DOKUMENTATIONEN

53/2015

# **Checklists for Refineries**

Part 1



#### **DOKUMENTATIONEN 53/2015**

Advisory Assistance Programme (AAP) of the Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation, Building and Nuclear Safety

# **Checklists for Refineries**

## Part 1

by

Gerhard Winkelmann-Oei (idea and conception) Federal Environment Agency, Dessau (Germany)

Jörg Platkowski

R+D Industrie Consult, Adelebsen (Germany)

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) / Global Environment Facility (GEF)

International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River (ICPDR), Vienna (Austria)

On behalf of the Federal Environment Agency (Germany)

## **Imprint**

#### **Publisher:**

Umweltbundesamt Wörlitzer Platz 1 06844 Dessau-Roßlau

Tel: +49 340-2103-0 Fax: +49 340-2103-2285 info@umweltbundesamt.de

Internet: www.umweltbundesamt.de

## **Updated:**

03/2006

## Edited by:

III 2.3 Plant Safety Gerhard Winkelmann-Oei

#### Publication as pdf:

http://www.umweltbundesamt.de/publikationen/checklists-for-refineries

ISSN 2199-6571

Dessau-Roßlau, November 2015

This publication was financed by the German Federal Environment Ministry's Advisory Assistance Programme (AAP) for environmental protection in the countries of Central and Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia and other countries neighbouring the European Union.

The responsibility for the content of this publication lies with the authors.

## **Recommendations for Refineries**

The recommendations for refineries are divided in two parts.

Part 1 deals in general with the question of safety management.

Recommendations for technical safety requirements on the structure and equipment of production plants regarding the protection of seas and waters are given in part 2.

# Part 1 Recommendations for safety management system

- 1. The operator of a section of a plant has to provide a safety report corresponding to the revised Seveso-II-Directive (2003/105/EG dated 16. December 2003)
  - In this safety report the implementation of a concept for preventing accidents must be explained and it has to be shown that a safety management system for its implementation exists.

For the preparation of the safety management system the requirements of the "Guidelines on a Major Accident Prevention Policy and Safety Management System, as required by Council Directive 96/82/EC (SEVESO II)" have to be considered.

- 2. This safety management system should address the following issues
  - a. Organisation and Personnel
  - b. Identification and evaluation of major hazards
  - c. Operational control
  - d. Management of change
  - e. Planning for emergencies
  - f. Monitoring performance
  - g. Audit and review
- 3. The safety management system as a part of the safety report has to be checked regularly by the operating company and if necessary has to be revised:
  - at least every 5 years,
  - at any other time when new circumstances justify it or if new safety knowledge or new insight for the evaluation of risks appear.

Checklists for Refineries – Part 1	Page 3 of 12
	9

# Checklists for monitoring the implementation of the recommendations

#### 0 Definition

**Operating area**: An operating area is the whole area under the control of a plant operator in which dangerous substances are actually present, planned to be stored or could leak out as a result of malfunction of the process and are in the amount mentioned in article 2 of guideline 96/82/EG. The operating area can include several plants, connected infrastructures and activities such as storing in the sense of article 3 No. 8 of the guideline 96/82/EG.

1	Contact to	o the responsible loca	authorities		
1.1	Is inforn	nation for preparing th	e following <u>internal</u> documer	ıts?	
		Safety management Safety report,	ting hazardous incident, t system, nazard control planning		
	for the o	perating area exchanç	ged with the responsible loca	l aut	horities?
	Yes		No		Not applicable
	Action		No action		
1.2	Is there	-	spect of such <u>public</u> interest	ike	
			c ration data for preparing pub	ic a	arm and hazard control
	with the	responsible local auth	norities?		
	Yes		No		Not applicable
	Action		No action		
Rer	mark:				

Ch	ecklists for Refineries – Part	: 1				Pa	ge 4 of 12
2	Safety report (see also che	cklis	t 12 "Safety report")				
2.1			ed in which it was stated th emented and how it was impl				reventing
	Yes		No		Not	t applicable	
	Action		No action				
2.2	Was the existence of a sa safety report?	fety	management system for its a	appli	icati	on mentio	ned in the
	Yes		No		Not	t applicable	
	Action		No action				
Rei	mark:						
Es	timation of the real risk:						
Ho	ow is the implementation of the	sub	-point of the recommendation?				
ı	Yes		Partially □			No	
l	RC=1		RC=5			RC=10	
3	Safety management system	1					
3.1 Organisation und Personal							
3.1.1 Are the roles and responsibilities of the personnel involved in the prevention of hazardous incidents and reduction of their effects defined on all organisational level?							
	Yes		No		Not	t applicable	
	Action		No action				

01

Checklists for Refineries – Par	t 1	Page 5 of 12
3.1.2 Are training needs of th	e personnel regularly identified?	
☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
☐ Action	☐ No action	
3.1.3 Are the required education and	ition and training measures carr I training needs?	ied out on the basis of the
☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
☐ Action	☐ No action	
3.1.4 Are the employees as we this task?	vell as the subcontractors includ	ed in the implementation of
☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
☐ Action	☐ No action	
Remark:		
3.2 Identification and assess	ment of danger of hazardous inci	dents
3.2.1 Are systematic method normal operation stipular	Is of identifying the danger of lated and implemented?	hazardous incidents during
☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ Not applicable
☐ Action	☐ No action	
	Is of identifying the danger of loperation of the plant stipulated	
☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
☐ Action	☐ No action	

