Challenges of soil carbon certification

A number of challenges arise in crediting soil carbon projects that aim to mitigate soil-related GHG emissions or enhance soil carbon removals. These challenges pose a threat to the quality of certificates and the integrity of carbon markets. Since they cannot be fully overcome, soil carbon credits should not be used for offsetting purposes.

CHALLENGE #1

OUANTIFICATION OF EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS

Quantifying reductions and removals from soil carbon measures is challenging and must not result in overestimating mitigation outcomes. If the challenges cannot be met, an activity must be excluded from being credited.



Leakage: Soil sampling is Mitigation activities may cost and labour lead to leakage intensive.



Soils are of emissions to other locations.



Uncertainty: heterogeneous so that carbon stock measurements can vary significantly.



Baselines: Baseline setting is based on counterfactual assumptions and thus involves uncertainties.

CHALLENGE #5

SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Mitigation measures can have positive and negative social and environmental impacts. Robust social and environmental safeguard requirements, monitoring of impacts and stakeholder consultations must make sure that mitigation measures deliver co-benefits and avoid or mitigate negative impacts.

Examples:



Enhancing biodiversity



Enhancing soil health



Additional sources of income for local populations

CHALLENGE #2

NON-PERMANENCE

Non-permanence refers to a situation where the emission reductions or removals generated by a mitigation activity are reversed at a later point in time. Non-permanence poses a significant risk for mitigation activities that enhance or preserve terrestrial carbon reservoirs, such as climate-friendly soil management.



Unintentional reversals occur due to natural processes.



Intentional reversals occur due to direct human interference.

CHALLENGE #4

ADDITIONALITY

Emission reductions and carbon removals are considered additional if they occur as a result of the incentives created by the funding for climate action.

Causality

Without the economic incentive, the mitigation would • due to legal requirements not have occurred.

Ensuring ambition

Exclude measures that would have been implemented anyway

- established common practice or
- · because they are economically viable in themselves

CHALLENGE #3

DOUBLE COUNTING

Double counting occurs if a single emission reduction or removal is counted more than once towards the achievement of a mitigation goal.

