea	0	0				
Eckfbucht			0.009		0.009	
710	0.006	<lod< td=""><td>0.004</td><td></td><td>0.005</td><td></td></lod<>	0.004		0.005	
25A			<lod< td=""><td></td><td><lod< td=""><td></td></lod<></td></lod<>		<lod< td=""><td></td></lod<>	
715	<lod< td=""><td></td><td>0.006</td><td></td><td>0.006</td><td></td></lod<>		0.006		0.006	
718	<lod< td=""><td></td><td>0.006</td><td></td><td>0.006</td><td></td></lod<>		0.006		0.006	
Oder			<lod< td=""><td></td><td><lod< td=""><td></td></lod<></td></lod<>		<lod< td=""><td></td></lod<>	
Ruden			0.008		0.008	
721/K4		<lod< td=""><td></td><td></td><td><lod< td=""><td></td></lod<></td></lod<>			<lod< td=""><td></td></lod<>	
Min	0.006		0.004		0.005	
Max	0.006		0.009		0.009	
Median	0.006		0.006		0.006	
Mean	0.01		0.01		0.01	

Table 87: Chlorpyrifos-ethyl concentrations in sediments  $[\mu g/kg dw]$ 

		Chlorpyrifos-ethyl		Chlorpyrifos-meth		
Station	Species	µg/kg ww	µg/kg lw	µg/kg ww	µg/kg lw	cruise
NO4/31	Lima lim	0.46	1.58	0.39	1.35	WH232
NO4/32	Lima lim	0.27	0.76	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>WH232</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>WH232</td></lod<>	WH232
NO4/25	Lima lim	0.25	1.72	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<>	WH242
NO4/29	Lima lim	0.52	2.31	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<>	WH242
NO4/38	Lima lim	0.54	3.58	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<>	WH242
NO1/02	Lima lim	0.79	4.05	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<>	WH242
NO1/17	Lima lim	0.14	0.52	0.15	0.56	WH242
NO1/20	Lima lim	0.25	0.88	0.3	1.06	WH242
NO6/56	Lima lim	0.11	0.25	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<>	WH242
NO6/59	Lima lim	0.14	0.75	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<>	WH242
NO6/64	Lima lim	0.22	0.83	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<>	WH242
PO1/72	Lima lim	0.94	4.59	0.67	3.26	WH242
PO1/76	Lima lim	0.36	2.27	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<>	WH242
PO1/78	Lima lim	0.75	3.56	0.56	2.68	WH242
NO4/41	Gadu mor	0.18	0.87	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<>	WH242
NO4/44	Gadu mor	0.1	0.3	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<>	WH242
NO4/54	Gadu mor	0.16	0.58	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<>	WH242
B1/113	Lima lim	0.55	4.07	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<>	WH242
B1/116	Lima lim	1.32	7.6	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<>	WH242
B1/120	Lima lim	3.38	12.96	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<>	WH242
B1/135	Gadu mor	1.25	4.54	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<>	WH242
B1/136	Gadu mor	1.23	7.07	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<>	WH242
B11/105	Gadu mor	0.3	1.17	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<>	WH242
B11/93	Plat fle	0.55	1.58	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<>	WH242
B11/94	Plat fle	0.34	0.76	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>WH242</td></lod<>	WH242
Min		0.1	0.25	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td></td></lod<>	
Max		3.38	12.96	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td></td></loq<>	
Median		0.36	1.58			
Mean		0.60	2.77			

Table 88: Chlorpyrifos concentrations  $[\mu g/kg]$  in fish liver samples

Cruise	GA402	GA405	WH261	GA419	GA425	GA432
Station	May 03	Jul 03	Feb 04	May 04	Jul 04	Jan 05
T30/BL2/EIDER	<lod< td=""><td>0.043</td><td></td><td>0.006</td><td>0.036</td><td></td></lod<>	0.043		0.006	0.036	
T9/LTIEF/St.25	<lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td>0.019</td><td>0.033</td><td></td></loq<></td></lod<>	<loq< td=""><td></td><td>0.019</td><td>0.033</td><td></td></loq<>		0.019	0.033	
T4/NSB2	<lod< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0.018</td><td>0.012</td><td></td></lod<>			0.018	0.012	
STADE	<lod< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td>0.049</td><td></td><td></td></loq<></td></lod<>	<loq< td=""><td></td><td>0.049</td><td></td><td></td></loq<>		0.049		
T27	<lod< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lod<>					
T36	<lod< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lod<>					
T34	<lod< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lod<>					
MEDEM				0.017		
NSGR2				0.025		
SYLT1				n.a.		0.013
SYLT2				n.a.	0.051	
ENTE3				0.018		
NEFB				0.016	0.024	0.012
UFSDB				0.017	0.029	
AMRU2				0.024		
BRIFF				0.024	0.021	
ELBE1					0.022	
NSB3					0.021	
ENTE1					<lod< td=""><td></td></lod<>	
URST1						0.007
URST5						0.013
HELG						0.014
11		<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>				
12		0.047				
13		LOQ				
14		<lod< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lod<>				
29		0.041				
33		0.03				
40		0.03				
46		<lod< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lod<>				
51		<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>				
WH/37			<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>			
WH/39			<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>			
WH/42			<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>			
WH/43			0.031			
WH/49			<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>			
WH/47			0.041			
WH/44			0.034			
WH/50			0.045			
WH/52			0.051			

Table 89: Endosulfan I concentrations in sea water [ng/L] in the North Sea

Cruise	GA402	GA405	WH261	GA419	GA425	GA432
Station	May 03	Jul 03	Feb 04	May 04	Jul 04	Jan 05
WH/54			0.032			
WH/56			<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>			
WH/58			<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>			
Min		0.03	0.031	0.006	0.012	0.007
Max *		0.047	0.051	0.025	0.051	0.014
Median *		0.041	0.0375	0.018	0.024	0.013
Mean *		0.04	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.01