**R+D Industrie Consult**Siemensstr. 2 · D – 37170 Uslar
Telefon: 05571/ 3029090
Telefax: 05571/ 913366

Stand: 03/2006

Checklists for Refineries – Par	t 1	Page 6 of 12
3.2.3 Were the possibility and	the extent of such hazardous inc	dent estimated?
☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ Not applicable
☐ Action	■ No action	
Remark:		
3.3 Plant monitoring		
	peration of the plant including the facilities and for a temporary	
☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ Not applicable
☐ Action	☐ No action	
3.3.2 Were these methods app	olied and their implementation che	ecked and documented?
☐ Yes	☐ No	☐ Not applicable
☐ Action	■ No action	
3.3.3 Are there clearly formula	ated instructions for their impleme	entation?
☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
☐ Action	■ No action	
Remark:		

Checklists for Refineries – F	Part 1		Page 7 of 12
3.4 Safe implementation of	fchanges		
3.4.1 Are methods for plant	ning changes	in existing plants or proce	ss stipulated?
☐ Yes	☐ No		Not applicable
☐ Action	☐ No a	ction	
3.4.2 Were procedures for	designing a n	new plant or a new process	stipulated?
☐ Yes	☐ No		Not applicable
☐ Action	☐ No a	ction	
3.4.3 Were these methods	applied and t	heir implementation checke	ed and documented?
☐ Yes	☐ No		Not applicable
☐ Action	☐ No a	ction	
Remark:			
3.5 Planning for emergenc	ies		
3.5.1 Were methods for systematic analysis?	determining	foreseeable emergencie	s stipulated using a
☐ Yes	☐ No		Not applicable
☐ Action	☐ No a	ction	
		ting and checking the ala react adequately during en	
☐ Yes	☐ No		Not applicable
☐ Action	☐ No a	ction	

03/2006 Stand:

Check	klists for Refineries – Part	1				Page 8 of 12
3.5.3	Are these methods used	and	checked/documented?			
☐ Yee	es		No		Not	applicable
	ction		No action			
Remai	rk:					
3.6	Monitoring of the efficienc	y of	the safety management syste	em		
3.6.1		cont	ous assessment of attainme ext of the concept for preve ned?			
☐ Yee	es		No		Not	applicable
	ction		No action			
3.6.2			hieved, were mechanism de tre corrective measures defin			r investigating why
☐ Yee	es		No		Not	applicable
	ction		No action			
3.6.3			system for reporting accide measures fails, the corres			
□ Ye	es		No		Not	applicable
	ction		No action			
3.6.4	Was the stipulation of the	ese I	methods based on appropriat	te ex	kper	iences?
☐ Yee	es		No		Not	applicable
	ction		No action			

Checklists for Refineries – Par	t 1	Page 9 of 12
3.6.5 Are these methods used	1?	
Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
Action	☐ No action	
Remark:		
3.7 Systematic check and as	sessment	
	nuous systematic assessment of ctiveness and adequacy of the s	
☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
☐ Action	☐ No action	
3.7.2 Are these methods used	1?	
☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable
☐ Action	☐ No action	
3.7.3 Is this check of the eff system documented?	iciency of the existing concept a	and the safety management
☐ Yes	☐ No	■ Not applicable
☐ Action	■ No action	

Checklists for Refineries – Par	Page 10 of 12						
3.7.4 Is the update of the safety management system documented?							
☐ Yes	□ No □	<b>J</b> No	t applicable				
☐ Action	■ No action						
Remark:							
Estimation of the real risk:							
How is the implementation of the	e sub-point of the recommendation?						
Yes □ RC=1	Partially  □  RC=5		No □ RC=10				

- 4 Checking the safety management system
- 4.1 Is the safety report as well as the concept for preventing accidents and the safety management system checked at the following period of time?

Safety report Extended Duties	Safety concept Basic duties	
О		At least every five years
		When there is a change in the operating area
		When there is a change of process where dangerous substances are used
	0	When there is a change in the amount of dangerous substance compared to the amount given in the safety report
	0	When the type and physical form of the dangerous substance changes compared to what is given in the safety report
		At every other time when new circumstances require it

Checklists for Refineries – Part	t 1	<del></del>		Page 11 of 12
<b>-</b> -		At every other time when new circo when new technical safety level as for assessing hazardous situation	s wel	ll as new knowledge
☐ Yes		No $\square$	No	t applicable
☐ Action		No action		
4.2 Are required updates of t 4.1 immediately carried or		documents based on the check	con	ducted according to
☐ Yes		No $\square$	No	t applicable
☐ Action		No action		
Remark:				
Examples of measures				
<u>Medium term:</u>				
	and	gement system corresponding to the Safety Management System, as red )"		
Implementation of the safet	ty m	anagement system		
Estimation of the real risk:	_			
How is the implementation of the	sut	p-point of the recommendation?		
Yes □		Partially		No □
RC=1		RC=5		RC=10

Checklists for Refineries – Part 1	Page 12 of 12
------------------------------------	---------------

How do you estimate the risk
------------------------------

Sub-point of the Recommendation	Possible Risk category	Risk categories RC
1	1/5/10	
2	1/5/10	
3	1/5/10	

Average Risk of the Checklist(ARC)

03/2006 Stand: