

U.S. Trends in Solid Waste Management and GHG Emissions for Workshop on "Methods to Calculate

GHG Mitigation Potentials in Solid Waste Management"

Susan A. Thorneloe

Office of Research and Development National Risk Management Research Laboratory Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division Research Triangle Park, North 18 June 2012 Berlin, Germany



U.S. Municipal Solid Waste Decisio Support Tool (MSW-DST)

Municipal Solid Waste Decision Support Tool





Municipal Solid Waste DECISION SUPPORT TOOL



Welcome

About This Tool

One of the greatest environmental challenges is the cost-effective and environmentally sound management of waste. The municipal solid waste decision-support tool aids solid waste planners in evaluating the cost and life cycle environmental aspects of integrated municipal solid waste management strategies. This tool enables users to simulate existing MSW management practices and conduct scenario analyses of new strategies based on cost and environmental objectives. For more information, go to <u>https://mswdst.rti.org</u>.





The U.S. MSW-DST was used to United States Environmental Protection develop information for this Agency presentation

About MSW-DS

MSW DST Tool Downloadable

Resources

Links

Tutorial

Contact

- Information about how to access the MSW DST is available at: https://mswdst.rti.org
- Site includes:
 - \circ Basic information
 - Technical documentation
 - Research papers

😂 RTI International - Windows Internet Explorer 👘		
(=) ⊂ Mttps://mswdst.rti.org/		
File Edit View Favorites Tools Help		
Connected	KII International X	
DTI		
INTERNATIONAL		
RTI Home > Environment & Natural Resources	Management & Engineering >	Waste Management >
Municipal	Solid Waste Decision S	Support Tool
Zanika ali		F F

One of the greatest environmental challenges is the cost-effective and environmen inefficient use of natural resources. In addition, once generated, waste can presen resulting pollution. Wastes are produced and managed at all levels of society, from

RTI has worked with federal, state, and local governments in the United States and economic and environmental costs and benefits of alternatives for managing munic manage waste cost-effectively while minimizing the environmental impacts of the w

With co-funding from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Depart tool (MSW DST) to aid solid waste planners in evaluating the cost and environment to simulate existing MSW management practices and conduct scenario analyses of options for waste collection, transfer, materials recovery, composting, waste-to-en

The MSW DST can be used to identify and evaluate cost and environmental aspects identify costs and environmental aspects of proposed strategies such as those des associated with recycling, identify strategies for optimizing energy recovery from M: to waterbodies or ecosystems.



Getting Started Opening the MSW DST

🔡 Ca	ise Scenario (Sept 6b)			
File	Help Advanced			
	Define Select Select Report Specify Build Set Process Set Diversion Solve and View Generation Processes Options Process Inputs Model Set Process Set Diversion Solve and View			
	Getting Started			
	There are eight overall steps, six required (*), to complete modeling a new case scenario. Each step is briefly described below. Access a detailed overview of modeling steps.			
	1) Define Generation* - Define waste generation sectors to include in the model scenario analyses.			
	2) Select Processes* - Select processes to include in the model and scenario analyses.			
	3) Select Report Options* - Select cost and LCI parameters to track and report, and the goal for optimization.			
	4) Specify Process Inputs - Input site-specific information by process.			
	5) Build Model* - Create the cost and life cycle coefficients based on user-specified inputs.			
	6) Set Process Constraints - Apply mass flow contraints to processes.			
	7) Set Diversion Targets - Define which waste processes are included in diversion and set targets.			
	8) Solve and View Reports.			
	Instructions to complete each screen are provided on each step. Optional steps are depicted as a lighter gray navigation arrow. The top navigation bar will adjust as you advance through the steps. More detailed information can be accessed by clicking the information icon. Click Define Generation to get started.			
	Define Generation			



Health and Environmental Concerns for Landfills

- Once waste is deposited in a landfill, emissions are generated for decades
- Most immediate concern is for the explosive potential of the gas and potential for landfill fires
- Emissions of concern include
 - -GHG emissions (largest methane source in the U.S.)
 - -volatile organic compounds
 - -hazardous air pollutants
 - -persistent bioaccumulative toxics
 - -hydrogen sulfide, and H₂



Sources of and sinks for GHG emissions from MSW management-related technologies included in the analysis

Waste Management Activity	GHG Emissions (CH ₄ and CO ₂) Sources and Sinks	
Collection (recyclables and mixed waste)	Combustion of diesel in collection vehicles	
	Production of diesel and electricity (used in garage)	
Material Recovery Facilities	Combustion of diesel used in rolling stock (front-end loaders, etc.)	
	Production of diesel and electricity (used in building and for equipment)	
Yard Waste Composting Facility	Combustion of diesel used in rolling stock	
	Production of diesel and electricity (used for equipment)	
Combustion (also referred to as waste to energy)	Combustion of waste	
	Offsets from electricity produced	
Landfill	Decomposition of waste	
	Combustion of diesel used in rolling stock	
	Production of diesel	
	Offsets from electricity and/or steam produced	
Transportation	Combustion of diesel used in vehicles	
	Production of diesel	
Poprocessing of Popyclables	Offsets (net gains or decreases) from reprocessing recyclables	
Reprocessing of Recyclapies	recovered; offsets include energy- and process-related data	

Trends in U.S. MSW Generation



States



U.S. MSW Recycling Rates, 1960 - 2010





U.S. Recycling Rates of Selected Products for 2010



Products

Trends in How U.S. MSW is Collected and Managed (metric tons)

United States Environmental Protection Agency





10

Preliminary results to compare net GHG emissions from MSW management reflecting technological changes, landfill diversion, and source reduction



Note: 1980 with and w/o 1970 technology is pretty much the same because the mass flow breakout is very similar 1970 vs. 1980 and the only difference in the waste management is 100% LF venting in 1970 vs. 90%.



Preliminary results to compare net GHG emissions for recycling and composting (Avoided emissions reflect offsets from resource conservation)





Preliminary results to compare net GHG emissions from MSW combustion (Avoided emissions reflect offsets from fossil-fuel conservation from energy that is produced)





Preliminary results to compare net GHG emission reductions from landfills due to diversion of waste from landfills, increased landfill gas control, and landfill CH4 recovery





Findings from 2009 ES&T Publication Compared LFGTE and WTE for Electricity Production

- When comparing electricity (kWh) per ton of municipal waste, WTE is on average six to eleven times more efficient at recovering energy from wastes than landfills.
- For even the most optimistic assumptions about LFGTE, the net life-cycle environmental tradeoffs is 2 to 6 times the amount of GHGs compared to WTE.
 - GHGs for WTE ranged from 0.4 to 1.4 MT MTCO2e/MW h where as the most aggressive LFGTE scenario is resulted in 2.3 MTCO2e/MWh.

Kaplan, P. O.; DeCarolis, J.; Thorneloe, S. (2009) *Is It Better to Burn or Bury Waste For Clean Electricity Generation? Environmental Science and Technology*, 43, (6), 1711-1717.



Measurement (not modeling) of Landfill Gas Collection Efficiency

Conducted optical remote sensing (ORS) measurements using tunable diode laser for quantifying methane flux for entire landfill (top surface and side slopes).

Measurements conducted at three sites. At two of the sites the measurements were repeated within 6 months on initial measurements.

EPA Report documenting results:

Quantifying Methane Abatement Efficiency at Three Municipal Solid Waste Landfills, EPA/600/R-12/003, Jan 2012, http://www.epa.gov/nrmrl/pubs/600r12003/600r12003.pdf



EPA Method OTM 10 for Nonpoint Source Measurement





Results from Measurements of Methane Collection Efficiency



* Calculated as CH_4 Collected / (CH_4 Collected + CH_4 Emissions). Conventional collection efficiencies used in AP 42 and other documents can include soil oxidation in the denominator which would lower the efficiencies.