\* Values from Stade (Elbe) are not taken into account

Cruise Station	GA402 May 03	GA405 Jul 03	WH261 Feb 04	GA419 May 04	GA425 Jul 04	GA432 Jan 05
T30/BL2/EIDER	<lod.< td=""><td>0.034</td><td></td><td>0.007</td><td><lod< td=""><td></td></lod<></td></lod.<>	0.034		0.007	<lod< td=""><td></td></lod<>	
T9/LTIEF/St.25	<lod.< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td>0.006</td><td><lod< td=""><td></td></lod<></td></loq<></td></lod.<>	<loq< td=""><td></td><td>0.006</td><td><lod< td=""><td></td></lod<></td></loq<>		0.006	<lod< td=""><td></td></lod<>	
T4/NSB2	<lod.< td=""><td></td><td></td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod.<>			<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td></td></lod<>	
STADE	<loq< td=""><td>0.03</td><td></td><td>0.028</td><td></td><td></td></loq<>	0.03		0.028		
T27	0.15					
T36	<lod.< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lod.<>					
T34	<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>					
MEDEM				0.009		
NSGR2				<lod< td=""><td></td><td></td></lod<>		
SYLT1				0.013		<lod< td=""></lod<>
SYLT2				0.009	<lod< td=""><td></td></lod<>	
ENTE3				<lod< td=""><td></td><td></td></lod<>		
NEFB				0.017	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
UFSDB				0.018	<lod< td=""><td></td></lod<>	
AMRU2				<lod< td=""><td></td><td></td></lod<>		
BRIFF				<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td></td></lod<>	
ELBE1					<lod< td=""><td></td></lod<>	
NSB3					<lod< td=""><td></td></lod<>	
ENTE1					<lod< td=""><td></td></lod<>	
URST1						<lod< td=""></lod<>
URST5						<lod< td=""></lod<>
HELG						<lod< td=""></lod<>
11		<lod.< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lod.<>				
12		<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>				
13		<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>				
14		<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>				
29		<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>				
33		0.037				
40		<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>				
46		<lod.< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lod.<>				
51		<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>				
WH/37			<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>			
WH/39			<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>			
WH/42			<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>			
WH/43			<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>			
WH/49			<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>			
WH/47			LOQ			
WH/44			0.042			
WH/50			0.037			
WH/52			0.061			
WH/54			<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>			
WH/56			<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>			
WH/58			<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>			

Table 90: Endosulfan II concentrations in sea water [ng/L] in the North Sea

Cruise Station	GA402 May 03	GA405 Jul 03	WH261 Feb 04	GA419 May 04	GA425 Jul 04	GA432 Jan 05
Min	0.15	0.03	0.037	0.006	0	0
Max *	0.15	0.037	0.061	0.018	0	0
Median *	0.15	0.034	0.042	0.011		
Mean *	0.15	0.03	0.05	0.01		

Values from Stade (Elbe) are not taken into account

Cruise		GA421 Jun 04				
Station						
	Endosulfan I	Endosulfan II				
710	0.026	0.008				
704	0.062	0.049				
701	0.03	0.009				
706	0.018	<lod< td=""></lod<>				
717	0.023	0.004				
715	0.025	0.009				
718	0.028	0.02				
719	0.026	0.01				
720	0.013	0.007				
ODER	0.025	0.01				
Min	0.013	< LOD				
Max	0.062					
Median	0.0255					
Mean	0.03					

Cruise	GA349	GA402	GA405	GA419	Median
Station	May 00	May 03	Jul 03	May 04	
North Sea					
KS11	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>0.021</td><td>0.021</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>0.021</td><td>0.021</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>0.021</td><td>0.021</td></lod<>	0.021	0.021
KS8		<lod< td=""><td></td><td>0.013</td><td>0.013</td></lod<>		0.013	0.013
WB1	<lod< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>				<lod< td=""></lod<>
WB5		0.013	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>0.013</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>0.013</td></lod<>	0.013
SSL		0.019			0.019
UE20		<lod< td=""><td></td><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
ES1		<lod< td=""><td></td><td></td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>			<lod< td=""></lod<>
UE67		<lod< td=""><td></td><td></td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>			<lod< td=""></lod<>
UE70				<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
BL2				0.009	0.009
BL4				<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
Min	0	0.013	0	0.009	0.009
Max	0	0.019	0	0.021	0.021
Median		0.016		0.013	0.013
Mean		0.016		0.014	0.015
Cruise	GA371 (ISIS)	GA387	GA421		
Station	Aug 01	Aug 02	Jun 04		Median
<b>Baltic Sea</b>					
Eckfbucht			0.198		0.198
710	0.076	0.075	<lod< td=""><td></td><td>0.0755</td></lod<>		0.0755
25A			<lod< td=""><td></td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>		<lod< td=""></lod<>
715	<lod< td=""><td></td><td><lod< td=""><td></td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>		<lod< td=""><td></td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>		<lod< td=""></lod<>
718	0.029		0.042		0.0355
Oder			0.009		0.009
Ruden			<lod< td=""><td></td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>		<lod< td=""></lod<>
721/K4		0.02			0.02
Min	0.029	0.02	0.009		0.009
Max	0.076	0.075	0.198		0.198
Median	0.0525	0.0475	0.042		0.0355
Mean	0.0525	0.0475	0.083		0.0676

Table 92: Endosulfan I concentrations in sediments  $[\mu g/kg dw]$ 

Fahrt	GA371	GA387	GA421
Station	Aug 01	Aug 02	Jun 04
Eckfbucht			0.086
710	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
25A			<lod< td=""></lod<>
715	<lod< td=""><td></td><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>		<lod< td=""></lod<>
718	<lod< td=""><td></td><td>0.011</td></lod<>		0.011
Oder			<lod< td=""></lod<>
Ruden			<lod< td=""></lod<>
721/K4		<lod< td=""><td></td></lod<>	
Min	0	0	0.011
Max	0	0	0.086
Median			0.0485
Mean			0.0485

