NoCheRo Efficacy Guide for Traps

Stefan Endepols, CLI-RRAC;

www.rrac.info

Aim of efficacy testing

- Comparative assessment to rodenticide products.
- But, trap-manufacturers may be interested in a certification mode for humaneness, **independent** from the above. See non-prof certificate.



Version 1.0 February 2017



Guide for Traps shall be close to ECHA guide for rodenticides.

General questions related to efficacy:

 With one fixed guide, traps can get certified only when also passing the comparative assessment with rodenticide –

though it could be a good trap before that! -----Therefore, lower requirements for non-prof certification.

- Intense assessments of risk to wildlife required for authorisation of Rodenticides. How do we prove traps pose a lower risk to wildlife, besides observations in efficacy tests?
- Constraints to get **lab-trials permission**.

<u>Understand Testing - Efficacy Characteristic:</u> <u>Bait vs. Trap</u>

Trap

- Very quick
 - Carcass located
 - Event on individual level
- Quick solution for singles and few individual
- Learning, observation: Avoidance
- Chance decreases with complexity of rodent society ?

Bait

- Delayed (> 3 days)
- Carcass hidden
- ↑ Individual (vole) AND social (rat)
- Delayed effect even with singles
 - No learned aversion
 - Socially enhanced treatment effect, positive follower-effect
- Resistance may be selected at unproper treatments

What is the effect under practical conditions?

Why field-studies?

- All Age classes of rodents;
- Individuals more **Experienced** than lab-animals;
- Several Feed alternatives;
- Variable environments;
- Social interactions;
- Stamped Individual Behaviour types: Presenter, Observer, Follower; neophobe, neophile; etc.
- Coverage of individual behave-ecol types; Specialist vs. Generalist;
- Species-specific: Rats are very Social and hierarchic; water voles Solitair: Treatment of Communities vs. Individuals!
- Do not require an experimental licence (unlike any lethal semi-field trials)

ECHA Efficacy Guide Rodenticides

Basic Principles

M = Mandatory	Purpose	Example
No-choice feeding tests	Efficacy, mortality	10 rats, individually, 4 days feeding
M: Bait choice feeding test	Palatability and efficacy; shelf life claims.	10 rats wild strain, 4 days bait and oats: 90% mortality; > 20% bait/total consumption.
M: Field studies	Efficacy under real use conditions. The ultimate proof	 Census – Treatment (<35 days) – Census: > 90% reduction! > Example infestation size: census feed; Mouse 100g to 300g/day; rats 300g to 1,500 g/day.
Semi field trial (Lab)	Limited simulation of field trial. Accepted only as surrogate to one of two field studies, +R.r.	Like choice trial, but groups in pens (per rat >1 sqm, per mouse >0.5 sqm)

Efficacy Guide Baits and Traps

Basic Principles

Purpose bait test	ECHA Bait Test guide	Trap Test guidance draft
Efficacy, mortality (a.i.)	No-choice feeding tests	Not includes, because a.i. related. Welfare asmt.
Palatability and efficacy; shelf life claims.	M: Bait choice feeding test	See semi-field and welfare assessment (although in vitro); No efficacy-requirements yet on ageing!
Efficacy under real use conditions. The ultimate proof	M: Field study	M: only for Professional use; large infestations. The only real use test!
Limited simulation of field trial. Surrogate to one of two field studies.	Semi field trial (Lab), choice test	M: non-professional use; like choice test.

Decision Tree



• <u>Misleading Issue</u>: Two very different investigations end in the same box "Effective", although testing different characteristics

Decision Tree: Alternative tbd

