DEGRADATION OF THE INSECTICIDES PIRIMICARB® AND IMIDACLOPRID® IN INDOOR AND OUTDOOR STREAM MESOCOSMS UNDER DIFFERENT LIGHT CONDITIONS



W. Mailahn, R. Berghahn, S. Meinecke, S. Mohr, and R. Schmidt Federal Environment Agency, Field Station Marienfelde, Schichauweg 58, D-12307 Berlin, Germany

correspondence: wolfgang.mailahn@uba.de





Indoor stream mesocosms:

Mean light intensity at the water surface: 29 W/m²

Mean water temperature: 20°C Biological establishment: sand, natural sediment,

Scenario 1: Influence of microbial degradation

exposure of 5 h (indoor 2, data not shown)

Scenario 2: Influence of radation

Stream systems were spiked separately with 1 µg/L pirimicarb (indoor 1), 10 µg/L pirimicarb (indoor 2), 10 µg/L imidacloprid (indoor 1), 10 µg/L imidacloprid pulse

After a residence time between 8 and 12 weeks, the free water of the contaminated indoor mesocosms was released

(pirimicarb outdoor 2 and imidacloprid outdoor 1 and 2)

to outdoor mesocosms where it was exposed to solar radiation and the prevailing meteorological condition

macrophytes, macroinvertebrates (3 years of developement)

Flow velocity: 0.15 m/s

Nutrient status: mesotrophic

Experimental Design:

Introduction

Pirimicarb and imidacloprid (Figure 2) are insecticides applied in crop protection against aphids and other sucking or biting insects [1][2]. These compounds may pass into water bodies by spray drift or by run-off after application. Pirimicarb and imidacloprid are described as being readily degradable in natural water bodies by solar irradiation and microbial activity [3][4]. A stream mesocosm study was conducted in order to investigate the influence of solar radiation and microbial activity on the degradation process under natural like conditions. Two scenarios were run. First scenario: Indoor stream mesocosms with established stream community over a 3 year period under artificial light conditions simulated the solar light spectrum in the visible range, but the ultraviolet components UV A + B were very weak. Therefore, any dissipation of both insecticides in the indoor systems should result from microbial degradation only. Second scenario: Water of the indoor streams were pumped to outdoor streams which were exposed to full sun light but an almost undeveloped biocoenosis. Thus, photodegradation of the two compounds should play a more important role besides biological degradation. The concentrations of pirimicarb and imidacloprid in the water columns were monitored both in indoor and outdoor mesocosms (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Indoor stream mesocosm system 106 m length, 1 m width, run in circular mode [5]

Materials and Methods

Outdoor stream mesocosms:

Flow velocity: 0.15 m/s Global irradiation: mean values of 74 W/m² (autumn sun). optimal exposure of 88 m² of water surface to the sun **Outdoor air temperature:** mean value of 8.9°C Biological establishment: sand, natural sediment; biofilms and filamentous algae developed after filling with water from the indoor systems Nutrient status: mesotrophic

Chemical and statistical analysis:

- The method of the derivatisation of imidacloprid for the detection by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC-MS) [6] was modified.
- Pirimicarb and imidacloprid in water samples were analysed as
- shown in Figure 3. Concentrations measured in the water samples were corrected to the volume at the start of each experiment.
- The dissipation of both insecticides in the water column was fitted to a first order degradation curve (Table 1) following the equation: $C(t) = Co \cdot exp[-k \cdot t]$

Results and Discussion

The indoor DT50 for pirimicarb were in the same range as described from a water sediment study performed in the dark (Figure 4) [3]. The DT50 in the indoor systems were about 2.6 to 3.4 times higher than in the outdoor systems despite considerable biological activity and higher water temperatures (Figure 5).

The DT50 of imidacloprid in the indoor system exceeded the indoor residence time (Figure 6) and had to be extrapolated (Table 1). The resulting DT50 of 75 days in the water column was about 4 times longer than shown in a water-sediment study with radiolabeled imidacloprid [4]. Very similar DT50 of imidacloprid were found in the outdoor study (Figure 6, 7)

The radiation of the autumn sun increased the degradation rate of pirimicarb and imidacloprid considerably in the outdoor stream mesocosms, compared to the indoor systems. However, a fast elimination of both compounds within a few hours or a DT50 < 1 d as described for water bodies under solar radiation [3][4] could not be observed. The outdoor experiments could be described a best case scenario since 84% of the water surface was exposed to full sunlight and the water in the mesocosms was clear and uncoloured. Turbidity and shaded zones in natural water bodies are likely to prolong the DT50 of photosensitive compounds such as pirimicarb and imidacloprid. Photosensitive insecticides are often applied at dusk or at night and may then enter surface waters many hours before photodegradation can start

Conclusion

- Microbial degradation is low for both substances as compared to photodegradation Photodegradation was lower than expected from laboratory studies [3][4] although the streams were fully exposed to sunlight, and there was no turbidity.
- If a Dt50 < 1 d could be observed has to be checked in the future.
 In the field, biogenous and geogenic turbidity, the occurrence of yellow substances and shading by canopy are common phenomena which have to be considered strong factors in further reducing photodegradation of substances.

Table 1: Parameters of the first order degradation curve fittings

| Experiment | C₀ [µg/L] | k [day ⁻¹] | DT50 [days] | <u>Chi</u> ² DoF | R ² |
|---------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Pirimicarb ind. 1 | 0.9619 | 0.0143 | 49 | 0.00077 | 0.9843 |
| Pirimicarb ind. 2 | 9.6388 | 0.0134 | 52 | 0.04082 | 0.9922 |
| Pirimicarb outd.2 | 2.6825 | 0.0346 | 20 | 0.08284 | 0.9179 |
| Imidacloprid ind. 1 | 9.7623 | 0.0093 | 75 | 0.0786 | 0.9646 |
| Imidacloprid outd.1 | 4.8868 | 0.0321 | 22 | 0.0520 | 0.9847 |
| Imidacloprid outd.2 | 3.7446 | 0.0376 | 18 | 0.03101 | 0.9756 |





of imidacloprid

References

http://pmep.cce.cornell.edu/profiles/insect-mite http://www.bayercropscience.com Conclusion on the peer review of pirimicarb (2005); http://www.efsa.eu.int J. Krohn, and E. Hellpointer: Environmental fate of imidacloprid; *Pflanzenschutz Nachrichten Bayer* 2002 (55), 3 - 26 [3] [4]

- [5] http://www.umweltbundesamt.de/fsa
 [6] L.M. MacDonald, and T.R. Meyer: Determination of Imidacloprid and Triadimefon in White Pine by Gas Chromatography- Mass Spectrometry; J. Agric. Food Chem. 1998 (46), 3133 3138



outdoor DT50 = 20 d 0 ò 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 experimental period [days] Figure 5: Microbial and photolytic degradation



Acknowledgement

The authors thank for technical assistance

- B. Katona, S. Rust, D. Schnee, N. Mühlenberg, I. Schmiedling, R. Schmiediche, S. Loth, and
- T. Ottenströer



0.5 L water sample

10.0µg

Pirimicarb-D6

Optionally addition of