 $\label{eq:table 93: Endosulfan II concentrations in sediments [\mu g/kg \ dw] \ of the Baltic Sea (concentrations in the North Sea were all < LOD)$ 

Station	species	ng/g ww	ng/g fat	ng/g ww	ng/g fat	% fat	cruise
		Endosulfan I		Endosulfan II			
NO4/31	Lima lim	0.49	1.68	0.24	0.82	29.2	WH232
NO4/32	Lima lim	0.39	1.09	0.26	0.72	36	WH232
NO1/02	Lima lim	1.04	5.3	0.51	2.57	19.6	WH242
NO1/17	Lima lim	0.15	0.56	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>27.1</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>27.1</td><td>WH242</td></lod<>	27.1	WH242
NO1/20	Lima lim	0.34	1.22	0.17	0.59	28.1	WH242
NO4/25	Lima lim	0.26	1.82	0.13	0.89	14.5	WH242
NO4/29	Lima lim	0.74	3.26	0.3	1.34	22.7	WH242
NO4/38	Lima lim	0.5	3.3	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>15.2</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>15.2</td><td>WH242</td></lod<>	15.2	WH242
NO6/56	Lima lim	0.11	0.26	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>41.6</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>41.6</td><td>WH242</td></lod<>	41.6	WH242
NO6/59	Lima lim	0.46	2.47	0.24	1.29	18.6	WH242
NO6/64	Lima lim	0.35	1.32	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>26.5</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>26.5</td><td>WH242</td></lod<>	26.5	WH242
PO1/72	Lima lim	1.44	7.01	0.7	3.43	20.5	WH242
PO1/76	Lima lim	0.52	3.25	0.34	2.12	16.4	WH242
PO1/78	Lima lim	1.13	5.4	0.51	2.43	21	WH242
NO4/41	Gadu mor	0.42	2.07	0.11	0.53	20.3	WH242
NO4/44	Gadu mor	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>34.5</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>34.5</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>34.5</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>34.5</td><td>WH242</td></lod<>	34.5	WH242
NO4/54	Gadu mor	0.28	1.04	0.07	0.24	27	WH242
B1/113	Lima lim	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>13.4</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>13.4</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>13.4</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>13.4</td><td>WH242</td></lod<>	13.4	WH242
B1/116	Lima lim	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>17.3</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>17.3</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>17.3</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>17.3</td><td>WH242</td></lod<>	17.3	WH242
B1/120	Lima lim	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>26.1</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>26.1</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>26.1</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>26.1</td><td>WH242</td></lod<>	26.1	WH242
B1/135	Gadu mor	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>27.5</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>27.5</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>27.5</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>27.5</td><td>WH242</td></lod<>	27.5	WH242
B1/136	Gadu mor	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>17.4</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>17.4</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>17.4</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>17.4</td><td>WH242</td></lod<>	17.4	WH242
B11/105	Gadu mor	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>25.4</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>25.4</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>25.4</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>25.4</td><td>WH242</td></lod<>	25.4	WH242
B11/93	Plat fle	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>34.5</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>34.5</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>34.5</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>34.5</td><td>WH242</td></lod<>	34.5	WH242
B11/94	Plat fle	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>45.4</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>45.4</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>45.4</td><td>WH242</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>45.4</td><td>WH242</td></lod<>	45.4	WH242
Min		0.11	0.26	0.07	0.24	13.4	
Max		1.44	7.01	0.7	3.43	45.4	
Median		0.44	1.945	0.25	1.09	25.4	
Mean		0.54	2.57	0.30	1.41	25.03	

**Table 94:** Endosulfan concentrations in fish liver  $[\mu g/kg]$ 

Table 95: Trifluralin concentrations in sea water [ng/L] in the North Sea

Cruise Station	GA402 May 03	GA405 Jul 03	WH261 Feb 04	GA419 May 04	GA425 Jul 04	GA432 Jan 05
T30/BL2/EIDER	0.01	0.005		0.011	0.015	
T9/LTIEF/St.25	0.02	0.007		0.009	0.022	
T4/NSB2	0.01			0.016	0.011	
STADE	0.03	0.081		0.056		
T27	0.11					
T36	0.03					
T34	0.03					

Cruise Station	GA402 May 03	GA405 Jul 03	WH261 Feb 04	GA419 May 04	GA425 Jul 04	GA432 Jan 05
MEDEM				0.044		
NSGR2				0.008		
SYLT1				0.016		0.1
SYLT2				0.017	0.019	
ENTE3				0.003		
NEFB				0.05	0.018	0.58
UFSDB				0.029	0.015	
AMRU2				0.022		
BRIFF				0.007	0.026	
ELBE1					0.016	
NSB3					0.015	
ENTE1					0.014	
URST1						0.16
URST5						0.18
HELG						0.17
11		<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>				
12		0.018				
13		0.008				
14		0.009				
29		<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>				
33		0.005				
40		<loq< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></loq<>				
46		<lod.< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lod.<>				
51		<lod.< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lod.<>				
WH/37			0.541			
WH/39			0.541			
WH/42			0.155			
WH/43			0.121			
WH/49			0.146			
WH/47			0.201			
WH/44			0.381			
WH/50			0.286			
WH/52			0.221			
WH/54			0.141			
WH/56			0.212			
WH/58			0.113			
Min	0.01	0.005	0.113	0.003	0.011	0.1
Max *	0.11	0.018	0.541	0.05	0.026	0.58
Median *	0.025	0.008	0.2065	0.016	0.0155	0.17
Mean *	0.04	0.02	0.25	0.02	0.02	0.24

\* Values from Stade (Elbe) are not taken into account

Cruise	GA421
Station	Jun 04
710	0.011
704	0.046
701	0.021
706	0.011
717	0.007
715	0.022
718	0.017
719	0.008
720	0.006
ODER	0.013
Min	0.006
Max	0.046
Median	0.012
Mean	0.02