Ongoing study to Identify Tipping Points that Influence Energy and GHG Emissions

- Using data from existing ORCR studies of communities that are reaching higher levels of materials recovery, identify tipping points that influence energy and GHG emissions considering
 - -Local infrastructure and policies
 - -Geographical differences in waste composition
 - -Transportation modes, fuels, and distances
 - -Electrical energy grid mixes
 - -Energy prices and renewable energy initiatives
 - -Long term carbon storage
 - -Recycling and composting rates
 - -Recyclables markets and prices
 - Conversion efficiencies for waste-to-energy and landfill gas-toenergy



Selected Publications

- Kaplan, P. O.; Ranjithan, S. R.; Barlaz, M.A. (2009) Use of Life Cycle Analysis To Support Solid Waste Management Planning for Delaware. Environmental Science and Technology, 43 (5), 1264-1270.
- Kaplan, P. O.; DeCarolis, J.; Thorneloe, S. (2009) Is It Better to Burn or Bury Waste For Clean Electricity Generation? Environmental Science and Technology, 43, (6), 1711-1717.
- Thorneloe, S. A.; Weitz, K.; Jambeck, J. (2007) Application of the U.S. decision support tool for materials and waste management. Waste Management, 27, 1006-1020.
- Jambeck, J., Weitz, K.A., Solo-Gabriele, H., Townsend, T., Thorneloe, S., (2007). CCA-treated Wood Disposed in Landfills and Life-cycle Trade-Offs With Waste-to-Energy and MSW Landfill Disposal, Waste Management, Vol 27, Issue 8, Life-Cycle Assessment in Waste Management.
- Kaplan, P.O., M.A. Barlaz, and S. R. Ranjithan (2004) *A Procedure for Life-Cycle-Based Solid Waste Management with Consideration of Uncertainty.* J. of Industrial Ecology. 8(4):155-172.
- Weitz K.A., Thorneloe S.A., Nishtala S.R., Yarkosky S. & Zannes M. (2002) *The Impact of Municipal Solid Waste Management on Greenhouse Gas Emissions in the United States*, Journal of the Air and Waste Management Association, Vol 52, 1000-1011.



Conclusions

- A holistic approach is needed using a life-cycle analysis to compare carbon emissions for differences in waste components, regional population, and infrastructure for materials and discards management
- Analysis for discards management found WTE is on average six to eleven times more efficient at recovering energy from waste than landfills
- Even though U.S. MSW has more than doubled since the 1970s, GHG emissions are significantly decreased as a result of
 - Improvements in technology including collection, transport, recycling, and discards management
 - Adoption of programs to reduce waste and increase recycling and composting
 - Adoption of combustion with energy recovery and
 - Better collection and control of landfill gas (including use of methane for energy recovery)
- Ongoing study using the MSW-DST to explore tipping points to identify strategies that can further reduce GHG emissions
- Within the couple of months, we will release a web accessible version of the U.S. DST with a new user interface and tutorials



Enlarge This Image



Far cleaner than conventional incinerators, this new type of plant converts local trash into heat and electricity. Dozens of filters catch pollutants, from mercury to dioxin, that would have emerged from its smokestack only a decade ago Friday, May 14, 2010 \boxtimes

响

+

Johan Spanner for The New York Times

V 4 X Google

ONE TON OF WASTE CAN CREATE

65 kWh of electricity

590 k

EMISSIONS FOR ONE MEGAWATT-HOUR OF ELEC

3.35	0.56
metric tons,	metri
carbon dioxide equivalent	carbo
600 grams of sulfur oxides	220 g
2,300 grams of nitrogen oxides	1,450

One metric ton is one million grams, or 1.1 short tons.

ONE YEAR'S WORTH OF WASTE COULD GENERA

9 million MWh of electricity	80 m
enough to power 800,000 homes for one year.	en home

Sources: P. O. Kaplan, J. DeCarolis, S. Thorneloe, "Is It Better to Bu Generation?" Environmental Science & Technology 2009, E.P.A.; En



Energy from Waste: burn or bury?

EPA researchers have completed the first scientific comparison of whether it is better to burn or bury waste when trying to recover energy and minimize greenhouse gas emissions.

When most people think of alternative energy sources, they probably picture gleaming solar panels or wind turbines with long, white blades spinning above rich green cornfields. They probably don't think of landfills or waste combustion facilities. Although municipal solid waste (MSW) may not be very picturesque, 14 percent of renewable electricity generation (not including hydroelectric dams) comes from operations that recapture energy from discarded waste.

In 2007, Americans recycled or composted about a third of the 250 million tons of the municipal solid waste generated in the country. The rest was either buried (54 percent) or burned (13 percent), and both of these "discard management" options offer the potential to recover energy.