Table 96: Trifluralin	concentrations in sea	water [ng/L] in the Baltic Sea

Cruise	GA349	GA402	GA405	GA419	Median
Station	May 00	May 03	Jul 03	May 04	
North Sea					
KS11	<lod< td=""><td>0.008</td><td>0.005</td><td>0.015</td><td>0.008</td></lod<>	0.008	0.005	0.015	0.008
KS8		0.009		0.014	0.012
WB1	<lod< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></lod<>				
WB5		0.014	<lod< td=""><td>0.021</td><td>0.018</td></lod<>	0.021	0.018
SSL		0.018			0.018
UE20		0.009		0.016	0.013
ES1		0.003			0.003
UE67		0.008			0.008
UE70				<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""></lod<>
BL2				0.009	0.009
BL4				0.004	0.004
Min		0.003	0.005	0.004	0.003
Max		0.018	0.005	0.021	0.018
Median		0.009	0.005	0.0145	0.009
Mean		0.01	0.01	0.01	0.010
Cruise	GA371 (ISIS)	GA387	GA421		
Station	Aug 01	Aug 02	Jun 04		Median
Baltic Sea					
Eckfbucht			0.018		0.018
710	0.014	0.016	0.001		0.014
25A			0.004		0.004
715	0.012		0.014		0.013
718	0.011		0.008		0.010
Oder			0.001		0.001
Ruden			0.002		0.002
721/K4		0.013			0.013
Min	0.011	0.013	0.001		0.001
Max	0.014	0.016	0.018		0.018
Median	0.012	0.015	0.004		0.011
Mean	0.012	0.015	0.007		0.009

Table 97: Trifluralin concentrations in sediment [ $\mu$ g/kg dw]

Station	Species	ng/g ww	ng/g fat	% lipid	Cruise
NO4/31	Lima lim	0.52	1.76	29.2	WH232
NO4/32	Lima lim	0.45	1.25	36	WH232
NO1/02	Lima lim	1.74	8.86	19.6	WH242
NO1/17	Lima lim	0.24	0.88	27.1	WH242
NO1/20	Lima lim	0.47	1.66	28.1	WH242
NO4/25	Lima lim	0.42	2.9	14.5	WH242
NO4/29	Lima lim	0.81	3.56	22.7	WH242
NO4/38	Lima lim	0.7	4.59	15.2	WH242
NO6/56	Lima lim	0.24	0.58	41.6	WH242
NO6/59	Lima lim	0.63	3.38	18.6	WH242
NO6/64	Lima lim	0.47	1.76	26.5	WH242
PO1/72	Lima lim	0.97	4.73	20.5	WH242
PO1/76	Lima lim	0.77	4.83	16.4	WH242
PO1/78	Lima lim	0.8	3.8	21	WH242
NO4/41	Gadu mor	0.3	1.46	20.3	WH242
NO4/44	Gadu mor	0.19	0.54	34.5	WH242
NO4/54	Gadu mor	0.22	0.81	27	WH242
B1/113	Lima lim	0.04	0.3	13.4	WH242
B1/116	Lima lim	0.32	1.84	17.3	WH242
B1/120	Lima lim	0.45	1.73	26.1	WH242
B1/135	Gadu mor	0.27	0.97	27.5	WH242
B1/136	Gadu mor	0.15	0.83	17.4	WH242
B11/105	Gadu mor	0.07	0.28	25.4	WH242
B11/93	Plat fle	0.1	0.28	34.5	WH242
B11/94	Plat fle	0.14	0.31	45.4	WH242
Min		0.04	0.28	13.4	
Max		1.74	8.86	45.4	
Median		0.42	1.66	25.4	
Mean		0.46	2.16	25.03	

**Table 98:** Trifluralin concentrations in fish liver [µg/kg]

# 6.7 Publications and conference contributions of project results

Gerwinski W., Theobald N. and Weigelt S. "Überblick über das Vorkommen von WRR-Substanzen im Wasser der Nord- und Ostsee" 2. Bund/Länder-Messprogramm Nord- und Baltis Sea Erfahrungsaustausch, **2004**, Vilm

Theobald N., Caliebe C., Gerwinski W., Weigelt S. "Messen wir noch die richtigen Schadstoffe? – Vorkommen und Bedeutung neuer und klassischer organischer Schadstoffe in Nord- und Baltis Sea", Meeresumwelt-Symposium, **2004**, Hamburg

Baaß A.-C., Weigelt-Krenz S., Theobald N. "Water Framework Directive Priority Chemicals in the Marine Environment" CEEC Workshop: From Lakes to Oceans, **2005**, Zürich

Theobald N., Baaß A.-C., Jerzycki-Brandes K., Weigelt-Krenz S. "Organohalogen Pesticides in the North and Baltic Sea" Dioxin 2005, **2005**, Toronto

### 7 List of Tables and Figures

Table 1 : Salinity of sea water samples [practical salinity unit] in the North Sea.       43         Table 2 : TOO is a structure of the structu
Table 2: TOC in sediments $[mg/g]$
Table 3: Sampling sites of the monitoring campaign wH242 in August/September 2002
Table 4: Sampling sites of the monitoring campaign WH255 in August/September 2003
Table 5: Sampling sites of the monitoring campaign WH26/ in August/September 2004
Table 6: International Rubin code of fish species abbreviations.       50         50       50
Table 7: Detailed logging data of the catches
Table 8: Physical-chemical properties of selected PCAs.       54
Table 9: Aquatic toxic properties of sPCAs and mPCAs.       55
Table 10: Overview of sPCA levels in selected environmental matrices at the start of this project
Table 11: log $K_{OW}$ values of main chlordane compounds (Simpson <i>et al.</i> , 1995)
Table 12: Comparison of quantification of s- and mPCAs in spiked fish (mackerel) and naturally exposed
fish livers using four different mass spectrometric methods (single measurements). Spikes 1 and
2 contained 1500 ng in total (150 ng/g assuming a sample weight of 10 g) of sPCA with 55.5 %
CI content and spike 3 contained 1500 ng each of sPCAs and mPCAs with CI contents of
55.5 % and 52 %, respectively. The relative deviations from the spiked amount are given in
parenthesis
Table 13: Quantification of three sPCA solutions (overall amount 1500 ng) with variable chlorine content
with a sPCAs reference standard of 55 % Cl and 63 % Cl content using ECNI, CH4/CH2Cl2-
NICI-LRMS and EI-MS/MS. Relative deviations are given in parenthesis
Table 14: PCA quantification of different sPCA mixtures (51–69 % Cl) by ECNI with total response
factors corrected for the chlorine content. The chlorine contents calculated from ECNI-LRMS
measurements are indicated in brackets. The expected amount, the measured amount and the
relative error are given. Deviations between expected and found chlorine content are caused by
the presence of additives which are not detectable by ECNI
Table 15: Comparison of sPCA determination in fish liver by ECNI according to Tomy et al. (1997)
using three commercial references of different chlorine content (51 %, 55 % and 63 %) and via
the total response factor approach. Calculated chlorine contents are given in brackets
Table 16: Comparison of quantification of chlordanes [ng/g dw] in sediments by ECNI (two instruments)
and EI-MS/MS. The fraction of the Florisil clean-up is assigned, where the compound is
present72
Table 17: Instrumental (mass spectrometric) limits of detection (LOD) at a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of
3:1 and limits of quantification (LOQ, S/N 10:1) for total sPCA, single sPCA groups, total
mPCA and single mPCA groups. Injection volumes were 1-1.5 µl
Table 18: Instrumental (mass spectrometric) limits of detection at a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of 3:1 and
limits of quantification (S/N 10:1) for single chlordane compounds in $pg/\mu$ l. Compared are two
measuring techniques (ECNI, EI-MS/MS) as well as two mass spectrometers (HP 5989B and
Varian 1200 L). Injection volumes were 1-1.5 µl
Table 19: Fractionation of the single PCA congeners $C_{10}H_{16}Cl_6$ and $C_{13}H_{20}Cl_8$ from PCB 153,
toxaphene #62 and <i>trans</i> -chlordane by Florisil column clean-up. Relative distributions are given
(prefraction: 60 ml of <i>n</i> -hexane followed by 5 ml of dichloromethane, PCA-fraction: 60 ml of
dichloromethane)
Table 20: Fractionation behaviour of the Florisil <sup>®</sup> clean-up for chlordane compounds carried out on two
different batches. Relative recoveries [%] of the total amounts are given. Tetrachloro- (TCN),
octachloronaphthalene (OCN), the chlordane compound MC8 and 4,5-dichlorochlordene were
evaluated as internal standards (ISTD)
Table 21: Relative recoveries [%] of selected sPCA/mPCA compounds and the internal standard ${}^{13}C_{10}$
trans-chlordane after stepwise and complete clean-up of spiked sun flower oil or mackerel
muscle tissue (spiked amount: 1.5-25 µg of sPCA or 1.5-20 µg of mPCA mixture)
Table 22: Relative recoveries [%] of selected sPCA/mPCA compounds and the internal standards <sup>13</sup> C <sub>10</sub> -
<i>trans</i> -chlordane, octachloronaphthalene (OCN) and MC8 (a chlordane congener). Extraction as
well as a stepwise and complete clean-up of spiked sea sand and sediments were tested (spiked

amount 1.5-2.5 µg of sPCA (55.5 % Cl) or 1.5-20 µg of mPCA (52 % Cl) mixture). ECNI was employed for quantification.....78 Table 23: Relative recoveries [%] of selected chlordane compounds in biota after the two clean-up steps (column chromatography with silica gel/sulphuric acid and adsorption chromatography with Florisil<sup>®</sup>). Samples of 10 g were spiked with 10 ng of each compound in 10  $\mu$ l of cyclohexane. Table 24: Composition of technical and synthetic PCA mixtures determined by ECNI. Specified and calculated chlorine content [%] are given as well as calculated molecular weights [g/mol] and relative abundances of the C10, C11, C12 and C13 congeners. Technical PCA mixture with a Table 25: Survey over analysed biota including capture locations, date, species and gender. Samples are arranged according to locations and not sample numbers. Maps of the sites are given in Figures Table 26: PCA concentrations determined by ECNI (sPCAs:  $\Sigma C_{10}$ - $C_{13}$ , mPCAs:  $\Sigma C_{14}$ - $C_{15}$  and s+mPCAs:  $\Sigma C_{10}$ -C<sub>15</sub>) and lipid content in biota from the Baltic and North Sea, and from the Northern North Table 28: Comparison of concentrations of s+mPCA, DDT-compounds, PCBs and toxaphenes in biota from the European Arctic. Literature data were given in ng/g ww. Therefore, also s+mPCA Table 29: Relative contribution of C<sub>10</sub> and C<sub>12</sub> groups to total sPCA in cod liver from the northern North Atlantic and the Baltic Sea as well as in biota liver from Bear Island. Data are also compared Table 30: Concentrations (ng/g wet weight) of selected chlordanes in fish liver from the North and Baltic Sea collected in 2002 and 2003. Concentrations in brackets are based on lipid weight (lw). Samples OS6 and OS7 could not be analysed, since the complete sample (one liver) had to be used for PCA analysis......103 Table 31: Published concentrations of  $\Sigma$ chlordanes (ng/g lipid weight (lw) of *cis/trans*-nonachlor, Table 32: Average concentrations ng/g (wet weight basis) and standard deviations of chlordane compounds in sixteen livers from male (n=7) and female (n=9) cod from the Lofot Islands caught in 1995 (Karlsson et al., 2000)......106 Table 33: Overview over analysed sediments including sample location, year, total organic carbon (TOC) content and applied methodology. ECNI and CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-NICI could not be applied to samples with total PCA concentrations below ca. 50 ng/g dw due to insufficient sensitivity. Determination of formula and congener group profiles by ECNI became only possible with new instrumentation at the end of the project. Samples were re-analysed with ECNI, if a sufficient sample amount still was available (>5 g).....110 Table 34: Total PCA concentrations obtained by EI-MS/MS as well as sPCAs and mPCAs levels determined by CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-NICI in sediments from the Baltic Sea. This method covers Cl<sub>3-10</sub>compounds, while conventional ECNI detects Cl<sub>5-10</sub> PCA. Concentrations determined by ECNI are given in brackets for some samples to demonstrate that generally lower levels are found Table 35: Literature survey of reported PCA concentrations in sediments world-wide and comparison with additional samples analysed during this study by EI-MS/MS to increase the data base for comparison. All data are given in ng/g dry weight (dw). No TOC data were available for Table 36: Relative abundances obtained by CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-NICI-MS of sPCA- and mPCA congener groups in selected sediments from the North and Baltic Sea and in technical PCA mixtures. No determination was possible for samples with a total PCA level <50 ng/g dw (see Table 34 and 5.4.3.1 for explanation)......118 Table 37: Relative abundance of s- and mPCA formula and congener groups ( $C_{10-15}Cl_{5-10}$ ), calculated molecular weight, chlorine content and main components in sediments and suspended matter 

Table 38: Concentrations of chlordanes [ng/g dry weight respective in ng/g TOC] for sediments from the North and Baltic Sea. The internal standard 4,5-DCCD was not added to the samples of 2002. Therefore, trans-nonachlor, which elutes in the fractions F1 and F2 was not quantifiable.....123 Table 39: Concentrations of sPCAs und mPCAs in surface water in Germany (WHO, 1996).....126 Table 40: Composition and source of the sPCA and mPCA reference mixtures employed for Table 41: Manufacturer and concentrations chlordane solutions used for quantification. The purity was >99 % except for MC8 and 4,5-dichlorchlordene......132 Table 42: Mass-to-charge ratios of the [M-Cl]<sup>-</sup> ions (abbreviated as X in the table) of the two most abundant isotope signals of sPCA and mPCA congeners used for quantification and Table 43: Mass-to-charge ratios of ion selected for the internal standards **ɛ**-HCH and <sup>13</sup>C<sub>10</sub>-transchlordane as well as for other polychlorinated compounds included during method Table 44: Selected precursor and product ions for the determination of the total PCA content by EI-MS/MS with an ion trap and triple quadrupole mass spectrometer. Important instrument parameters and the masses for the internal standard  ${}^{13}C_{10}$ -trans-chlordane are also given......140 Table 45: Mass-to-charge ratios of ions of single chlordane compounds used for quantification and identification in the ECNI-MS mode......142 Table 46: Selected precursor and product ions for the determination of selected chlordanes by EI-MS/MS with a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer. Important instrument parameters and the masses for Table 47: Average molar mass and average chlorine content of PCAs present in fish liver from the North and Baltic Sea and of the reference standards used for quantification according to Tomy et al. Table 48: CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-NICI-MS determination of average molar mass and average chlorine content of PCAs present in sediments from the Baltic and North Sea and of the reference standards used for quantification (see Tomy et al. (1997)). This procedure required sediments with levels >50 ng/g dw......145 Table 49: Survey over analysed fish samples (cod: Gadus morhua, flounder: Platychtus flesus, North Sea dab: Limanda limanda) from the North and Baltic Sea. EI-MS/MS was applied for screening and ECNI-MS for quantification of PCAs and chlordanes. Only sample NS1 was analysed by CH<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-NICI as part of method development......146 Table 50: Survey over analysed biota from the northern North Atlantic and Bear Island. Sampling sites: Cod (Gadus morhua) from NW Europe, Arctic char (Salvelinus alpinus) and seabirds (little auk: Alle alle, kittiwake: Rissa tridactyla, glaucous gull: Larus hyperboreus) from the Arctic Bear Island (Lake Ellasjøen). Information is also given about the applied methods for the determination of PCAs. ......147 Table 52: Survey of lowest value of prolonged toxicity of chlorpyrifos-ethyl to aquatic organisms......154 
 Table 54: Physico-chemical properties of dicofol.
 156

 Table 57: Physico-chemical properties of endosulfan.
 158

 Table 59: Overview of endosulfan concentrations in the environment.
 160

 Table 60: Physico-chemical properties of PCP.
 161

 Table 62: Overview of PCP concentrations in the environment.
 162

 Table 63: Physico-chemical properties of trifluralin.
 163

 Table 65: Overview of detection methods for the target compounds......164 
 Table 66: Blank values in water samples
 175

 Table 67: Blank values in sediment samples
 175

Table	68	Blank values in biota samples	176
Table	69	Relative recoveries of the analytes in sea water samples	176
Table	70:	Absolute recoveries of the analytes; addition of ISTD after extraction and elution [%] in sea	
		water samples	177
Table	71:	Relative recoveries of the analytes (spike experiments with a concentration of $0.2 \mu$ g/kg (one	
<b>T</b> 11		experiment) and 4 $\mu$ g/kg (average of 5 experiments) in sediment samples	1//
Table	72:	Absolute recoveries [%] of the ISTD referred to $D_6$ -Chlorpyrifos-methyl as recovery standard	d
		(7 experiments; concentration of ISTD 0.2 $\mu$ g/kg) in sediment samples; addition of the recover	ery
<b>T</b> 11		standard (0.004 ng/ $\mu$ L) after extraction and elution	177
Table	73:	Relative recoveries [%] of the analytes in biota samples *	178
Table	74:	Absolute recoveries [%] of the ISTD referred to $D_6$ -Chlorpyrifos-methyl as recovery standard	d
		in blota samples; addition of the recovery standard (0.004 ng/ $\mu$ L) after extraction and clean-u	ıp.
<b>T</b> 11			178
Table	/5:	Limits of quantification of the analytes $[pg/L]$ in sea water samples	1/9
Table	/6:	Comparison of LOQs of the analytes [ng/g dw] in sediment samples for different sample	D
		amounts (20 and 40 g of sediment) and different solvents (Solvent: A: acetone/nexane 50/50;	В: 170
T.1.1.		acetone/ nexane /5/25, MAE: 10 min, 100°C, 700 w)	1/9
Table	//:	Limits of quantification (LOQ=threefold LOD) /detection (LOD=threefold peak-to-peak nois	se)
T-1-1-	70.	of the analytes $[\mu g/kg \ lipid]$ in fish liver samples.	180
Table	/8:	Limits of detection (LOD) at a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of 3:1 and limits of quantification	100
T-1-1-	70.	(LOQ, S/N 9:1) for Chiorpyrilos	180
Table	79:	Limits of detection (LOD) at a signal-to-noise ratio $(S/N)$ of 5.1 and limits of quantification $(LOO, S/N, 0.1)$ for an low for	101
T-1-1-	00.	(LOQ, $S/N$ 9:1) for endosultan	191
Table	80:	Limits of detection (LOD) at a signal-to-noise ratio $(S/N)$ of 5.1 and limits of quantification $(LOO, S/N, 10, 1)$ for Triffurn 1.	100
T-1-1-	01.	(LOQ, S/N 10:1) for Trifluralin	199
Table	81:	influence of dicolor on the embryonic development of <i>Danio rerio</i> ; number of eggs 60, nimit	01
Tabla	on.	Significance 10 % (Kammann, BFA, 2004)	212
Table	02:	Parameters of the target substances: molecular weight ( $Mw$ ), retention time ( $R1$ ; $GC$	010
Tabla	02.	Determeters of the target substances: meleouler weight (MW) retention time (DT: I C MS	210
Table	03.	Farameters of the target substances. molecular weight ( $MW$ ), retention time (K1, LC-MS	001
Tabla	Q1.	Parameters of Dicofel: molecular weight (MW) retention time (PT: CC conditions see above	221 a)
Table	04.	and masses used for analysis in SIM mode $(m/z)$	$r_{1}$
Tabla	85.	Chlorpwrifes athyl concentrations in see water $[ng/L]$ in the North See	222
Table	86.	Chlorpyrifos-ethyl concentrations in sea water [ng/L] in the Baltic Sea	223
Table	87.	Chlorpyrifos-ethyl concentrations in sediments [ug/kg dw]	224
Table	88.	Chlorpyrifos concentrations [ug/kg] in fish liver samples	225
Table	89.	Endosulfan L concentrations in sea water [ng/L] in the North Sea	220
Table	90.	Endosulfan II concentrations in sea water [ng/L] in the North Sea	227
Table	91·	Endosulfan concentrations in sea water $[ng/L]$ in the Baltic Sea	230
Table	92.	Endosulfan L concentrations in sediments $[u\sigma/k\sigma dw]$	230
Table	93.	Endosultan II concentrations in sediments $[\mu g/kg dw]$ of the Baltic Sea (concentrations in the	<u></u>
ruoie	15.	North Sea were all $< I OD$ )	,32
Table	94.	Endosulfan concentrations in fish liver $[\mu\sigma/k\sigma]$	233
Table	95	Trifluralin concentrations in sea water [ng/L] in the North Sea	233
Table	96	Trifluralin concentrations in sea water [ng/L] in the Paltic Sea	235
Table	97:	Trifluralin concentrations in sediment [µg/kg dw]	236
Table	98:	Trifluralin concentrations in fish liver [µg/kg]	237

Figure 1 : Research vessel "Gauss"
Figure 2: Water sampling stations in July/Aug. 2003 (Ga 405)
Figure 3: Water sampling stations in the German Bight in 2004 and 200542
Figure 4: Sediment sampling stations in the German Bight and western Baltic Sea as well as water
sampling stations in the Baltic Sea. Figures in bold assign the TOC content [mg/g], those in
italic station names
Figure 5: Top: Locations of North Sea biota sampling areas of the Institute of Fishery Ecology. Bottom:
Sampling area in the Baltic Sea
Figure 6: Structure of some important chlordane compounds and the main metabolites oxychlordane, cis-
and <i>trans</i> -heptachlorepoxide58
Figure 7: Dependence of the total response factor (ECNI) on the degree of chlorination for seven different
sPCA mixtures (A, average of five interday measurements) and nine different MCCP mixtures
(B: average of three interday measurements). Error bars indicate standard deviations of the
determination of the chlorine content in x and of the response factor in y
Figure 8: Linear range of ECNI for the sPCA congener group C <sub>13</sub> Cl <sub>6</sub> H <sub>22</sub> from a sPCA mixture with
55.5 % chlorine content. Linearity could be obtained within 1-100 ng/µl. The most abundant
isotope signal of the [M-HCl] <sup>-</sup> ion was recorded
Figure 9: ECNI-determination of formula and congener group profiles of selected PCA mixtures of
different chlorine contents. Cl <sub>3-4</sub> compounds were not detectable. The trade name or the chlorine
content of the mixtures are given for each bar graph
Figure 10: CH <sub>4</sub> /CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -NICI determination of the formula group patterns of a sPCA mixture (55.5 %
chlorine content, A) and of two North Sea dab liver extracts (B, North Sea 54° 15,64 N, 7°
29,79' E, not studied further, C; site NS1, see Table 24). Cl <sub>3-4</sub> compounds are clearly visible84
Figure 11: CH <sub>4</sub> /CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -NICI determination of the formula group patterns C <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>17</sub> of three mPCA
mixtures with differing chlorine content (42, 52 and 57 %). No Cl <sub>3-4</sub> compounds were present.85
Figure 12: Left: Map of the capture locations in the North Sea (for detailed information see Table 25) and
sPCA and mPCA concentrations (ng/g lipid weight) determined in samples NS1-5 from 2002
(see Table 26). Right: Map of the capture locations in the North Sea (for detailed information
see Table 18) and sPCA and mPCA concentrations (ng/g lipid weight) determined in samples
NS6-7 from 2003 (see Table 26)
Figure 13: Map of the capture locations in the Baltic Sea (for detailed information see Table 25) and
sPCA and mPCA concentrations (ng/g lipid weight) for 2002 and 2003 (see Table 26)
Figure 14:
Figure 15: Formula group patterns of sPCAs (C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>13</sub> ) in selected samples from the Lofot Island (A1), the
Baltic Sea (OS1, OS3, OS4) and the North Sea (NS1-NS3). The patterns for mPCAs ( $C_{14}$ - $C_{15}$ )
are quite similar and are therefore only shown for the selected samples to the left. Two standard
mixtures are shown for comparison (sPCA: C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>13</sub> , 55.5 % chlorine content, mPCA: C <sub>14</sub> -C <sub>17</sub> ,
52 % chlorine content). Determination was carried out by ECNI
Figure 16: Comparison of the averaged C-chain patterns in cod from the Baltic Sea with five technical
sPCA mixtures. The bars mark the standard deviations
Figure 17: Comparison of the averaged C-chain patterns of sPCA in selected cod samples from the
northern North Atlantic
Figure 18: Map of capture locations in the North Sea (for detailed information see Table 25) and sum
concentrations of chlordane compounds (cis/trans-nonachlor, cis/trans-chlordane and
oxychlordane) in fish liver in ng/g lipid weight (see Table 30)104
Figure 19: Map of capture locations in the Baltic Sea (for detailed information see Table 25) and sum
concentrations of chlordane compounds (cis/trans-nonachlor, cis/trans-chlordane and
oxychlordane) in fish liver in ng/g lipid weight (see Table 30)105
Figure 20: -specific survey of total PCA $[ng/g]$ concentrations in sediments from the North and Baltic Sea
(2001-2004). Quantification was carried out by EI-MS/MS (see Table 34 for details)113
Figure 21: Survey over site-specific sPCA and mPCA (C <sub>14-15</sub> ) concentrations in sediments from the North
and Baltic Sea in 2001-2004 obtained by CH <sub>4</sub> /CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> -NICI-MS113

Figure 22: Principal component plots of the factor analysis of the congener group composition of sPC $(A)$ and $mPCA$ (B) in tasksised mintures from European and an dimension from the	A
(A) and mPCA (B) in technical mixtures from European producers and sediments from the	т.
North and Baltic Sea (years 2001-2002). Results were obtained by $CH_4/CH_2CI_2$ -NICI-MS.	1 WO
groups with related patterns are marked for sPCAs.	.120
Figure 23: Concentrations of chlordanes in sediments from the Baltic and North Sea (ECNI-MS) at selected sampling sites in 2001-2004.	.124
Figure 24: Structures of Chlorpyrifos-ethyl (A), –methyl (B) and their main metabolite 3.5.6-Trichlor	0-2-
pyridinol (TCPy) (C)	.152
Figure 25: Structures of dicofol (A) and its main metabolite 4,4'-dichlorobenzophenone (B)	.155
Figure 26: Structures of endosulfan I or $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ (A) and endosulfan II or $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ (B).	.158
Figure 27: Structure of Pentachlorophenol	.160
Figure 28: Structure of Trifluralin.	.162
Figure 29: Typical GC-NCI-MS traces of trifluralin, chlorpyrifos-ethyl, and endosulfan I for standard solutions and real samples (Retention times may differ because analysis occurred over a lor	ıg
time period)	.167
Figure 30: Overall scheme for the analysis of sea water for chlorpyrifos, endosulfan, and trifluralin	.168
Figure 31: Overall scheme for the analysis of sediments for chlorpyrifos, endosulfan, and trifluralin	.169
Figure 32: Overall scheme for the analysis of biota samples for chlorpyrifos, endosulfan, and triflural	in . 170
Figure 33: Overall scheme for the analysis of sea water for PCP and TCPy	.172
Figure 34: Calibration curves of chlorpyrifos, endosulfan, and trifluralin	.174
Figure 35: Chlorpyrifos concentrations [pg/L] in surface water (5 m) in the North Sea (July 2004) and	1
Baltic Sea (June 2004).	.182
Figure 36: Chlorpyrifos-ethyl concentrations [pg/L] in surface water (5 m) in 2003	.183
Figure 37 : Chlorpyrifos-ethyl concentrations [ng/kg] in surface sediments (0-2 cm)	.184
Figure 38: Concentrations of chlorpyrifos-ethyl in fish liver; upper fig. in µg/kg wet weight, lower fig	. in
μg/kg lipid	.186
Figure 39: Summary of chlorpyrifos-ethyl concentrations in different marine matrices; min – max ran	ge
and median values.	.187
Figure 40: Endosulfan concentrations [pg/L] in surface water (5 m) in the North Sea (July 2004) and	
Baltic Sea (June 2004)	.192
Figure 41: Endosulfan I concentrations [pg/L] in surface water (5 m) in 2003	.193
Figure 42: Endosulfan I concentrations [ng/kg dw] in surface sediments (0-2 cm)	.194
Figure 43: Concentrations of endosulfan I in fish liver; upper fig. in µg/kg wet weight, lower fig. in	
μg/kg lipid weight	.196
Figure 44: Summary of endosulfan I concentrations in different marine matrices; min - max range an	d
median values	.197
Figure 45: Trifluralin concentrations [pg/L] in surface water (5 m) in the North Sea (July 2004) and	
Baltic Sea (June 2004)	.200
Figure 46: Trifluralin concentrations [pg/L] in surface water (5 m) in 2003	.201
Figure 47: Trifluralin concentrations [pg/L] in surface water (5 m) in summer and winter	.202
Figure 48: Trifluralin concentrations [ng/kg dw] in surface sediments (0-2 cm), single or median valu	es
according to Table 97	.203
Figure 49: Trifluralin concentrations in fish liver; upper Fig. in µg/kg wet weight, lower Fig. in µg/kg	3
lipid weight	.204
Figure 50: Summary of trifluralin concentrations in different marine matrices; min – max range and	
median values	.205
Figure 51: Degradation of dicofol in sea water at room temperature	.211
Figure 52: HPLC-MS Chromatogram (SIM) of a standard solution of TCPy and PCP	.221